



ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC.


2016
ANNUAL REPORT

ABOUT US

One Liberty Properties, Inc. is a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust incorporated under the laws of Maryland in December 1982. The primary business of the Company is to acquire, own and manage a geographically diversified portfolio consisting primarily of industrial, flex, and retail properties, many of which are under long-term leases. Many of our leases are “net leases” and ground leases, under which the tenant is typically responsible for real estate taxes, insurance and ordinary maintenance and repairs.

We acquired our portfolio of properties by balancing fundamental real estate analysis with tenant credit evaluation. Our analysis focuses on the value of a property, determined primarily by its location, use, and by local demographics. We also evaluate a tenant’s financial ability to meet operational needs and lease obligations. We believe that our emphasis on property value enables us to achieve better returns on our acquired properties and also enhances our ability to re-rent or dispose of a property on favorable terms upon the expiration or early termination of a lease. Consequently, we believe that the weighting of these factors in our analysis enables us to achieve attractive current returns with potential growth through contractual rent increases and property appreciation.

3-YEAR TOTAL STOCKHOLDER RETURN





DEAR STOCKHOLDERS,

DURING 2016, WE CONTINUED TO GROW AND REFINE OUR PORTFOLIO OF NET LEASE ASSETS THROUGH ACCRETIVE ACQUISITIONS AND TIMELY SALES THAT WILL, OVER TIME, ENHANCE CASH FLOW AND EARNINGS POTENTIAL.

We continued to pursue our proven long-term strategy of owning and acquiring well located assets with stable or improving real estate fundamentals, that are accretive to earnings, enhance cash flow and add to the value of the Company. At the same time, we are disciplined in selling assets that we believe have reached maximum values. Our results, we are proud to report, continue to reflect the successful execution of this strategy.

As of December 31, 2016, we own 119 properties, representing approximately 10.1 million square feet, including interests in five properties owned through unconsolidated joint ventures. Since 2014, despite the competitive market environment, our team has added approximately \$250 million of well-positioned properties. We maintain a geographically diverse presence with properties located in 30 states in markets that possess underlying real estate attributes that produce stable results.

2016 HIGHLIGHTS

During the past year, we:

- » grew rental income by 8.8% to \$64.2 million;
- » acquired eleven properties for an aggregate of \$118.6 million;
- » took advantage of historically low interest rates by obtaining \$138 million of mortgage debt financing /refinancing at a weighted average interest rate of 3.7% and a weighted average remaining term to maturity of 11.3 years;
- » sold twelve assets for an aggregate sales price of \$43.2 million, which generated a net gain of \$10.0 million;
- » ended the year with an occupancy rate of 97.3% based on square footage;
- » increased the amount available for borrowing under our credit facility to up to \$100 million and extended the facility's maturity date to December 31, 2019; and
- » raised our quarterly dividend approximately 4.9% to \$0.43 per share commencing with the dividend declared in December 2016, representing the fifth consecutive year with a dividend increase.

LONG-TERM GROWTH AND CREATION OF VALUE

We remain disciplined with our long-term strategy. We continue to acquire properties throughout the United States with proven underlying real estate fundamentals that support predictable and appropriately balanced risk/reward income streams meeting our return on invested capital requirements. Through accretive acquisitions of properties with strong fundamental attributes, we strive to continue to create value for our stockholders.


Our primary goal remains to acquire single-tenant properties that are subject to long-term net leases that include periodic contractual rental increases. Long-term net leases make it easier for us to obtain attractive long-term, fixed-rate financing, moderating the interest rate risk. We remain disciplined in our approach to underwriting, and are able to utilize our strong underwriting capabilities and access to capital to move quickly and efficiently to acquire assets that enhance stockholder value. We are opportunistic and persevere in our pursuit of favorable acquisition opportunities—characteristics that have served us well.

119
PROPERTIES

10.1M
SQUARE FEET

30
STATES

CASH DIVIDEND GROWTH PER SHARE OF COMMON STOCK



⁽¹⁾Compounded annual growth rate

⁽²⁾Calculated based on the closing stock price at December 31.

We were opportunistic in 2016 in acquiring a 132,000 square foot retail property located near Minneapolis, Minnesota. Though we bought this property at a level that currently generates satisfactory returns, because there are significant barriers to the entry of competing properties, we hope to redevelop the property at a later date generating enhanced returns.

We persevered in our acquisition of a 541,000 square foot industrial distribution center tenanted by a Fortune 1000 company, located on 43 acres near Nashville, Tennessee. We identified this acquisition opportunity in 2014 but the owner was then unwilling to sell because it was negotiating with the tenant an expansion of the facility and extension of the lease term. We continued to build our relationship with the owner and in 2016, after the building was expanded and the lease was extended, we purchased the improved property in an off market transaction.

We continue to actively manage the properties in our portfolio, with the goal of maximizing income opportunities while prudently managing our risk exposure. In 2016, we lowered our exposure to leases expiring over the next several years by entering into 26 new leases/lease amendments representing more than 1 million square feet of extensions.

In connection with the long-term perspective that we apply during our underwriting process, we also evaluate possible exit strategies for each asset that will allow us to maximize the return on our invested capital. One of our long-term strengths has been our ability to profitably monetize non-strategic properties through select sales. We continually evaluate the long-term expected values of our properties, and if appropriate, we will execute on a timely sale if we believe it will increase stockholder value. In the past year, we divested assets that contributed \$1.3 million in rental revenue in 2016.

We will continue to utilize a combination of targeted acquisitions and select sales of assets to positively drive our financial performance and results.

OUTLOOK

In 2017, we will remain focused on adding quality properties while selling assets which we believe have reached their full potential. Expect us to:

- » remain disciplined in our pursuit of growing cash flow, with the expectation that we will benefit from an additional \$5.3 million in annual rental income attributable to our 2016 acquisitions;
- » evaluate possible additional sales that will enhance the returns generated by our portfolio;
- » sustain high levels of occupancy;
- » maintain a strong and well positioned balance sheet to support our growth objectives; and
- » continue to utilize our long-term relationships in pursuit of growth opportunities.


We are confident that our strategy works over the long term across real estate cycles. With our prudent underwriting and diligent approach to adding and disposing of properties, our portfolio will continue to produce strong and stable cash flow during the life of our investments. We will continue to own a diverse portfolio of properties (both in industry type and geographically), while staying committed to ensuring that the real estate fundamentals are intact.

As always, our goal is to create value for our stockholders. We would like to thank our Board of Directors for their ongoing insight and support, our employees for their ongoing contributions and our stockholders for their belief in our team.

Sincerely yours,



Matthew J. Gould
Chairman of the Board
April 3, 2017



Patrick J. Callan, Jr.
President and Chief Executive Officer

PROPERTY LISTINGS BY CATEGORY:

● Retail—General

Total Properties: 44
Total States: 19
Total Square Footage: 2,113,061

● Industrial

Total Properties: 22
Total States: 15
Total Square Footage: 4,750,299

● Retail—Restaurant

Total Properties: 17
Total States: 8
Total Square Footage: 91,746

● Retail—Furniture

Total Properties: 14
Total States: 9
Total Square Footage: 747,534

● Retail—Office Supply

Total Properties: 7
Total States: 7
Total Square Footage: 226,399

○ Health & Fitness

Total Properties: 3
Total States: 3
Total Square Footage: 141,663

○ Flex

Total Properties: 3
Total States: 2
Total Square Footage: 542,687

● Apartments

Total Properties: 3
Total States: 2
Total Square Footage: 1,130,787

● Retail—Supermarket

Total Properties: 2
Total States: 1
Total Square Footage: 47,174

● Theater

Total Properties: 2
Total States: 2
Total Square Footage: 118,901


○ Assisted Living

Total Properties: 1
Total States: 1
Total Square Footage: 87,560

● Office

Total Properties: 1
Total States: 1
Total Square Footage: 66,000

119 PROPERTIES IN 30 STATES



Toro – Industrial Distribution Center
El Paso, TX






Advance Auto Parts – 4 Property Portfolio
Ohio



The Vue – Ground Leased Multifamily
Beachwood, OH



Famous Footwear – Industrial Distribution Center
Lebanon, TN



Two Multi-Tenant Industrial Distribution Centers
Greenville, SC



FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

(Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

Year Ended December 31,


	2016	2015
Total revenues	\$ 70,588	\$ 65,711
Depreciation and amortization	18,164	16,384
Real estate expenses and acquisition costs	9,527	6,496
Other expenses	11,204	10,178
Total operating expenses	38,895	33,058
Operating income	\$ 31,693	\$ 32,653
Net income	\$ 24,481	\$ 21,907
Less net income attributable to non-controlling interests	(59)	(1,390)
Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc.	\$ 24,422	\$ 20,517
Net income per common share—diluted	\$ 1.39	\$ 1.22
Weighted average number of common shares—diluted	16,882	16,079

December 31,

	2016	2015
Real estate investments, net	\$651,213	\$562,257
Properties held-for-sale	—	12,259
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	10,833	11,350
Cash and cash equivalents	17,420	12,736
Total assets	733,445	646,499
Mortgages payable, net of deferred financing costs	394,898	331,055
Line of credit, net of deferred financing costs	9,064	17,744
Total liabilities	441,518	384,073
Total equity	291,927	262,426


TOTAL REVENUES

(Dollars in Millions)



ADJUSTED FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS⁽¹⁾

(Per Common Diluted Share)



⁽¹⁾See page 30 of the Form 10-K for a reconciliation of net income in accordance with GAAP to AFFO for each of the indicated years.



ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC.

2016
FORM 10-K

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016

Or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission File Number 001-09279

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MARYLAND

(State or other jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

13-3147497

(I.R.S. employer
Identification No.)

60 Cutter Mill Road, Great Neck, New York

(Address of principal executive offices)

11021

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(516) 466-3100**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of exchange on which registered
Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **NONE**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a small reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "small reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a small reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a shell company (defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2016 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter), the aggregate market value of all common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant, computed by reference to the price at which common equity was last sold on said date, was approximately \$318 million.

As of March 1, 2017, the registrant had 18,399,621 shares of common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the proxy statement for the 2017 annual meeting of stockholders of One Liberty Properties, Inc., to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than May 1, 2017, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS
Form 10-K

<u>Item No.</u>		<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>PART I</i>		
1.	Business	1
1A.	Risk Factors	9
1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	19
2.	Properties	19
3.	Legal Proceedings	25
4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	25
<i>PART II</i>		
5.	Market for the Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	26
6.	Selected Financial Data	28
7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	32
7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	46
8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	47
9.	Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	47
9A.	Controls and Procedures	47
9B.	Other Information	48
<i>PART III</i>		
10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	49
11.	Executive Compensation	50
12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	51
13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	51
14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	51
<i>PART IV</i>		
15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	52
16.	Form 10-K Summary	54
	Signatures	55

PART I

Item 1. Business.

General

We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, also known as a REIT. We were incorporated in Maryland on December 20, 1982. We acquire, own and manage a geographically diversified portfolio, consisting primarily of retail, industrial, flex and health and fitness properties, many of which are subject to long-term leases. Many of our leases are “net leases” and ground leases under which the tenant is typically responsible for real estate taxes, insurance and ordinary maintenance and repairs. As of December 31, 2016, we own 114 properties and participate in joint ventures that own five properties. These 119 properties are located in 30 states and have an aggregate of approximately 10.1 million square feet (including an aggregate of approximately 1.2 million square feet at properties owned by our joint ventures).

As of December 31, 2016:

- our 2017 contractual rental income (as described below) is \$65.8 million.
- the occupancy rate of our properties is 97.3% based on square footage.
- the occupancy rate of properties owned by our joint ventures is 97.9% based on square footage.
- the weighted average remaining term of our mortgage debt is 9.3 years and the weighted average interest rate thereon is 4.27%.
- the weighted average remaining term of the leases generating our 2017 contractual rental income and for the leases at properties owned by our joint ventures is 8.9 years and 2.8 years, respectively.

Our 2017 contractual rental income represents, after giving effect to any abatements, concessions or adjustments, the base rent payable to us in 2017 under leases in effect at December 31, 2016. Excluded from 2017 contractual rental income are approximately \$875,000 of straight-line rent, amortization of approximately \$998,000 of intangibles, and our share of the base rent payable to our joint ventures, which in 2017 is approximately \$2.8 million.

2016 Highlights and Recent Developments

In 2016:

- our rental income, net, increased by \$5.2 million, or 8.8%, to \$64.2 million, from \$59.0 million in 2015.
- we acquired 11 properties for an aggregate purchase price of \$118.6 million, including new mortgage debt of \$25.6 million. The acquired properties account for \$9.1 million, or 13.9%, of our 2017 contractual rental income.
- we sold 12 properties (including a portfolio of eight convenience stores) for a net gain on sale of real estate of \$10.0 million—the properties sold accounted for 1.8% of 2016 rental income.
- we obtained gross proceeds of \$137.6 million from mortgage financings (including acquisition mortgage debt of \$25.6 million), and refinancings.
- we increased our quarterly dividend 4.9% to \$0.43 per share, commencing with the dividend declared in December 2016 and paid in January 2017.
- we raised approximately \$25.8 million from the issuance of 1,080,000 shares of common stock pursuant to our at-the-market equity offering program.

- we renewed, extended and/or entered into leases covering more than one million square feet, including leases with two tenants that in the aggregate account for approximately 6.3% of 2017 contractual rental income.
- we amended and restated our credit facility to increase to up to \$100 million the amount available for borrowing thereunder and extended the facility's maturity date to December 31, 2019.
- we agreed to sell our vacant Greenwood Village, Colorado property previously tenanted by Sports Authority. Completion of the transaction is subject to satisfaction of specified conditions. We anticipate that this transaction will be completed in the 2nd half of 2017 and that our gain from this sale will range from approximately \$5 million to \$7 million. We can provide no assurance that the sale will be completed or that the anticipated gain will be recognized.

In the narrative portion of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

- the information with respect to our consolidated joint ventures is generally described as if such ventures are our wholly owned subsidiaries and information with respect to unconsolidated joint ventures is generally separately described,
- except as otherwise indicated, (i) all references to joint ventures refer to unconsolidated joint ventures, (ii) all interest rates with respect to debt give effect to the related interest rate derivative, if any, (iii) amounts reflected as debt, reflects the gross debt owed, without deducting deferred financing costs, and (iv) square footage and terms of like import refers to the total square footage of the applicable building, including common areas, if any, and
- 2017 contractual rental income derived from multiple properties leased pursuant to a master lease is allocated among such properties based on management's estimate of the appropriate allocations.

Acquisition Strategies

We seek to acquire properties throughout the United States that have locations, demographics and other investment attributes that we believe to be attractive. We believe that long-term leases provide a predictable income stream over the term of the lease, making fluctuations in market rental rates and in real estate values less significant to achieving our overall investment objectives. Our primary goal is to acquire single-tenant properties that are subject to long-term net or ground leases that include periodic contractual rental increases or rent increases based on increases in the consumer price index. Periodic contractual rental increases provide reliable increases in future rent payments and rent increases based on the consumer price index provide protection against inflation. Historically, long-term leases have made it easier for us to obtain longer-term, fixed-rate mortgage financing with principal amortization, thereby moderating the interest rate risk associated with financing or refinancing our property portfolio and reducing the outstanding principal balance over time. We may, however, acquire a property that is subject to a short-term lease when we believe the property represents a good opportunity for recurring income and residual value. Although the acquisition of single-tenant properties subject to net and ground leases is the focus of our investment strategy, we also consider investments in, among other things, (i) properties that can be re-positioned or re-developed, (ii) community shopping centers anchored by national or regional tenants and (iii) properties ground leased to operators of multi-family properties. We pay substantially all the operating expenses at community shopping centers, a significant portion of which is reimbursed by tenants pursuant to their leases.

Generally, we hold the properties we acquire for an extended period of time. Our investment criteria are intended to identify properties from which increased asset value and overall return can be realized from an extended period of ownership. Although our investment criteria favor an extended period of ownership, we will dispose of a property if we regard the disposition of the property as an

opportunity to realize the overall value of the property sooner or to avoid future risks by achieving a determinable return from the property.

We identify properties through the network of contacts of our senior management and our affiliates, which contacts include real estate brokers, private equity firms, banks and law firms. In addition, we attend industry conferences and engage in direct solicitations.

Our charter documents do not limit the number of properties in which we may invest, the amount or percentage of our assets that may be invested in any specific property or property type, or the concentration of investments in any region in the United States. We do not intend to acquire properties located outside of the United States. We will continue to form entities to acquire interests in real properties, either alone or with other investors, and we may acquire interests in joint ventures or other entities that own real property.

It is our policy, and the policy of our affiliated entities, that any investment opportunity presented to us or to any of our affiliated entities that involves the acquisition of a net leased property, a ground lease or a community shopping center, will first be offered to us and may not be pursued by any of our affiliated entities unless we decline the opportunity. Further, to the extent our affiliates are unable or unwilling to pursue an acquisition of a multi-family property (including a ground lease of a multi-family property), we may pursue such transaction if it meets our investment objectives.

Investment Evaluation

In evaluating potential investments, we consider, among other criteria, the following:

- the current and projected cash flow of the property;
- the estimated return on equity to us;
- an evaluation of the property and improvements, given its location and use;
- local demographics (population and rental trends);
- the terms of tenant leases, including co-tenancy provisions and the relationship between current rents and market rents;
- the ability of a tenant, if a net leased property, or major tenants, if a multi-tenant property, to meet operational needs and lease obligations;
- an evaluation of the credit quality of the tenant;
- the projected residual value of the property;
- the potential to finance or refinance the property;
- potential for income and capital appreciation;
- occupancy of and demand for similar properties in the market area; and
- alternate uses or tenants for the property.

Our Business Objective

Our business objective is to maintain and increase, over time, the cash available for distribution to our stockholders by:

- identifying opportunistic and strategic property acquisitions consistent with our portfolio and our acquisition strategies;

- obtaining mortgage indebtedness (including refinancings) on favorable terms and maintaining access to capital to finance property acquisitions; and
- monitoring and maintaining our portfolio, including tenant negotiations and lease amendments with tenants that are renewing, expanding or having financial difficulty; and
- managing our portfolio effectively, including opportunistic and strategic property sales.

Typical Property Attributes

As of December 31, 2016, the properties in our portfolio and those owned by our joint ventures typically have the following attributes:

- *Net or ground leases.* Many of our leases are net and ground leases under which the tenant is typically responsible for real estate taxes, insurance and ordinary maintenance and repairs. We believe that investments in net and ground leased properties offer reasonably predictable returns;
- *Long-term leases.* Many of our leases are long-term leases. Excluding leases relating to properties owned by our joint ventures, the weighted average remaining term of our leases is 8.9 years, leases representing approximately 37.1% of our 2017 contractual rental income expire between 2022 and 2025, and leases representing approximately 40.8% of our 2017 contractual rental income expire after 2026; and
- *Scheduled rent increases.* Leases representing approximately 80.8% of our 2017 contractual rental income and leases representing 28.0% of our share of the base rent payable in 2017 with respect to properties owned by joint ventures provide for either periodic contractual rent increases or a rent increase based on the consumer price index.

Our Tenants

The following table sets forth information about the diversification of our tenants by industry sector as of December 31, 2016:

<u>Type of Property</u>	<u>Number of Tenants</u>	<u>Number of Properties</u>	<u>2017 Contractual Rental Income</u>	<u>Percentage of 2017 Contractual Rental Income</u>
Retail—General	86	40	\$17,983,780	27.3
Industrial	21	21	17,932,032	27.3
Retail—Furniture(1)	3	14	5,938,781	9.0
Retail—Restaurant	13	17	3,542,097	5.4
Flex	3	3	3,310,099	5.0
Health & Fitness	1	3	3,075,583	4.7
Retail—Supermarket	2	2	2,444,943	3.7
Retail—Office Supply(2)	1	7	2,430,407	3.7
Theater	1	2	2,286,136	3.5
Other	5	5	6,853,892	10.4
	<u>136</u>	<u>114</u>	<u>\$65,797,750</u>	<u>100.0</u>

(1) Eleven properties are net leased to Haverty Furniture Companies, Inc., which we refer to as Haverty Furniture, pursuant to a master lease covering all such properties.

(2) Includes seven properties which are net leased to Office Depot pursuant to seven separate leases. Five of the Office Depot leases contain cross-default provisions.

Many of our tenants (including franchisees of national chains) operate on a national basis and include, among others, Advanced Auto, Applebees, Barnes & Noble, Burlington Coat Factory, CarMax, CVS, Famous Footwear, FedEx, Ferguson Enterprises, Kohl's, LA Fitness, Marshalls, Men's Warehouse, Northern Tool, Office Depot, Party City, PetSmart, Ross Stores, Shutterfly, TGI Friday's, The Toro Company, Urban Outfitters, Walgreens, Wendy's and Whole Foods and some of our tenants operate on a regional basis, including Haverty Furniture, Giant Food Stores and hhgregg.

Our Leases

Many of our leases are net or ground leases (including the leases entered into by our joint ventures) under which the tenant, in addition to its rental obligation, typically is responsible for expenses attributable to the operation of the property, such as real estate taxes and assessments, water and sewer rents and other charges. The tenant is also generally responsible for maintaining the property and for restoration following a casualty or partial condemnation. The tenant is typically obligated to indemnify us for claims arising from the property and is responsible for maintaining insurance coverage for the property it leases and naming us an additional insured. Under some net leases, we are responsible for structural repairs, including foundation and slab, roof repair or replacement and restoration following a casualty event, and at several properties we are responsible for certain expenses related to the operation and maintenance of the property.

Our typical lease provides for contractual rent increases periodically throughout the term of the lease or for rent increases pursuant to a formula based on the consumer price index. Some of our leases provide for minimum rents supplemented by additional payments based on sales derived from the property subject to the lease (*i.e.*, percentage rent). Percentage rent contributed less than and is expected to contribute less than \$50,000 to 2016 rental income and 2017 rental income, respectively.

Generally, our strategy is to acquire properties that are subject to existing long-term leases or to enter into long-term leases with our tenants. Our leases generally provide the tenant with one or more renewal options.

The following table sets forth scheduled lease expirations of leases for our properties as of December 31, 2016:

<u>Year of Lease Expiration(1)</u>	<u>Number of Expiring Leases</u>	<u>Approximate Square Footage Subject to Expiring Leases</u>	<u>2017 Contractual Rental Income Under Expiring Leases</u>	<u>Percentage of 2017 Contractual Rental Income Represented by Expiring Leases</u>
2017	16	83,554	\$ 923,785	1.4
2018	20	307,843	2,687,364	4.1
2019	13	326,707	2,975,037	4.5
2020	11	175,659	3,246,874	4.9
2021	22	586,247	4,748,762	7.2
2022	17	1,860,663	12,130,737	18.4
2023	8	609,001	4,389,218	6.7
2024	5	377,222	2,200,754	3.4
2025	11	400,728	5,664,221	8.6
2026 and thereafter	38	3,868,156	26,830,998	40.8
	<u>161</u>	<u>8,595,780</u>	<u>\$65,797,750</u>	<u>100.0</u>

(1) Lease expirations assume tenants do not exercise existing renewal options.

Financing, Re-Renting and Disposition of Our Properties

Our charter documents do not limit the level of debt we may incur. Our revolving credit facility matures on December 31, 2019 and, among other things, limits total debt that we may incur to 70% of the total value of our properties (as determined pursuant to the credit facility). We borrow funds on a secured and unsecured basis and intend to continue to do so in the future.

We mortgage specific properties on a non-recourse basis, subject to the standard carve-outs described under “Item 2. Properties—Mortgage Debt”, to enhance the return on our investment in a specific property. The proceeds of mortgage loans may be used for property acquisitions, investments in joint ventures or other entities that own real property, to reduce bank debt and for working capital purposes. The funds available pursuant to our credit facility may be used to payoff existing mortgages, fund the acquisition of additional properties, and to a more limited extent, invest in joint ventures and for working capital. Net proceeds received from the sale, financing or refinancing of properties are generally required to be used to repay amounts outstanding under our credit facility.

With respect to properties we acquire on a free and clear basis, we usually seek to obtain long-term fixed-rate mortgage financing, when available at acceptable terms, shortly after the acquisition of such property to avoid the risk of movement of interest rates and fluctuating supply and demand in the mortgage markets. We also will acquire a property that is subject to (and will assume) a fixed-rate mortgage. Substantially all of our mortgages provide for amortization of part of the principal balance during the term, thereby reducing the refinancing risk at maturity. Some of our properties may be financed on a cross-defaulted or cross-collateralized basis, and we may collateralize a single financing with more than one property.

After termination or expiration of any lease relating to any of our properties, we will seek to re-rent or sell such property in a manner that will maximize the return to us, considering, among other factors, the income potential and market value of such property. We acquire properties for long-term investment for income purposes and do not typically engage in the turnover of investments. We will consider the sale of a property if a sale appears advantageous in view of our investment objectives. If there is a substantial tax gain, we may seek to enter into a tax deferred transaction and reinvest the proceeds in another property. Cash realized from the sale of properties, net of required payoffs of the related mortgage debt, if any, required paydowns of our credit facility, and distributions to stockholders, is available for general working capital purposes and the acquisition of additional properties.

Our Joint Ventures

As of December 31, 2016, we participated in five joint ventures that own an aggregate of five properties, with approximately 1.2 million square feet of space. Four of the properties are retail properties and one is an industrial property. We own 50% of the equity interest in all of these joint ventures. At December 31, 2016, our investment in joint ventures was approximately \$10.8 million.

Based on the leases in effect at December 31, 2016, we anticipate that our share of the base rent payable in 2017 to our joint ventures is approximately \$2.8 million. Leases for two properties are expected to contribute 87.9% of the aggregate projected base rent payable to all of our joint ventures in 2017. Leases with respect to 53.5%, 19.4% and 27.1% of the aggregate projected base rent payable to all of our joint ventures in 2017, is payable pursuant to leases expiring from 2017 to 2018, from 2019 to 2020, and thereafter, respectively.

See “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Other Developments” for information regarding properties tenanted by Kmart.

Competition

We face competition for the acquisition of properties from a variety of investors, including domestic and foreign corporations and real estate companies, financial institutions, insurance companies, pension funds, investment funds, other REITs and individuals, some of which have significant advantages over us, including a larger, more diverse group of properties and greater financial and other resources than we have.

Our Structure

Eight employees, including Patrick J. Callan, Jr., our president and chief executive officer, Lawrence G. Ricketts, Jr., our executive vice president and chief operating officer, Justin Clair, a vice-president, Karen Dunleavy, vice president-financial and four other employees, devote all of their business time to us. Our other executive, administrative, legal, accounting and clerical personnel provide their services to us on a part-time basis pursuant to the compensation and services agreement described below.

We entered into a compensation and services agreement with Majestic Property Management Corp., effective as of January 1, 2007. Majestic Property is wholly-owned by our vice chairman of the board and it provides compensation to certain of our executive officers. Pursuant to this agreement, we pay fees to Majestic Property and Majestic Property provides us with the services of all affiliated executive, administrative, legal, accounting and clerical personnel that we use on a part time basis, as well as property management services, property acquisition, sales and leasing and mortgage brokerage services. The fees we pay Majestic Property are negotiated by us and Majestic Property in consultation with our audit and compensation committees, and are approved by these committees and our independent directors.

In 2016, pursuant to the compensation and services agreement, we paid Majestic Property a fee of approximately \$2.5 million and \$196,000 for our share of all direct office expenses, including, among other expenses, rent, telephone, postage, computer services and internet usage. Included in the \$2.5 million fee is \$1.1 million for property management services—the fee for the property management services is based on 1.5% and 2.0% of the rental payments (including tenant reimbursements) actually received by us from net lease tenants and operating lease tenants, respectively. Property management fees are not paid with respect to properties managed by third parties. Based on our portfolio of properties at December 31, 2016, we estimate that the property management fee in 2017 will be approximately \$1.2 million.

We believe that the compensation and services agreement allows us to benefit from (i) access to, and from the services of, a group of senior executives with significant knowledge and experience in the real estate industry and our company, (ii) other individuals who perform services on our behalf, and (iii) general economies of scale. If not for this agreement, we believe that a company of our size would not have access to the skills and expertise of these executives at the cost that we have incurred and will incur in the future. For a description of the background of our management, please see the information under the heading “Executive Officers” in Part I of this Annual Report. See Note 12 to our consolidated financial statements for information regarding equity awards to individuals performing services on our behalf pursuant to the compensation and services agreement.

Available Information

Our Internet address is www.onelibertyproperties.com. On the Investor Information page of our web site, we post the following filings as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”): our annual report on Form 10-K, our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, our current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All such filings on our Investor Information Web page, which also includes Forms 3, 4 and 5 filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, are available to be viewed free of charge.

On the Corporate Governance page of our web site, we post the following charters and guidelines: Audit Committee Charter, Compensation Committee Charter, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee Charter, Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, as amended and restated. All such documents on our Corporate Governance Web page are available to be viewed free of charge.

Information contained on our web site is not part of, and is not incorporated by reference into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K or our other filings with the SEC. A copy of this Annual Report on Form 10-K and those items disclosed on our Investor Information Web page and our Corporate Governance Web page are available without charge upon written request to: One Liberty Properties, Inc., 60 Cutter Mill Road, Suite 303, Great Neck, New York 11021, Attention: Secretary.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by us, contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provision for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and include this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe our future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words “may,” “will,” “could,” “believe,” “expect,” “intend,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “project,” or similar expressions or variations thereof. You should not rely on forward-looking statements since they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which are, in some cases, beyond our control and which could materially affect actual results, performance or achievements. Factors which may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to:

- the financial condition of our tenants and the performance of their lease obligations;
- general economic and business conditions, including those currently affecting our nation’s economy and real estate markets;
- the availability of and costs associated with sources of liquidity;
- accessibility of debt and equity capital markets;
- general and local real estate conditions, including any changes in the value of our real estate;
- compliance with credit facility covenants;
- increased competition for leasing of vacant space due to current economic conditions;
- changes in governmental laws and regulations relating to real estate and related investments;
- the level and volatility of interest rates;
- competition in our industry; and
- the other risks described under Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Any or all of our forward-looking statements in this report and in any other public statements we make may turn out to be incorrect. Actual results may differ from our forward-looking statements because of inaccurate assumptions we might make or because of the occurrence of known or unknown

risks and uncertainties. Many factors mentioned in the discussion below will be important in determining future results. Consequently, no forward-looking statement can be guaranteed and you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Actual future results may vary materially.

Except as may be required under the United States federal securities laws, we undertake no obligation to publicly update our forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures we make in our reports that are filed with or furnished to the SEC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Set forth below is a discussion of certain risks affecting our business. The categorization of risks set forth below is meant to help you better understand the risks facing our business and is not intended to limit your consideration of the possible effects of these risks to the listed categories. Any adverse effects arising from the realization of any of the risks discussed, including our financial condition and results of operation, may, and likely will, adversely affect many aspects of our business.

In addition to the other information contained or incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K, readers should carefully consider the following risk factors:

Risks Related to Our Business

Approximately 49.1% of our 2017 contractual rental income is derived from tenants operating in the retail industry and the failure of those tenants to pay rent would significantly reduce our revenues.

Approximately 49.1% of our 2017 contractual rental income is derived from retail tenants, including 9.0% from tenants engaged in retail furniture (*i.e.*, Haverty Furniture accounts for 7.1% of 2017 contractual rental income) and 3.7% from tenants engaged in office supply activities (*i.e.*, Office Depot accounts for 3.7% of 2017 contractual rental income).

Various factors could cause our retail tenants to close their locations, including difficult economic conditions and e-commerce competition. The failure of our retail tenants to meet their lease obligations, including rent payment obligations, due to these and other factors, may make it difficult for us to satisfy our operating and debt service requirements, make capital expenditures and pay dividends.

If we are unable to re-rent properties upon the expiration of our leases or if our tenants default or seek bankruptcy protection, our rental income will be reduced and we would incur additional costs.

Substantially all of our rental income is derived from rent paid by our tenants. From 2017 through 2019, leases with respect to 49 tenants that account for 10.0% of our 2017 contractual rental income, expire. If our tenants, and in particular, our significant tenants, (i) do not renew their leases upon the expiration of same, (ii) default on their obligations or (iii) seek rent relief, lease renegotiation or other accommodations, our revenues could decline and, in certain cases, co-tenancy provisions may be triggered possibly allowing other tenants at the same property to reduce their rental payments or terminate their leases. At the same time, we would remain responsible for the payment of the mortgage obligations with respect to the related properties and would become responsible for the operating expenses related to these properties, including, among other things, real estate taxes, maintenance and insurance. In addition, we may incur expenses in enforcing our rights as landlord. Even if we find replacement tenants or renegotiate leases with current tenants, the terms of the new or renegotiated leases, including the cost of required renovations or concessions to tenants, or the expense of the reconfiguration of a tenant's space, may be less favorable than current lease terms and could reduce the amount of cash available to meet expenses and pay dividends. During the 19 months ended December 31, 2016, three properties became (and remain) vacant, the former tenants are no longer

paying rent, we have been unable to re-lease such properties and we anticipate expending an estimated \$78,000 per month (including operating and interest expense), in maintaining these properties until they are re-leased or sold, as to which no assurance can be given. Further, Kmart Holding Corp., hhgregg, Inc. and TVI, Inc (a/k/a Savers Thrift Superstores), tenants at our properties (including a property owned by an unconsolidated joint venture), have announced that they intend to close stores located at our properties and hhgregg has filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. If tenants facing financial difficulties default on their obligation to pay rent or do not renew their leases at lease expiration, our results of operations and financial condition may be adversely affected. See “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition or Results of Operations—Other Developments” for further information with respect to our Kmart, hhgregg and Savers Thrift Superstores properties.

Approximately 23.4% of our 2017 contractual rental income is derived from five tenants. The default, financial distress or failure of any of these tenants could significantly reduce our revenues.

Haverty Furniture, LA Fitness, Northern Tool, Office Depot and Ferguson Enterprises account for approximately 7.1%, 4.7%, 4.2%, 3.7% and 3.7%, respectively, of our 2017 contractual rental income. The default, financial distress or bankruptcy of any of these tenants could cause interruptions in the receipt of, or the loss of, a significant amount of rental income and would require us to pay operating expenses (including real estate taxes) currently paid by the tenant. This could also result in the vacancy of the property or properties occupied by the defaulting tenant, which would significantly reduce our rental revenues and net income until the re-rental of the property or properties, and could decrease the ultimate sale value of the property.

Declines in the value of our properties could result in impairment charges.

If we are presented with indications of an impairment in the value of a particular property or group of properties, we will be required to evaluate any such property or properties. If we determine that any of our properties at which indicators of impairment exist have a fair market value below the net book value of such property, we will be required to recognize an impairment charge for the difference between the fair value and the book value during the quarter in which we make such determination; such impairment charges may then increase in subsequent quarters. This evaluation may lead us to write off any straight-line rent receivable balance recorded with respect to such property. In addition, we may incur losses from time to time if we dispose of properties for sales prices that are less than our book value.

Competition that traditional retail tenants face from e-commerce retail sales could adversely affect our business.

Our retail tenants face increasing competition from e-commerce retailers. These retailers may be able to provide customers with better pricing and the ease and comfort of shopping from their home or office. E-commerce sales have been obtaining an increasing percentage of retail sales over the past few years and this trend is expected to continue. The continued growth of e-commerce sales could decrease the need for traditional retail outlets and reduce retailers’ space and property requirements. This could adversely impact our ability to rent space at our retail properties and increase competition for retail tenants thereby reducing the rent we would receive at these properties and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

If we are unable to refinance our mortgage loans at maturity, we may be forced to sell properties at disadvantageous terms, which would result in the loss of revenues and in a decline in the value of our portfolio.

We had, as of December 31, 2016, \$399.2 million in mortgage debt outstanding, all of which is non-recourse (subject to standard carve-outs) and our ratio of mortgage debt to total assets was 54.4%. Our joint ventures had \$36.0 million in total mortgage indebtedness (all of which is non-recourse, subject to standard carve-outs). The risks associated with our mortgage debt and the mortgage debt of our joint ventures include the risk that cash flow from properties securing the indebtedness and our available cash and cash equivalents will be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and interest.

Generally, only a relatively small portion of the principal of our mortgage indebtedness will be repaid prior to or at maturity and we do not plan to retain sufficient cash to repay such indebtedness at maturity. Accordingly, to meet these obligations if they cannot be refinanced at maturity, we will have to use funds available under our credit facility, if any, and our available cash and cash equivalents to pay our mortgage debt or seek to raise funds through the financing of unencumbered properties, sale of properties or the issuance of additional equity. From 2017 through 2021, approximately \$89.2 million of our mortgage debt matures—specifically, \$19.1 million in 2017, \$20.5 million in 2018, \$14.2 million in 2019, \$14.9 million in 2020 and \$20.5 million in 2021. With respect to our joint ventures, approximately \$7.9 million of mortgage debt matures from 2017 through 2021—specifically, \$903,000 in 2017, \$4.3 million in 2018, \$877,000 in 2019, \$911,000 in 2020, and \$948,000 in 2021. If we (or our joint ventures) are unsuccessful in refinancing or extending existing mortgage indebtedness or financing unencumbered properties, selling properties on favorable terms or raising additional equity, our cash flow (or the cash flow of a joint venture) will not be sufficient to repay all maturing mortgage debt when payments become due, and we (or a joint venture) may be forced to dispose of properties on disadvantageous terms or convey properties secured by mortgages to the mortgagees, which would lower our revenues and the value of our portfolio.

We may find that the value of a property could be less than the mortgage secured by such property. We may also have to decide whether we should refinance or pay off a mortgage on a property at which the mortgage matures prior to lease expiration and the tenant may not renew the lease. In these types of situations, after evaluating various factors, including among other things, the tenant's competitive position in the applicable submarket, our and our tenant's estimates of its prospects, consideration of alternative uses and opportunities to re-purpose or re-let the property, we may seek to renegotiate the terms of the mortgage, or to the extent that the loan is non-recourse and the terms of the mortgage cannot be satisfactorily renegotiated, forfeit the property by conveying it to the mortgagee and writing off our investment.

If our borrowings increase, the risk of default on our repayment obligations and our debt service requirements will also increase.

The terms of our revolving credit facility limit our ability to incur indebtedness, including limiting the total indebtedness that we may incur to an amount equal to 70% of the total value (as defined in the credit facility) of our properties. Increased leverage could result in increased risk of default on our payment obligations related to borrowings and in an increase in debt service requirements, which could reduce our net income and the amount of cash available to meet expenses and to pay dividends.

If a significant number of our tenants default or fail to renew expiring leases, or we take impairment charges against our properties, a breach of our revolving credit facility could occur.

Our revolving credit facility includes covenants that require us to maintain certain financial ratios and comply with other requirements. If our tenants default under their leases with us or fail to renew

expiring leases, generally accepted accounting principles may require us to recognize impairment charges against our properties, and our financial position could be adversely affected causing us to be in breach of the financial covenants contained in our credit facility.

Failure to meet interest and other payment obligations under our revolving credit facility or a breach by us of the covenants to maintain the financial ratios would place us in default under our credit facility, and, if the banks called a default and required us to repay the full amount outstanding under the credit facility, we might be required to rapidly dispose of our properties, which could have an adverse impact on the amounts we receive on such disposition. If we are unable to dispose of our properties in a timely fashion to the satisfaction of the banks, the banks could foreclose on that portion of our collateral pledged to the banks, which could result in the disposition of our properties at below market values. The disposition of our properties at below our carrying value would adversely affect our net income, reduce our stockholders' equity and adversely affect our ability to pay dividends.

Impairment charges against owned real estate may not be adequate to cover actual losses.

Impairment charges are based on an evaluation of known risks and economic factors. The determination of an appropriate level of impairment charges is an inherently difficult process and is based on numerous assumptions. The amount of impairment charges of real estate is susceptible to changes in economic, operating and other conditions that are largely beyond our control. Any impairment charges that we may take may not be adequate to cover actual losses and we may need to take additional impairment charges in the future. Actual losses and additional impairment charges in the future could materially affect our results of operations.

If interest rates increase or credit markets tighten, it may be more difficult for us to secure financing, which may limit our ability to finance or refinance our real estate properties, reduce the number of properties we can acquire, and adversely affect your investment.

Increases in interest rates or reduced access to credit markets may make it difficult for us to finance or refinance mortgage debt, limit the mortgage debt available on properties we wish to acquire and limit the properties we can acquire. Even in the event that we are able to secure mortgage debt on, or otherwise finance our real estate properties, due to increased costs associated with securing financing and other factors beyond our control, we may be unable to refinance the entire outstanding loan balance or be subject to unfavorable terms (such as higher loan fees, interest rates and periodic payments) if we do refinance the loan balance. Either of these results could reduce income from those properties and reduce cash available for dividends, which may adversely affect the investment goals of our stockholders.

Interest rates have been at historically low levels the past several years. If we are required to refinance mortgage debt that matures over the next several years at higher interest rates than such mortgage debt currently bears, the funds available for dividends may be significantly reduced. The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2016, the principal balance of the mortgage payments

due at maturity on our properties and the weighted average interest rate thereon (dollars in thousands):

Year	Principal Balances Due at Maturity	Weighted Average Interest Rate
2017	\$ 9,048	4.95%
2018	10,260	4.26
2019	3,485	3.88
2020	3,431	5.75
2021	8,729	4.12
2022 and thereafter	236,804	4.19

If our ground lease tenants defer rent payments, our rental income and cash flow may be adversely effected.

An aggregate of approximately \$3.7 million, or 5.6%, of 2017 contractual rental income is attributable to three separate ground leases (*i.e.*, 1.7%, 1.6% and 2.3% of 2017 contractual rental income), improved by multi-family complexes. The obligation of each ground lease tenant, the owner/operator of the multi-family complex, to pay base rent is deferred if and to the extent the monthly operating performance at the applicable multi-family property in a given month is less than the monthly rent payable to us. The owner/operators of these complexes are affiliated with one another. The aggregate carrying value of our land which is subject to these ground leases was \$34.0 million at December 31, 2016 (*i.e.*, \$9.6 million, \$10.5 million and \$13.9 million) and is subordinate to an aggregate of \$150.7 million of mortgage debt incurred by these tenants.

If one or more of these ground lease tenants defer the payment of rent, our rental income and cash flow would be adversely effected. If the mortgage payments are not made by our tenants or us (to the extent we are permitted to pay same), and we are forced to sell our interests or our interest is foreclosed upon, we may incur a significant impairment charge. See “*Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Off Balance Sheet Arrangements*” and notes 4 and 6 to our consolidated financial statements.

Certain of our net leases and our ground leases require us to pay property related expenses that are not the obligations of our tenants.

Under the terms of substantially all of our net leases, in addition to satisfying their rent obligations, our tenants are responsible for the payment of real estate taxes, insurance and ordinary maintenance and repairs. However, under the provisions of certain net and ground leases, we are required to pay some expenses, such as the costs of environmental liabilities, roof and structural repairs, insurance premiums, certain non-structural repairs and maintenance. If our properties incur significant expenses that must be paid by us under the terms of our leases, our business, financial condition and results of operations will be adversely affected and the amount of cash available to meet expenses and pay dividends may be reduced.

Uninsured and underinsured losses may affect the revenues generated by, the value of, and the return from a property affected by a casualty or other claim.

Substantially all of our tenants obtain, for our benefit, comprehensive insurance covering our properties in amounts that are intended to be sufficient to provide for the replacement of the improvements at each property. However, the amount of insurance coverage maintained for any property may not be sufficient to pay the full replacement cost of the improvements at the property following a casualty event. In addition, the rent loss coverage under the policy may not extend for the full period of time that a tenant may be entitled to a rent abatement as a result of, or that may be

required to complete restoration following, a casualty event. In addition, there are certain types of losses, such as those arising from earthquakes, floods, hurricanes and terrorist attacks, that may be uninsurable or that may not be economically insurable. Changes in zoning, building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors also may make it impossible or impracticable for us to use insurance proceeds to replace damaged or destroyed improvements at a property. If restoration is not or cannot be completed to the extent, or within the period of time, specified in certain of our leases, the tenant may have the right to terminate the lease. If any of these or similar events occur, it may reduce our revenues, the value of, or our return from, an affected property.

Our revenues and the value of our portfolio are affected by a number of factors that affect investments in real estate generally.

We are subject to the general risks of investing in real estate. These include adverse changes in economic conditions and local conditions such as changing demographics, retailing trends and traffic patterns, declines in the rental rates, changes in the supply and price of quality properties and the market supply and demand of competing properties, the impact of environmental laws, security concerns, prepayment penalties applicable under mortgage financings, changes in tax, zoning, building code, fire safety and other laws and regulations, the type of insurance coverage available in the market, and changes in the type, capacity and sophistication of building systems. Approximately 49.1% and 27.3% of our 2017 contractual rental income is from retail and industrial tenants, respectively, and we are vulnerable to economic declines that negatively impact these sectors of the economy, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Our revenues and the value of our portfolio are affected by a number of factors that affect investments in leased real estate generally.

We are subject to the general risks of investing in leased real estate. These include the non-performance of lease obligations by tenants, leasehold improvements that will be costly or difficult to remove should it become necessary to re-rent the leased space for other uses, covenants in certain retail leases that limit the types of tenants to which available space can be rented (which may limit demand or reduce the rents realized on re-renting), rights of termination of leases due to events of casualty or condemnation affecting the leased space or the property or due to interruption of the tenant's quiet enjoyment of the leased premises, and obligations of a landlord to restore the leased premises or the property following events of casualty or condemnation. The occurrence of any of these events could adversely impact our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Real estate investments are relatively illiquid and their values may decline.

Real estate investments are relatively illiquid. Therefore, we will be limited in our ability to reconfigure our real estate portfolio in response to economic changes. We may encounter difficulty in disposing of properties when tenants vacate either at the expiration of the applicable lease or otherwise. If we decide to sell any of our properties, our ability to sell these properties and the prices we receive on their sale may be affected by many factors, including the number of potential buyers, the number of competing properties on the market and other market conditions, as well as whether the property is leased and if it is leased, the terms of the lease. As a result, we may be unable to sell our properties for an extended period of time without incurring a loss, which would adversely affect our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

The concentration of our properties in certain states may make our revenues and the value of our portfolio vulnerable to adverse changes in local economic conditions.

Many of the properties we own are located in the same or a limited number of geographic regions. Approximately 42.0% of our 2017 contractual rental income will be derived from properties located in five states—Texas (13.0%), South Carolina (8.6%), New York (7.9%), Illinois (6.8%) and Georgia (5.7%). At December 31, 2016, approximately 41.1% of the net book value of our real estate investments was located in five states—Texas (12.8%), South Carolina (10.0%), Illinois (6.2%), Tennessee (6.2%) and Pennsylvania (5.9%). As a result, a decline in the economic conditions in these states (including a decline in Texas as a result of challenges facing the oil industry) or in regions where our properties may be concentrated in the future, may have an adverse effect on the rental and occupancy rates for, and the property values of, these properties, which could lead to a reduction in our rental income and in the results of operations.

We have been, and in the future will be, subject to significant competition and we may not be able to compete successfully for investments.

We have been, and in the future will be, subject to significant competition for attractive investment opportunities from other real estate investors, many of which have greater financial resources than us, including publicly-traded REITs, non-traded REITs, insurance companies, commercial and investment banking firms, private institutional funds, hedge funds, private equity funds and other investors. We may not be able to compete successfully for investments. If we pay higher prices for investments, our returns may be lower and the value of our assets may not increase or may decrease significantly below the amount we paid for such assets. If such events occur, we may experience lower returns on our investments.

We cannot assure you of our ability to pay dividends in the future.

We intend to pay quarterly dividends and to make distributions to our stockholders in amounts such that all or substantially all of our taxable income in each year is distributed. This, along with other factors, will enable us to qualify for the tax benefits accorded to a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “IRC”). We have not established a minimum dividend payment level and our ability to pay dividends may be adversely affected by the risk factors described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. All distributions will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on our earnings (including taxable income), our financial condition, maintenance of our REIT status and such other factors as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time.

If we reduce our dividend, the market value of our common stock may decline.

The level of our common stock dividend is established by our board of directors from time to time based on a variety of factors, including our cash available for distribution, funds from operations, adjusted funds from operations and maintenance of our REIT status. Various factors could cause our board of directors to decrease our dividend level, including insufficient income to cover our dividends, tenant defaults or bankruptcies resulting in a material reduction in our funds from operations or a material loss resulting from an adverse change in the value of one or more of our properties. If our board of directors determines to reduce our common stock dividend, the market value of our common stock could be adversely affected.

Our current and future investments in joint ventures could be adversely affected by the lack of sole decision making authority, reliance on joint venture partners' financial condition, disputes that may arise between our joint venture partners and us and our reliance on one significant joint venture partner.

A number of properties in which we have an interest are owned through consolidated and unconsolidated joint ventures. We may continue to acquire properties through joint ventures and/or contribute some of our properties to joint ventures. Investments in joint ventures may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present when a third party is not involved, including the possibility that joint venture partners might file for bankruptcy protection, or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions. Further, joint venture partners may have conflicting business interests or goals, and as a result there is the potential risk of impasses on decisions, such as a sale and the timing thereof. Any disputes that may arise between joint venture partners and us may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and prevent our officers and/or directors from focusing their time and effort on our business. Consequently, actions by or disputes with joint venture partners might result in subjecting properties owned by the joint venture to additional risk. With respect to our (i) consolidated joint ventures, we own, with two joint venture partners and their respective affiliates, six properties that account for 5.7% of 2017 contractual rental income (and we own one property with one of these joint venture partners through an unconsolidated joint venture), and (ii) unconsolidated joint ventures, we own, with one joint venture partner and its affiliates, three properties which account for our \$334,000 share of 2017 annual base rent. We may be adversely affected if we are unable to maintain a satisfactory working relationship with these joint venture partners or if any of these partners becomes financially distressed.

Compliance with environmental regulations and associated costs could adversely affect our results of operations and liquidity.

Under various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations, an owner or operator of real property may be required to investigate and clean up hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum product releases at the property and may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage and for investigation and cleanup costs incurred in connection with contamination. The cost of investigation, remediation or removal of hazardous or toxic substances may be substantial, and the presence of such substances, or the failure to properly remediate a property, may adversely affect our ability to sell or rent the property or to borrow money using the property as collateral. In connection with our ownership, operation and management of real properties, we may be considered an owner or operator of the properties and, therefore, potentially liable for removal or remediation costs, as well as certain other related costs, including governmental fines and liability for injuries to persons and property, not only with respect to properties we own now or may acquire, but also with respect to properties we have owned in the past.

We cannot provide any assurance that existing environmental studies with respect to any of our properties reveal all potential environmental liabilities, that any prior owner of a property did not create any material environmental condition not known to us, or that a material environmental condition does not otherwise exist, or may not exist in the future, as to any one or more of our properties. If a material environmental condition does in fact exist, or exists in the future, the remediation costs could have a material adverse impact upon our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act could be costly.

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, all public accommodations must meet Federal requirements for access and use by disabled persons. A determination that our properties do not comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act could result in liability for both governmental fines and damages. If we are required to make unanticipated major modifications to any of our properties to

comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act, which are determined not to be the responsibility of our tenants, we could incur unanticipated expenses that could have an adverse impact upon our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Our senior management and other key personnel are critical to our business and our future success depends on our ability to retain them.

We depend on the services of Matthew J. Gould, chairman of our board of directors, Fredric H. Gould, vice chairman of our board of directors, Patrick J. Callan, Jr., our president and chief executive officer, Lawrence G. Ricketts, Jr., our executive vice president and chief operating officer, David W. Kalish, our senior vice president and chief financial officer and Karen Dunleavy, our vice president—financial, and other members of our senior management to carry out our business and investment strategies. Only three of our senior officers, Messrs. Callan and Ricketts, and Ms. Dunleavy, devote all of their business time to us. The remainder of our senior management provides services to us on a part-time, as-needed basis. The loss of the services of any of our senior management or other key personnel, the inability or failure of the members of senior management providing services to us on a part-time basis to devote sufficient time or attention to our activities or our inability to recruit and retain qualified personnel in the future, could impair our ability to carry out our business and investment strategies.

Our transactions with affiliated entities involve conflicts of interest.

From time to time we have entered into transactions with persons and entities affiliated with us and with certain of our officers and directors. Such transactions involve a potential conflict of interest, and entail a risk that we could have obtained more favorable terms if we had entered into such transaction with an unaffiliated third party. Our policy for transactions with affiliates is to have these transactions approved by our audit committee. We entered into a compensation and services agreement with Majestic Property effective as of January 1, 2007. Majestic Property is wholly-owned by the vice-chairman of our board of directors and it provides compensation to certain of our part-time senior executive officers and other individuals performing services on our behalf. Pursuant to the compensation and services agreement, we pay an annual fee to Majestic Property which provides us with the services of all affiliated executive, administrative, legal, accounting and clerical personnel that we use on a part time basis, as well as property management services, property acquisition, sales and leasing and mortgage brokerage services. In 2016, pursuant to the compensation and services agreement, we paid Majestic Property a fee of \$2.5 million and an additional \$196,000 for our share of all direct office expenses, including rent, telephone, postage, computer services, and internet usage. We also obtain our property insurance in conjunction with Gould Investors L.P., our affiliate, and in 2016, reimbursed Gould Investors \$699,000 for our share of the insurance premiums paid by Gould Investors. Gould Investors beneficially owns approximately 9.8% of our outstanding common stock and certain of our senior executive officers are also executive officers of the managing general partner of Gould Investors. See Note 12 of our consolidated financial statements for information regarding equity awards to individuals performing services on our behalf pursuant to the compensation and services agreement.

The failure of any bank in which we deposit our funds could have an adverse impact on our financial condition.

We have diversified our cash and cash equivalents between several banking institutions in an attempt to minimize exposure to any one of these entities. However, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation only insures accounts in amounts up to \$250,000 per depositor per insured bank. We currently have cash and cash equivalents deposited in certain financial institutions significantly in excess of federally insured levels. If any of the banking institutions in which we have deposited funds

ultimately fails, we may lose our deposits over \$250,000. The loss of our deposits may have an adverse effect on our financial condition.

Breaches of information technology systems could materially harm our business and reputation.

We collect and retain on information technology systems, certain financial, personal and other sensitive information provided by third parties, including tenants, vendors and employees. We also rely on information technology systems for the collection and distribution of funds. There can be no assurance that we will be able to prevent unauthorized access to sensitive information or the unauthorized distribution of funds. Any loss of this information or unauthorized distribution of funds as a result of a breach of information technology systems may result in loss of funds to which we are entitled, legal liability and costs (including damages and penalties), as well as damage to our reputation, that could materially and adversely affect our business.

We are dependent on third party software for our billing and financial reporting processes.

We are dependent on third party software, and in particular Yardi's property management software, for generating tenant invoices and financial reports. If the software fails (including a failure resulting from such parties unwillingness or inability to maintain or upgrade the functionality of the software), our ability to bill tenants and prepare financial reports could be impaired which would adversely affect our business.

Risks Related to the REIT Industry

Failure to qualify as a REIT could result in material adverse tax consequences and could significantly reduce cash available for distributions.

We operate so as to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Qualification as a REIT involves the application of technical and complex legal provisions for which there are limited judicial and administrative interpretations. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control may affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. In addition, no assurance can be given that legislation, new regulations, administrative interpretations or court decisions will not significantly change the tax laws with respect to qualification as a REIT or the federal income tax consequences of such qualification. If we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will be subject to federal, certain additional state and local income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on our taxable income at regular corporate rates and would not be allowed a deduction in computing our taxable income for amounts distributed to stockholders. In addition, unless entitled to relief under certain statutory provisions, we would be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification is lost. The additional tax would reduce significantly our net income and the cash available to pay dividends.

We are subject to certain distribution requirements that may result in our having to borrow funds at unfavorable rates.

To obtain the favorable tax treatment associated with being a REIT, we generally are required, among other things, to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income (subject to certain adjustments) each year. To the extent that we satisfy these distribution requirements, but distribute less than 100% of our taxable income we will be subject to Federal and state corporate tax on our undistributed taxable income.

As a result of differences in timing between the receipt of income and the payment of expenses, and the inclusion of such income and the deduction of such expenses in arriving at taxable income, and the effect of nondeductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves and the timing of required debt service (including amortization) payments, we may need to borrow funds in order to make the distributions necessary to retain the tax benefits associated with qualifying as a REIT, even if we believe that then prevailing market conditions are not generally favorable for such borrowings. Such borrowings could reduce our net income and the cash available to pay dividends.

Compliance with REIT requirements may hinder our ability to maximize profits.

In order to qualify as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, our sources of income, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our stock. We may also be required to make distributions to stockholders at disadvantageous times or when we do not have funds readily available for distribution. Accordingly, compliance with REIT requirements may hinder our ability to operate solely on the basis of maximizing profits.

In order to qualify as a REIT, we must also ensure that at the end of each calendar quarter, at least 75% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and real estate assets. Any investment in securities cannot include more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. In addition, no more than 5% of the value of our assets can consist of the securities of any one issuer, other than a qualified REIT security. If we fail to comply with these requirements, we must dispose of such portion of these securities in excess of these percentages within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter in order to avoid losing our REIT status and suffering adverse tax consequences. This requirement could cause us to dispose of assets for consideration that is less than their true value and could lead to an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

As of December 31, 2016, we own 114 properties with an aggregate net book value of \$651.2 million. Our occupancy rate, based on square footage, was 97.3% and 98.4% as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

We also participate in joint ventures that own five properties and at December 31, 2016, our investment in these unconsolidated joint ventures is \$10.8 million. The occupancy rate of our joint venture properties, based on square footage, was 97.9% and 97.6% as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Our Properties

The following table details, as of December 31, 2016, certain information about our properties:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Type of Property</u>	<u>Percentage of 2017 Contractual Rental Income</u>	<u>Approximate Square Footage of Building</u>	<u>2017 Contractual Rental Income per Square Foot</u>
Fort Mill, SC	Industrial	4.2	701,595	\$ 3.96
Baltimore, MD	Industrial	3.7	367,000	6.58
Royersford, PA(1)	Retail	3.3	194,600	11.38
Lebanon, TN	Industrial	3.1	540,200	3.73
Round Rock, TX	Assisted Living Facility	3.0	87,560	22.59
Hauppauge, NY	Flex	2.6	149,870	11.58
El Paso, TX	Industrial	2.6	419,821	4.08
Greensboro, NC	Theater	2.4	61,213	25.92
W. Hartford, CT	Retail—Supermarket	2.4	47,174	32.97
Beachwood, OH(2)	Apartments	2.3	349,999	4.31
Delpport, MO	Industrial	2.1	339,094	4.09
Littleton, CO(3)	Retail	2.1	101,596	13.89

<u>Location</u>	<u>Type of Property</u>	<u>Percentage of 2017 Contractual Rental Income</u>	<u>Approximate Square Footage of Building</u>	<u>2017 Contractual Rental Income per Square Foot</u>
Secaucus, NJ	Health & Fitness	2.1	44,863	30.40
El Paso, TX(4)	Retail	2.0	110,179	12.22
Lincoln, NE	Retail	1.8	112,260	10.75
McCalla, AL	Industrial	1.8	294,000	4.10
Brooklyn, NY	Office	1.8	66,000	18.15
Knoxville, TN	Retail	1.8	35,330	32.84
Lakemoor, IL(2)	Apartments	1.7	480,684	2.33
St. Louis Park, MN	Retail	1.7	131,710	8.45
Fort Mill, SC	Flex	1.7	303,188	3.62
Wheaton, IL(2)	Apartments	1.6	300,104	3.50
Joppa, MD	Industrial	1.6	258,710	4.00
Tucker, GA	Health & Fitness	1.5	58,800	16.67
Kansas City, MO	Retail	1.2	88,807	8.81
Hamilton, OH	Health & Fitness	1.1	38,000	19.25
Cedar Park, TX	Retail—Furniture	1.1	50,810	14.19
Columbus, OH	Retail—Furniture	1.1	96,924	7.40
Indianapolis, IN	Theater	1.1	57,688	12.13
Greenville, SC(5)	Industrial	1.0	142,200	4.74
Indianapolis, IN	Industrial	1.0	125,622	5.35
Lake Charles, LA(5)	Retail	1.0	54,229	12.23
Houston, TX(6)	Retail	1.0	42,446	14.84
Greenville, SC(5)	Industrial	0.9	128,000	4.82
Ft. Myers, FL	Retail	0.9	29,993	20.17
Saco, ME	Industrial	0.9	91,400	6.55
Kennesaw, GA	Retail	0.9	32,138	17.90
Champaign, IL(7)	Retail	0.8	50,530	10.78
Wichita, KS	Retail—Furniture	0.8	88,108	6.13
Chicago, IL	Retail—Office Supply	0.8	23,939	22.16
New Hope, MN	Industrial	0.8	122,461	4.32
Clemmons, NC(8)	Retail	0.8	96,725	5.40
Melville, NY	Industrial	0.8	51,351	9.86
Athens, GA(7)	Retail	0.7	41,280	11.63
Ronkonkoma, NY(9)	Flex	0.7	89,629	8.00
Tyler, TX	Retail—Furniture	0.7	72,000	6.51
Louisville, KY	Industrial	0.7	125,370	3.60
Onalaska, WI	Retail	0.7	63,919	7.00
Cary, NC	Retail—Office Supply	0.7	33,490	13.29
Fayetteville, GA	Retail—Furniture	0.7	65,951	6.72
Niles, IL(10)	Retail	0.7	33,089	13.30
Houston, TX	Retail	0.6	25,005	16.70
Highlands Ranch, CO(11)	Retail	0.6	43,480	9.37
New Hyde Park, NY	Industrial	0.6	38,000	10.67
Richmond, VA	Retail—Furniture	0.6	38,788	10.16
Amarillo, TX	Retail—Furniture	0.6	72,027	5.44
Virginia Beach, VA	Retail—Furniture	0.6	58,937	6.58
Deptford, NJ	Retail	0.6	25,358	14.98
Eugene, OR	Retail—Office Supply	0.6	24,978	14.88
Lexington, KY	Retail—Furniture	0.6	30,173	12.04

<u>Location</u>	<u>Type of Property</u>	<u>Percentage of 2017 Contractual Rental Income</u>	<u>Approximate Square Footage of Building</u>	<u>2017 Contractual Rental Income per Square Foot</u>
Newark, DE	Retail	0.6	23,547	15.40
Duluth, GA	Retail—Furniture	0.5	50,260	7.03
Woodbury, MN	Retail	0.5	49,406	7.00
El Paso, TX	Retail—Office Supply	0.5	25,000	13.81
Selden, NY	Retail	0.5	14,555	23.61
Newport News, VA	Retail—Furniture	0.5	49,865	6.84
Houston, TX	Retail	0.5	20,087	16.00
Durham, NC	Industrial	0.5	46,181	6.95
Hyannis, MA	Retail	0.4	9,750	30.07
Crystal Lake, IL(10)	Retail	0.4	32,446	8.80
Batavia, NY	Retail—Office Supply	0.4	23,483	12.10
Somerville, MA	Retail	0.4	12,054	23.23
Gurnee, IL	Retail—Furniture	0.4	22,768	12.21
Naples, FL	Retail—Furniture	0.4	15,912	17.00
Bluffton, SC	Retail—Furniture	0.4	35,011	7.64
Pinellas Park, FL	Industrial	0.4	53,064	5.03
Hauppauge, NY	Retail—Restaurant	0.4	7,000	37.33
Carrollton, GA	Retail—Restaurant	0.4	6,012	43.33
Cartersville, GA	Retail—Restaurant	0.4	5,635	43.62
Greensboro, NC	Retail	0.4	12,950	18.75
Ann Arbor, MI	Retail—Restaurant	0.4	7,945	29.53
Richmond, VA	Retail—Restaurant	0.4	9,367	24.76
Greensboro, NC	Retail—Restaurant	0.3	6,655	34.69
W. Hartford, CT(12)	Retail	0.3	—	—
Bolingbrook, IL	Retail	0.3	33,111	6.10
Kennesaw, GA	Retail—Restaurant	0.3	4,051	49.81
Cape Girardeau, MO	Retail	0.3	13,502	14.71
Myrtle Beach, SC	Retail—Restaurant	0.3	6,734	29.39
Miamisburg, OH	Industrial	0.3	35,707	5.48
Lawrenceville, GA	Retail—Restaurant	0.3	4,025	48.04
Everett, MA	Retail	0.3	18,572	10.39
Concord, NC	Retail—Restaurant	0.3	4,749	38.99
Houston, TX	Retail	0.3	12,000	14.00
Indianapolis, IN	Retail—Restaurant	0.2	12,820	12.86
Marston Mills, MA	Retail	0.2	8,775	18.00
Monroeville, PA	Retail	0.2	6,051	25.30
Reading, PA	Retail—Restaurant	0.2	2,754	50.98
Reading, PA	Retail—Restaurant	0.2	2,551	53.72
West Palm Beach, FL	Industrial	0.2	10,361	12.24
Gettysburg, PA	Retail—Restaurant	0.2	2,944	42.57
Hanover, PA	Retail—Restaurant	0.2	2,702	45.82
Palmyra, PA	Retail—Restaurant	0.2	2,798	43.56
Trexlerstown, PA	Retail—Restaurant	0.2	3,004	39.75
Cuyahoga Falls, OH	Retail	0.2	6,796	17.21
South Euclid, OH	Retail	0.2	11,672	9.94
Hilliard, OH	Retail	0.2	6,751	15.55
Lawrence, KS	Retail	0.2	8,600	12.21
Port Clinton, OH	Retail	0.1	6,749	15.19

<u>Location</u>	<u>Type of Property</u>	<u>Percentage of 2017 Contractual Rental Income</u>	<u>Approximate Square Footage of Building</u>	<u>2017 Contractual Rental Income per Square Foot</u>
Seattle, WA	Retail	0.1	3,038	23.04
Rosenberg, TX	Retail	0.1	8,000	8.46
Louisville, KY	Industrial	—	9,642	1.92
Greenwood Village, CO(13)	Vacant	—	45,000	—
Philadelphia, PA(14)	Vacant	—	57,653	—
Columbus, OH(15)	Vacant	—	100,220	—
		<u>100.0</u>	<u>8,838,680</u>	

- (1) This property, a community shopping center, is leased to eleven tenants. Contractual rental income per square foot excludes 3,850 vacant square feet. Approximately 27.9% of the square footage is leased to a supermarket.
- (2) This property is ground leased to a multi-unit apartment complex owner/operator. Reflects contingent rent that may be received subject to the satisfaction of performance requirements. See notes 4 and 6 of our consolidated financial statements.
- (3) This property, a community shopping center, is leased to 28 tenants. Contractual rental income per square foot excludes 2,570 vacant square feet.
- (4) Contractual rental income per square foot excludes 2,395 vacant square feet.
- (5) This property has three tenants.
- (6) This property, a community shopping center, has 16 tenants. Contractual rental income per square foot excludes 1,311 vacant square feet.
- (7) This property has two tenants.
- (8) This property is leased to Kmart.
- (9) Contractual rental income per square foot excludes 29,901 vacant square feet.
- (10) This property is leased to hhgregg.
- (11) This property is leased to Savers Thrift Superstore.
- (12) This property provides additional parking for the W. Hartford, CT, retail supermarket.
- (13) This property was operated as a Sports Authority. The tenant filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection, rejected the lease and in late June 2016, vacated the property. At December 31, 2016, the property is vacant.
- (14) This property was operated as a Pathmark supermarket. The tenant filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection, rejected the lease and in late September 2015, vacated the property. At December 31, 2016, the property is vacant.
- (15) The former tenant at this frozen food warehouse, Quality Bakery, vacated at lease expiration in November 2016. At December 31, 2016, the property is vacant.

Properties Owned by Joint Ventures

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2016, information about the properties owned by joint ventures in which we are a venture partner:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Type of Property</u>	<u>Percentage of Base Rent Payable in 2017 Contributed by the Applicable Joint Venture(1)</u>	<u>Approximate Square Footage of Building</u>	<u>2017 Base Rent per Square Foot</u>
Manahawkin, NJ(2)	Retail	67.5	319,349	\$12.67
Milwaukee, WI	Industrial	20.4	750,300	1.50
Savannah, GA	Retail	6.8	45,973	8.14
Savannah, GA	Retail	4.5	101,550	2.44
Savannah, GA	Retail	0.8	7,959	5.70
		<u>100.0</u>	<u>1,225,131</u>	

- (1) Represents the base rent payable in 2017 with respect to such joint venture property, expressed as a percentage of the aggregate base rent payable in 2017 with respect to all of our joint venture properties.
- (2) This property, a community shopping center, is leased to 25 tenants. Base rent per square foot excludes 25,368 vacant square feet.

Geographic Concentration

As of December 31, 2016, the 114 properties owned by us are located in 30 states. The following table sets forth information, presented by state, related to our properties as of December 31, 2016:

State	Number of Properties	2017 Contractual Rental Income	Percentage of 2017 Contractual Rental Income	Approximate Building Square Feet
Texas	12	\$ 8,539,536	13.0	944,935
South Carolina	6	5,631,451	8.6	1,316,728
New York	8	5,212,339	7.9	439,888
Illinois	8	4,451,463	6.8	976,671
Georgia	9	3,733,997	5.7	268,152
Ohio	9	3,592,578	5.5	652,818
North Carolina	7	3,533,398	5.4	261,963
Maryland	2	3,452,070	5.2	625,710
Tennessee	2	3,175,266	4.8	575,530
Pennsylvania	9	3,091,372	4.7	275,057
Missouri	3	2,369,261	3.6	441,403
Minnesota	3	1,986,625	3.0	303,577
Colorado	3	1,782,826	2.7	190,076
Connecticut	2	1,779,376	2.7	47,174
New Jersey	2	1,743,573	2.6	70,221
Indiana	3	1,536,500	2.3	196,130
Virginia	4	1,355,128	2.1	156,957
Florida	4	1,269,070	1.9	109,330
Nebraska	1	1,207,188	1.8	112,260
Alabama	1	1,204,268	1.8	294,000
Massachusetts	4	924,121	1.4	49,151
Kentucky	3	833,258	1.3	165,185
Louisiana	1	663,125	1.0	54,229
Kansas	2	644,947	1.0	96,708
Other	6	2,085,014	3.2	214,827
	<u>114</u>	<u>\$65,797,750</u>	<u>100.0</u>	<u>8,838,680</u>

The following table sets forth information, presented by state, related to the properties owned by our joint ventures as of December 31, 2016.

State	Number of Properties	Our Share of the Base Rent Payable in 2017 to these Joint Ventures	Approximate Building Square Feet
New Jersey	1	\$1,862,725	319,349
Wisconsin	1	562,500	750,300
Georgia	3	333,560	155,482
	<u>5</u>	<u>\$2,758,785</u>	<u>1,225,131</u>

Mortgage Debt

At December 31, 2016, we had:

- 72 first mortgages secured by 89 of our 114 properties; and
- \$399.2 million of mortgage debt outstanding with a weighted average interest rate of 4.27% and a weighted average remaining maturity of approximately 9.3 years. Substantially all of such mortgage debt bears fixed interest at rates ranging from 3.02% to 7.81% and contains prepayment penalties.

The following table sets forth scheduled principal mortgage payments due on our properties as of December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS DUE</u>
2017	\$ 19,089
2018	20,522
2019	14,236
2020	14,930
2021	20,490
Thereafter	<u>309,925</u>
Total	<u>\$399,192</u>

At December 31, 2016, our joint ventures had first mortgages on four properties with outstanding balances aggregating approximately \$36.0 million, bearing interest at rates ranging from 3.49% to 5.81% with a weighted average interest rate of 3.97%. Substantially all of these mortgages contain prepayment penalties. The following table sets forth the scheduled principal mortgage payments due for properties owned by our joint ventures as of December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS DUE</u>
2017	\$ 903
2018	4,281
2019	877
2020	911
2021	948
Thereafter	<u>28,040</u>
Total	<u>\$35,960</u>

The mortgages on our properties are generally non-recourse, subject to standard carve-outs. The term “standard carve-outs” refers to recourse items to an otherwise non-recourse mortgage and are customary to mortgage financing. While carve-outs vary from lender to lender and transaction to transaction, the carve-outs may include, among other things, voluntary bankruptcy filings, environmental liabilities, the sale, financing or encumbrance of the property in violation of loan documents, damage to property as a result of intentional misconduct or gross negligence, failure to pay valid taxes and other claims which could create liens on property and the conversion of security deposits, insurance proceeds or condemnation awards.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

Not applicable.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Part II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol “OLP.” The following table sets forth for the periods indicated, the high and low prices for our common stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange and the per share distributions declared on our common stock.

Quarter Ended	2016			2015		
	High	Low	Dividend Per Share(1)	High	Low	Dividend Per Share(1)
March 31	\$22.96	\$18.80	\$.41	\$25.88	\$22.45	\$.39
June 30	24.90	21.65	.41	24.77	21.15	.39
September 30	25.85	23.50	.41	23.25	21.00	.39
December 31	25.89	22.43	.43	24.19	20.99	.41


(1) The dividends in the fourth quarter of 2016 and 2015 were distributed on January 5, 2017 and January 5, 2016, respectively.

As of March 7, 2017, there were approximately 300 holders of record of our common stock.

We qualify as a REIT for Federal income tax purposes. In order to maintain that status, we are required to distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our annual ordinary taxable income. The amount and timing of future distributions will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon our financial condition, earnings, business plan, cash flow and other factors. We intend to make distributions in an amount at least equal to that necessary for us to maintain our status as a real estate investment trust for Federal income tax purposes.

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph compares the five year cumulative return of our common stock with the Standard and Poor's 500 index (the "S&P Index") and the FTSE-NAREIT Equity REITs, a peer group index (the "Peer Group Index"). The graph assumes \$100 was invested on December 31, 2011 in our common stock, the S&P Index and the Peer Group Index and assumes the reinvestment of dividends.



	December 31,					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
OLP	\$100.00	\$131.85	\$139.50	\$175.43	\$170.64	\$214.12
S&P 500	100.00	116.00	153.58	174.60	177.01	198.18
FTSE NAREIT Equity REITs Index	100.00	118.06	120.97	157.43	162.46	176.30

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

We did not repurchase any shares of our outstanding common stock in October, November or December 2016.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following table sets forth on a historical basis our selected financial data. This information should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	As of and for the Year Ended December 31, (Dollars in thousands, except per share data)				
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012
OPERATING DATA					
Total revenues	\$ 70,588	\$ 65,711(1)	\$ 60,477(1)	\$ 50,979	\$ 43,793
Gain on sale of real estate, net	10,087(2)	5,392(2)	10,180(2)	4,705	—
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	1,005	412	533	651	1,368
Income from continuing operations	24,481	21,907	22,197	17,409	11,328
Income from discontinued operations	—	—	13	515	20,980(3)
Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc.	24,422	20,517	22,116	17,875	32,320
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:					
Basic	16,768	15,971	15,563	14,948	14,427
Diluted	16,882	16,079	15,663	15,048	14,527
Net income per common share—basic	\$ 1.40	\$ 1.23	\$ 1.37	\$ 1.15(3)	\$ 2.18(3)
Net income per common share—diluted	\$ 1.39	\$ 1.22	\$ 1.37	\$ 1.14(3)	\$ 2.16(3)
Cash distributions per share of common stock	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.58	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.34
BALANCE SHEET DATA					
Real estate investments, net	\$651,213	\$562,257	\$504,850	\$496,187	\$405,161
Unamortized intangible lease assets, net	32,645	28,978	27,387	26,035	16,491
Properties held-for-sale	—	12,259	10,176	5,177	5,364
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	10,833	11,350	4,907	4,906	19,485
Cash and cash equivalents	17,420	12,736	20,344	16,631	14,577
Total assets(4)	733,445	646,499	587,162	568,693	478,565
Mortgages payable, net of deferred financing costs(4)	394,898	331,055	288,868	275,319	223,370
Due under line of credit, net of deferred financing costs(4)	9,064	17,744	13,154	22,772	—
Unamortized intangible lease liabilities, net	19,280	14,521	10,463	6,917	5,300
Total liabilities(4)	441,518	384,073	331,258	318,603	240,506
Total equity	291,927	262,426	255,904	250,090	238,059
OTHER DATA(5)					
Funds from operations	\$ 33,256	\$ 32,717	\$ 28,248	\$ 25,740	\$ 23,739
Funds from operations per common share:					
Basic	\$ 1.91	\$ 1.98	\$ 1.76	\$ 1.67	\$ 1.60
Diluted	\$ 1.90	\$ 1.97	\$ 1.75	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.59
Adjusted funds from operations	\$ 34,848	\$ 31,997	\$ 29,703	\$ 27,094	\$ 24,617
Adjusted funds from operations per common share:					
Basic	\$ 2.01	\$ 1.94	\$ 1.85	\$ 1.76	\$ 1.66
Diluted	\$ 1.99	\$ 1.92	\$ 1.84	\$ 1.75	\$ 1.65

(1) Includes lease termination fees of \$2.9 million and \$1.3 million for 2015 and 2014, respectively.

(2) Does not reflect, for 2016, 2015 and 2014, the \$534,000, \$472,000 and \$1.6 million of debt prepayment cost associated with such sales.

- (3) Basic and diluted net income per common share for 2012 includes \$1.41 and \$1.40, respectively, of income from discontinued operations, which reflects a net gain on sale of real estate of \$19.4 million. Basic and diluted net income per common share for 2013 includes \$.03 and \$.03, respectively, of income from discontinued operations.
- (4) The presentation for 2012 through 2014 has been revised to reflect the adoption of ASU 2015-03. See note 9 of our consolidated financial statements.
- (5) See “—Funds from Operations and Adjusted Funds from Operations” for a discussion of the limitations on such data and a reconciliation of such data to our financial information presented in accordance with GAAP.

Funds from Operations and Adjusted Funds from Operations

We compute funds from operations, or FFO, in accordance with the “White Paper on Funds From Operations” issued by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (“NAREIT”) and NAREIT’s related guidance. FFO is defined in the White Paper as net income (computed in accordance with generally accepting accounting principles), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of property, plus real estate depreciation and amortization (including amortization of deferred leasing costs), plus impairment write-downs of depreciable real estate and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures will be calculated to reflect funds from operations on the same basis. In computing FFO, we do not add back to net income the amortization of costs in connection with our financing activities or depreciation of non-real estate assets. We compute adjusted funds from operations, or AFFO, by adjusting from FFO for our straight-line rent accruals and amortization of lease intangibles, deducting lease termination fees and gain on extinguishment of debt and adding back amortization of restricted stock compensation, amortization of costs in connection with our financing activities (including our share of our unconsolidated joint ventures) and debt prepayment costs. Since the NAREIT White Paper does not provide guidelines for computing AFFO, the computation of AFFO may vary from one REIT to another.

We believe that FFO and AFFO are useful and standard supplemental measures of the operating performance for equity REITs and are used frequently by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in evaluating equity REITs, many of which present FFO and AFFO when reporting their operating results. FFO and AFFO are intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate assets, which assumes that the value of real estate assets diminish predictability over time. In fact, real estate values have historically risen and fallen with market conditions. As a result, we believe that FFO and AFFO provide a performance measure that when compared year over year, should reflect the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, interest costs and other matters without the inclusion of depreciation and amortization, providing a perspective that may not be necessarily apparent from net income. We also consider FFO and AFFO to be useful to us in evaluating potential property acquisitions.

FFO and AFFO do not represent net income or cash flows from operations as defined by GAAP. FFO and AFFO and should not be considered to be an alternative to net income as a reliable measure of our operating performance; nor should FFO and AFFO be considered an alternative to cash flows from operating, investing or financing activities (as defined by GAAP) as measures of liquidity. FFO and AFFO do not measure whether cash flow is sufficient to fund all of our cash needs, including principal amortization, capital improvements and distributions to stockholders.

Management recognizes that there are limitations in the use of FFO and AFFO. In evaluating our performance, management is careful to examine GAAP measures such as net income and cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities.

The table below provides a reconciliation of net income in accordance with GAAP to FFO and AFFO for each of the indicated years (dollars in thousands):

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
GAAP net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc.	\$ 24,422	\$20,517	\$ 22,116	\$17,875	\$ 32,320
Add: depreciation of properties	17,865	16,150	14,494	11,891	9,857
Add: our share of depreciation of unconsolidated joint ventures	893	634	374	517	849
Add: impairment loss	—	—	1,093	62	—
Add: amortization of deferred leasing costs	299	234	168	152	109
Add: our share of amortization of deferred leasing costs of unconsolidated joint ventures	—	—	—	8	82
Add: Federal excise tax relating to gain on sale	6	174	302	45	290
Deduct: gain on sale of real estate	(10,087)	(5,392)	(10,180)	—	(19,732)
Deduct: purchase price fair value adjustment	—	(960)	—	—	—
Deduct: net gain on sale of real estate of unconsolidated joint ventures	—	—	—	(4,705)	—
Adjustments for non-controlling interests	(142)	1,360	(119)	(105)	(36)
NAREIT funds from operations applicable to common stock	33,256	32,717	28,248	25,740	23,739
Deduct: straight-line rent accruals and amortization of lease intangibles	(2,991)	(1,605)	(1,756)	(1,274)	(1,353)
Add (deduct): our share of straight-line rent accruals and amortization of lease intangibles of unconsolidated joint ventures	49	7	(1)	91	154
Deduct: lease termination fee income	—	(2,886)	(1,269)	—	—
Add: amortization of restricted stock compensation	2,983	2,334	1,833	1,440	1,223
Add: prepayment costs on debt	577	568	1,581	171	—
Add: amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs	904	1,023	1,038	891	800
Add: our share of amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs of unconsolidated joint ventures	25	23	17	25	35
Adjustments for non-controlling interests	45	(184)	12	10	19
Adjusted funds from operations applicable to common stock	<u>\$ 34,848</u>	<u>\$31,997</u>	<u>\$ 29,703</u>	<u>\$27,094</u>	<u>\$ 24,617</u>

The table below provides a reconciliation of net income per common share (on a diluted basis) in accordance with GAAP to FFO and AFFO:

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
GAAP net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. . .	\$1.39	\$1.22	\$1.37	\$1.14	\$ 2.16
Add: depreciation of properties	1.02	.98	.90	.78	.66
Add: our share of depreciation of unconsolidated joint ventures05	.04	.02	.03	.06
Add: impairment loss	—	—	.07	.01	—
Add: amortization of deferred leasing costs02	.02	.01	.01	.01
Add: our share of amortization of deferred leasing costs of unconsolidated joint ventures	—	—	—	—	—
Add: Federal excise tax relating to gain on sale	—	.01	.02	—	.02
Deduct: gain on sale of real estate	(.57)	(.32)	(.63)	—	(1.32)
Deduct: purchase price fair value adjustment	—	(.06)	—	—	—
Deduct: net gain on sale of real estate of unconsolidated joint ventures	—	—	—	(.30)	—
Adjustments for non-controlling interests	(.01)	.08	(.01)	(.01)	—
NAREIT funds from operations per share of common stock . . .	<u>1.90</u>	<u>1.97</u>	<u>1.75</u>	<u>1.66</u>	<u>1.59</u>
Deduct: straight-line rent accruals and amortization of lease intangibles	(.16)	(.10)	(.10)	(.07)	(.09)
Add: our share of straight-line rent accruals and amortization of lease intangibles of unconsolidated joint ventures	—	—	—	—	.01
Deduct: lease termination fee income	—	(.17)	(.08)	—	—
Add: amortization of restricted stock compensation17	.14	.11	.09	.08
Add: prepayment costs on debt03	.03	.10	.01	—
Add: amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs05	.06	.06	.06	.06
Add: our share of amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs of unconsolidated joint ventures	—	—	—	—	—
Adjustments for non-controlling interests	—	(.01)	—	—	—
Adjusted funds from operations per share of common stock . . .	<u>\$1.99</u>	<u>\$1.92</u>	<u>\$1.84</u>	<u>\$1.75</u>	<u>\$ 1.65</u>

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Overview

We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust. We acquire, own and manage a geographically diversified portfolio consisting primarily of retail, industrial, flex and health and fitness properties, many of which are under long-term leases. As of December 31, 2016, we own 114 properties and our joint ventures own five properties. These 119 properties are located in 30 states.

We face a variety of risks and challenges in our business. As more fully described under Item 1A. Risk Factors, we, among other things, face the possibility we will not be able to acquire accretive properties on acceptable terms, lease our properties on terms favorable to us or at all, our tenants may not be able to pay their rental and other obligations and we may not be able to renew or relet, on acceptable terms, leases that are expiring.

We seek to manage the risk of our real property portfolio and the related financing arrangements by diversifying among types of properties, industries, locations, tenants, scheduled lease expirations and lenders, and by seeking to minimize our exposure to interest rate fluctuations. As a result, as of December 31, 2016:

- our 2017 contractual rental income is derived from the following property types: 49.1% from retail, 27.3% from industrial, 5.0% from flex, 4.7% from health and fitness, 3.5% from theater and 10.4% from other properties,
- no tenant accounts for more than 7.1% of our 2017 contractual rental income,
- properties in only one state (*i.e.*, Texas, 13.0%) account for 10% or more of 2017 contractual rental income,
- through 2025, there is one year in which the percentage of our contractual rental income represented by expiring leases exceeds 10% of our 2017 contractual rental income (*i.e.*, 18.4% in 2022) and approximately 40.8% of our 2017 contractual rental income is represented by leases expiring in 2026 and thereafter,
- substantially all of our mortgage debt either bears interest at fixed rates or is subject to interest rate swaps—the swaps limit our exposure to fluctuating interest rates on our outstanding mortgage debt,
- there are seven different counterparties to our portfolio of interest rate swaps: one counterparty, rated A by a national rating agency, accounts for 43.5%, or \$66.3 million, of the notional value of our swaps; a second counterparty, rated BBB by a national rating agency, accounts for 24.3%, or \$37.1 million, of the notional value of such swaps; and no other counterparty accounts for more than 15% of the notional value of our swaps, and
- we have 21 different mortgage lenders (including with respect to the mortgage debt of our unconsolidated joint ventures): four different lenders account for 31.1%, 15.9%, 15.2% and 10.3% of such debt.

We monitor the risk of tenant non-payments through a variety of approaches tailored to the applicable situation. Generally, based on our assessment of the credit risk posed by our tenants, we monitor a tenant's financial condition through one or more of the following actions: reviewing tenant financial statements, obtaining other tenant related financial information, regular contact with tenant's representatives, tenant credit checks and regular management reviews of our tenants. We may sell a property if the tenant's financial condition is unsatisfactory.

In acquiring properties, we balance an evaluation of the terms of the leases and the credit of the existing tenants with a fundamental analysis of the real estate to be acquired, which analysis takes into

account, among other things, the estimated value of the property, local demographics and the ability to re-rent or dispose of the property on favorable terms upon lease expiration or early termination.

We are sensitive to the risks facing the retail industry as a result of the growth of e-commerce. Retail properties generated \$33.4 million, or 52.1%, of rental income, net, in 2016, and \$33.0 million, or 55.9%, of rental income, net, in 2015. We are addressing our exposure to the retail industry by seeking to acquire properties that we believe capitalize on e-commerce activities, such as e-commerce distribution and warehousing facilities and by being especially selective in acquiring retail properties.

2016 Highlights

In 2016:

- our rental income, net, increased by \$5.2 million, or 8.8%, to \$64.2 million, from \$59.0 million in 2015.
- we acquired 11 properties for an aggregate purchase price of \$118.6 million, including new mortgage debt of \$25.6 million. The acquired properties account for \$9.1 million, or 13.9%, of our 2017 contractual rental income.
- we sold 12 properties (including a portfolio of eight convenience stores) for a gain on sale of real estate of \$10.0 million—the properties sold accounted for 1.8% of 2016 rental income.
- we obtained gross proceeds of \$137.6 million from mortgage financings (including acquisition mortgage debt of \$25.6 million), and refinancings.
- we increased our quarterly dividend by 4.9% to \$0.43 per share, commencing with the dividend declared in December 2016.
- we raised \$25.8 million from the issuance of 1,080,000 shares of common stock pursuant to our at-the-market equity offering program.
- we renewed, extended and/or entered into leases covering more than one million square feet, including leases with two tenants that in the aggregate account for approximately 6.3% of 2017 contractual rental income.
- we amended and restated our credit facility to increase to up to \$100 million the amount available for borrowing thereunder and extended the facility's maturity date to December 31, 2019.

Other Developments

We agreed to sell our vacant Greenwood Village, Colorado property previously tenanted by Sports Authority. Completion of the transaction is subject to the satisfaction of specified conditions. We anticipate that this transaction will be completed no later than September 30, 2017 and that our gain from this sale will range from approximately \$5 million to \$7 million. We can provide no assurance that the sale will be completed or that the anticipated gain will be recognized.

We own interests in three properties tenanted by Kmart Holdings Corp. and its subsidiaries. Kmart and its parent, Sears Holding Corporation, have experienced financial difficulties for several years. From December 2016 through January 2017, Kmart announced that it was closing stores at

108 locations, including our Kmart in Savannah, Georgia. Set forth below is information regarding all of our Kmart properties (dollars in thousands):

<u>Location</u>	<u>Lease Expiration(2)</u>	<u>Rent(3)</u>	<u>Our Percentage Ownership</u>
Savannah, GA(1)	7/12/19	\$ 124	50
Manahawkin, NJ	11/30/18	422	50
Clemmons, NC	4/30/18	<u>522</u>	90
		<u>\$1,068</u>	

- (1) Kmart has notified us that it is closing this store and we anticipate that it will close by April 2017.
- (2) Does not give effect to renewal options, if any.
- (3) Represents, with respect to the Savannah, Georgia and Manahawkin, New Jersey property, our share of the base rent payable in 2017 by Kmart with respect to such property, and with respect to the Clemmons, North Carolina property, 2017 contractual rental income.

We own two properties in Illinois tenanted by hhgregg, Inc. an electronics retailer, which announced on March 2, 2017 that it intends to close its Niles, Illinois store, and on March 6, 2017, filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection. At December 31, 2016, the unbilled rent receivable balance and unamortized intangible lease assets associated with the Niles, Illinois property were \$205,000 and \$434,000, respectively, and in 2016, this property accounted for \$449,000 (including \$7,000 of tenant reimbursements) of revenues. At December 31, 2016, the unbilled rent receivable balance and the unamortized intangible lease assets associated with the Crystal Lake, Illinois property were \$60,000 and \$227,000, respectively, and in 2016, this property accounted for \$328,000 (including \$55,000 of tenant reimbursements) of revenues. The Niles and Crystal Lake, Illinois leases expire in 2026 and 2021, respectively.

Savers Thrift Superstores, a thrift retailer, in connection with the closure of all three of its stores located in Colorado, notified us on March 2, 2017 that it intends to close its store located at our Highlands Ranch, Colorado property. At December 31, 2016, the unbilled rent receivable balance, tenant origination costs and unamortized intangible lease liabilities associated with this property were \$69,000, \$588,000, and \$1.0 million, respectively, and in 2016, this property accounted for \$528,000 of our revenues. This lease expires in 2022.

In light of the financial difficulties Kmart, hhgregg and Savers Thrift are experiencing, it is possible that these tenants, in addition to the previously announced closures, may close and/or cease paying rent at one or more of their stores located at our properties. Though a tenant may close a store, such closure does not relieve it of its obligation to pay rent. If these tenants stop paying rent, we may be adversely effected.

In January 2017, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the “FASB”) issued ASU No. 2017-01, *Business Combinations (Topic 805): Clarifying the Definition of a Business*. See note 2 to our consolidated financial statements. Most of our acquisitions will not qualify as businesses and, accordingly, acquisition costs for those acquisitions will be capitalized rather than expensed. We anticipate that the adoption of this standard will have, to the extent we acquire properties, a favorable impact on net income, FFO and AFFO.

The FASB has also adopted new accounting standards with respect to the accounting treatment for leases and revenue recognition. See note 2 to our consolidated financial statements. We are evaluating the impact, if any, of these standards.

Results of Operations

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

Revenues

The following table compares total revenues for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2016	2015		
Rental income, net	\$64,164	\$58,973	\$ 5,191	8.8
Tenant reimbursements	6,424	3,852	2,572	66.8
Lease termination fees	—	2,886	(2,886)	(100.0)
Total revenues	<u>\$70,588</u>	<u>\$65,711</u>	<u>\$ 4,877</u>	7.4

Rental income, net. The increase is due primarily to (i) \$4.4 million earned from 11 properties acquired in 2016 and \$2.7 million from seven properties acquired in 2015; (ii) the \$530,000 write-off against rental income in 2015 of the entire balance of unbilled rent receivable and the intangible lease asset related to the 2015 lease termination fees described below; and (iii) \$383,000 from three replacement tenants that leased vacant space at one of our El Paso, Texas properties.

Offsetting the increase are decreases of (i) \$2.1 million due to the 2016 sale of 12 properties (the “Sold Properties”), including a portfolio of eight convenience stores (the “Pantry Portfolio”); and (ii) \$909,000 from three vacant properties which were leased to Pathmark, Sports Authority and Quality Bakery (“Vacant Properties”). During 2016, Pathmark did not generate rental income and Sports Authority and Quality Bakery generated an aggregate of \$751,000 of rental income.

Tenant reimbursements. Real estate tax and operating expense reimbursements in 2016 increased by (i) \$781,000 and \$644,000 from the properties acquired in 2016 and 2015, respectively, and (ii) \$1.1 million from other properties in our portfolio. We recognized an equivalent amount of real estate expense for these tenant reimbursements.

Lease termination fees. In 2015, we received lease termination fees of \$2.9 million in lease buy-out transactions and re-leased substantially all of such premises simultaneously with the lease terminations. There were no such fees in 2016.

Operating Expenses

The following table compares operating expenses for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2016	2015		
Operating expenses:				
Depreciation and amortization	\$18,164	\$16,384	\$1,780	10.9
General and administrative	10,693	9,527	1,166	12.2
Real estate expenses	8,931	6,047	2,884	47.7
Real estate acquisition costs	596	449	147	32.7
Federal excise and state taxes	203	343	(140)	(40.8)
Leasehold rent	308	308	—	—
Total operating expenses	<u>38,895</u>	<u>33,058</u>	<u>5,837</u>	17.7
Operating income	<u>\$31,693</u>	<u>\$32,653</u>	<u>\$ (960)</u>	(2.9)

Depreciation and amortization. Approximately \$1.5 million and \$932,000 of the increase is due to depreciation expense on the properties acquired in 2016 and 2015, respectively, approximately \$365,000 of the increase is due to depreciation on property improvements and approximately \$94,000 is due to amortization of leasing commissions. Offsetting these increases are decreases in 2016 of (i) \$440,000 of expenses related to the Sold Properties and (ii) \$657,000 of amortization and write-offs of intangibles and lease commissions. The \$657,000 includes a \$380,000 write-off of tenant origination costs in 2015 related to the Pathmark property and the balance relates primarily to the write-off of intangibles and lease commissions with respect to leases that expired or terminated in 2015 and 2016. We estimate that depreciation and amortization in 2017 related to the properties acquired in 2016 will be approximately \$3.1 million.

General and administrative. Contributing to the increase were increases of: (i) \$649,000 in non-cash compensation expense primarily related to the increase in the number of shares of restricted stock granted in 2016 and the higher fair value of the awards granted in 2016 in comparison to the awards granted in 2011 that vested in 2016; (ii) \$286,000 for third party audit and audit related services; and (iii) \$97,000 in compensation expense payable to our full and part time personnel, primarily due to higher levels of compensation.

Real estate expenses. The increase in 2016 is due primarily to increases of \$1.4 million from properties acquired in 2015 and 2016 and \$719,000 from other properties in our portfolio. Most of these increases are rebilled to tenants and are included in Tenant reimbursement revenues. Also contributing to the increase in 2016 are \$587,000 of expenses related to taxes and maintenance of the Vacant Properties and \$165,000 due to the change in which property management fees are determined pursuant to the Compensation and Services Agreement.

Real estate acquisition costs. The increase is due to increased acquisition activity in 2016.

Federal excise and state taxes. We incurred Federal excise tax of \$174,000 in 2015 and \$6,000 in 2016 because profitable property sales resulted in calendar year distributions to stockholders being less than the amount required to be distributed during such year. In 2016, we deferred a \$6.8 million taxable gain on a property sale through an IRC Section 1031 exchange.

Other Income and Expenses

The following table compares other income and expenses for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2016	2015		
Other income and expenses:				
Gain on sale of real estate, net	\$ 10,087	\$ 5,392	\$4,695	87.1
Purchase price fair value adjustment	—	960	(960)	(100.0)
Prepayment costs on debt	(577)	(568)	9	1.6
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	1,005	412	593	143.9
Other income	435	108	327	302.8
Interest:				
Expense	(17,258)	(16,027)	1,231	7.7
Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs	(904)	(1,023)	(119)	(11.6)
Income from continuing operations	24,481	21,907	2,574	11.7

Gain on sale of real estate, net. The gain for 2016 was realized from (i) the sales of 12 properties, including the Pantry Portfolio and (ii) a \$116,000 gain on the partial condemnation of land at our former Sports Authority property in Greenwood Village, Colorado. The 2015 gain was realized from

the January 2015 sale of the Cherry Hill, New Jersey property. The minority partner's share of the gain on the Cherry Hill, New Jersey property was \$1.3 million, which is the primary reason for the decrease in net income attributable to non-controlling interests for 2016 as compared to 2015.

Purchase price fair value adjustment. In connection with the acquisition of our joint venture partner's 50% interest in a property located in Lincoln, Nebraska, we recorded this adjustment, representing the difference between the book value of the preexisting equity investment on the purchase date of March 31, 2015 and the fair value of the investment.

Prepayment costs on debt. These costs were incurred primarily in connection with property sales and the payoff, prior to the stated maturity, of the related mortgage debt. In 2016, these costs related primarily to the sales of the Tomlinson, Pennsylvania property and the Pantry Portfolio. In 2015, these costs related primarily to the sale of the Cherry Hill, New Jersey property.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures. The increase in 2016 is due primarily to an increase of \$633,000 in our share of income from the Manahawkin, New Jersey retail center which was acquired in June 2015. The year ended December 31, 2015 included our \$400,000 share of acquisition expenses associated with the purchase of this center.

Other income. As a result of a partial condemnation of land and easements obtained by the Colorado Department of Transportation ("CDOT") at our Greenwood Village, Colorado property in 2016, we received \$484,000 from CDOT and have been advised by CDOT that it will remit to us an additional \$25,000. Of this aggregate of \$509,000, \$356,000 is attributable to easements and is included in Other income. See "Gain on sale of real estate, net" above for the gain resulting from the \$153,000 balance.

Interest expense. The following table summarizes interest expense for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2016	2015		
Interest expense:				
Credit facility interest	\$ 590	\$ 594	\$ (4)	(.7)
Mortgage interest	16,668	15,433	1,235	8.0
Total	<u>\$17,258</u>	<u>\$16,027</u>	<u>\$1,231</u>	7.7

Credit facility interest

The decrease in 2016 is due to the \$3.8 million decrease in the weighted average balance outstanding under our line of credit, offset by an increase of 28 basis points in the average interest rate from 1.95% to 2.23%, as well as an increase in the unused fee resulting from a \$25 million increase in our borrowing capacity in connection with the November 2016 amendment and restatement of the credit facility.

Mortgage interest

The following table reflects the average interest rate on the average principal amount of outstanding mortgage debt during the applicable year:

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2016	2015		
Interest rate on mortgage debt . .	4.61%	4.96%	(.35)%	(7.1)
Principal amount of mortgage debt	\$361,645	\$310,991	\$50,654	16.3

The increase in mortgage interest expense is due to the increase in the average principal amount of mortgage debt outstanding, offset by a decrease in the average interest rate on outstanding mortgage debt. The increase in the average balance outstanding is substantially due to the incurrence of mortgage debt of \$89.5 million in connection with properties acquired in 2015 and 2016 and the financing or refinancing of \$85.2 million of mortgage debt, net of refinanced amounts, in connection with properties acquired prior to 2015. The decrease in the average interest rate is due to the financing (including financings effectuated in connection with acquisitions) or refinancing in 2016 and 2015 of \$217.2 million of gross mortgage debt (including \$42.6 million of refinanced amounts) with an average interest rate of approximately 3.8%.

We estimate that in 2017, the mortgage interest expense associated with the properties acquired in 2016 will be approximately \$1.9 million. Interest expense for these properties in 2016 was \$709,000.

Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs. The decrease in 2016 is primarily due to the write-off in 2015 of \$249,000 relating to the sale of the Cherry Hill, New Jersey property. This decrease was offset by the write-off and increased amortization in 2016 of \$66,000 relating to the new line of credit and other write-offs of \$57,000 relating to property sales.

Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2015 and 2014

Revenues

The following table compares total revenues for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2015	2014		
Rental income, net	\$58,973	\$56,647	\$2,326	4.1
Tenant reimbursements	3,852	2,561	1,291	50.4
Lease termination fees	2,886	1,269	1,617	127.4
Total revenues	<u>\$65,711</u>	<u>\$60,477</u>	<u>\$5,234</u>	8.7

Rental income, net. The increase is due primarily to \$4.4 million earned from seven properties acquired in 2015 and \$2.2 million from nine properties acquired in 2014, offset by the \$530,000 write-off against rental income of the entire balance of unbilled rent receivables and the intangible lease asset related to the 2015 lease termination fees described below. Rental income for 2014 includes \$3.7 million from three properties, which we refer to as the 2015 Sold Properties, that were sold or disposed of from October 2014 through mid-January 2015 (including the sale, for substantial gains, of the Parsippany and Cherry Hill, New Jersey properties).

Tenant reimbursements. Real estate tax and operating expense reimbursements in 2015 increased by (i) \$834,000 and \$361,000 from the properties acquired in 2015 and 2014, respectively, (ii) \$399,000

from three properties at which we recognized an equivalent amount of real estate expense and (iii) \$280,000 due to net increases from various properties. Tenant reimbursements for 2014 include \$372,000 related to our Cherry Hill, New Jersey property, which was sold in January 2015, and \$211,000 related to our El Paso, Texas property, portions of which became vacant during 2014 through 2015 and for which we are paying a portion of its operating expenses.

Lease termination fees. We received lease termination fees of \$2.9 million and \$1.3 million in lease buy-out transactions in 2015 and 2014, respectively. We re-leased substantially all of such premises simultaneously with the lease terminations.

Operating Expenses

The following table compares operating expenses for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2015	2014		
Operating expenses:				
Depreciation and amortization	\$16,384	\$14,662	\$ 1,722	11.7
General and administrative	9,527	8,796	731	8.3
Real estate expenses	6,047	4,407	1,640	37.2
Real estate acquisition costs	449	479	(30)	(6.3)
Federal excise and state taxes	343	488	(145)	(29.7)
Leasehold rent	308	308	—	—
Impairment loss	—	1,093	(1,093)	(100.0)
Total operating expenses	<u>33,058</u>	<u>30,233</u>	<u>2,825</u>	9.3
Operating income	<u>\$32,653</u>	<u>\$30,244</u>	<u>\$ 2,409</u>	8.0

Depreciation and amortization. Approximately \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million of the increase is due to depreciation expense on the properties acquired in 2015 and 2014, respectively, and approximately \$222,000 of the increase is due to depreciation on property improvements, intangibles and leasing commissions. The \$1.2 million of such expense related to properties acquired in 2014, includes the write-off of \$380,000 of tenant origination costs related to the bankruptcy of the Pathmark supermarket in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. Depreciation and amortization for 2014 includes \$1.0 million related to the 2015 Sold Properties.

General and administrative expenses. Contributing to the increase were increases of: (i) \$501,000 in non-cash compensation expense primarily related to the increase in the number of shares of restricted stock granted in 2015 and the higher fair value of the awards granted in 2015 in comparison to the awards granted in 2010 that vested in 2015 and (ii) \$399,000 in compensation expense payable to our full and part time personnel, primarily due to higher levels of compensation. Offsetting these increases is a decrease of \$167,000 for third party audit and tax services, a significant portion of which relates to the implementation in 2014 of COSO 2013.

Real estate expenses. The increase in 2015 is due primarily to increases of \$1.5 million from 12 of the 16 properties acquired beginning January 2014 and \$399,000 from three properties acquired in or prior to 2011. Substantially all of these expenses are rebilled to tenants. In addition, in 2015, we incurred \$144,000 in brokerage and professional fees. In 2015 and 2014, real estate expenses included \$11,000 and \$624,000, respectively, related to our Cherry Hill, New Jersey property, which was sold in January 2015.

Federal excise and state taxes. We incurred Federal excise tax of \$174,000 in 2015 and \$302,000 in 2014 because profitable property sales resulted in calendar year distributions to stockholders being less than the amount required to be distributed during such year.

Impairment loss. We recorded this loss with respect to a retail property located in Morrow, Georgia. The tenant did not renew its lease which expired on October 31, 2014, our efforts to re-let the property were unsuccessful and the non-recourse mortgage on the property matured November 1, 2014. We determined that it was not economical to retain the property which was acquired by the mortgagee in January 2015 in an uncontested foreclosure proceeding.

Other Income and Expenses

The following table compares other income and expenses for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2015	2014		
Other income and expenses:				
Gain on sale of real estate, net	\$ 5,392	\$ 10,180	\$(4,788)	(47.0)
Purchase price fair value adjustment	960	—	960	n/a
Prepayment costs on debt	(568)	(1,581)	(1,013)	(64.1)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	412	533	(121)	(22.7)
Gain on sale—investment in BRT Realty Trust	—	134	(134)	(100.0)
Other income	108	29	79	272.4
Interest:				
Expense	(16,027)	(16,305)	(278)	(1.7)
Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs	(1,023)	(1,037)	(14)	(1.4)
Income from continuing operations	21,907	22,197	(290)	(1.3)

Gain on sale of real estate, net. These gains were realized from the January 2015 sale of the Cherry Hill, New Jersey property and the October 2014 sale of the Parsippany, New Jersey property. The minority partner's share of the gain on the sale of the Cherry Hill, New Jersey property was \$1.3 million.

Purchase price fair value adjustment. In connection with the acquisition of our joint venture partner's 50% interest in a property located in Lincoln, Nebraska, we recorded this adjustment, representing the difference between the book value of the preexisting equity investment on the March 31, 2015 purchase date and the fair value of the investment.

Prepayment costs on debt. These costs were incurred primarily in connection with property sales and the payoff, prior to the stated maturity, of the related mortgage debt. In 2015, these costs related primarily to the sale of the Cherry Hill, New Jersey property and in 2014, these costs related to the sale of the Parsippany, New Jersey property.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures. The decrease is attributable substantially to the following factors: (i) our \$400,000 share of the acquisition expense associated with the June 2015 purchase of the Manahawkin, New Jersey retail center, offset by our \$256,000 share of earnings from this property; and (ii) the purchase, in March 2015, of our partner's interest in a joint venture that owns a retail property in Lincoln, Nebraska. In 2015 and 2014, this Lincoln, Nebraska joint venture contributed \$68,000 and \$212,000 to equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures, respectively. The decrease was offset by an increase of \$167,000 of income from other ventures.

Gain on sale—investment in BRT Realty Trust. In May 2014, we sold to Gould Investors L.P., a related party, our 37,081 shares of BRT Realty Trust, a related party, for \$266,000. The cost of these shares was \$132,000 and we realized a gain on sale of \$134,000.

Interest expense. The following table summarizes interest expense for the periods indicated:

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2015	2014		
Interest expense:				
Credit facility interest	\$ 594	\$ 1,211	\$(617)	(50.9)
Mortgage interest	15,433	15,094	339	2.2
Total	<u>\$16,027</u>	<u>\$16,305</u>	<u>\$(278)</u>	(1.7)

Credit facility interest

The decrease is due to the change, pursuant to an amendment to our facility dated December 31, 2014, in the annual interest rate on this facility from a variable interest rate with a floor of 4.75%, to a variable interest rate with a floor of 1.75%. During 2015, the average interest rate on the facility was approximately 1.95%.

Mortgage interest

The following table reflects the average interest rate on the average principal amount of outstanding mortgage debt during the applicable year:

(Dollars in thousands)	Year Ended December 31,		Increase (Decrease)	% Change
	2015	2014		
Interest rate on mortgage debt	4.96%	5.29%	(.33)%	(6.2)
Principal amount of mortgage debt	\$310,991	\$285,019	\$25,972	9.1

The increase in mortgage interest expense is due to the increase in the average principal amount of mortgage debt outstanding, offset by a decrease in the average interest rate on outstanding mortgage debt. The decrease in the average interest rate is due to the financing (including financings effectuated in connection with acquisitions) or refinancing in 2015 and 2014 of \$140.1 million of gross new mortgage debt with an average interest rate of approximately 4.3%. The increase in the average balance outstanding is due to the incurrence of mortgage debt of \$57.0 million in connection with properties acquired in 2015 and 2014 and the financing or refinancing of \$52.2 million, net of refinanced amounts, in connection with properties acquired prior to 2014. The increase in the average amount outstanding was offset by the payoff of five mortgages and the foreclosure of one mortgage in the year ended December 31, 2015, totaling \$21.3 million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our sources of liquidity and capital include cash flow from operations, cash and cash equivalents, borrowings under our revolving credit facility, refinancing existing mortgage loans, obtaining mortgage loans secured by our unencumbered properties, issuance of our equity securities and property sales. In 2016, we obtained \$103.2 million of net proceeds from mortgage financings and refinancings and \$25.8 million of net proceeds from the sale of our common stock pursuant to our at-the-market equity offering program. Our available liquidity at March 2, 2017 was approximately \$101.2 million, including approximately \$6.2 million of cash and cash equivalents (net of the credit facility’s required \$3 million deposit maintenance balance) and up to \$95.0 million available under our revolving credit facility.

Liquidity and Financing

We expect to meet substantially all of our operating cash requirements (including debt service and dividends) and capital requirements, including an estimated \$13.2 million of building expansion and tenant improvements at several properties, from cash flow from operations. To the extent that this cash flow is inadequate to cover all of these needs, we will be required to use our available cash and cash equivalents or draw on our credit facility (to the extent permitted) to satisfy these requirements.

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2016, information with respect to our mortgage debt that is payable from January 2017 through December 31, 2019 (excluding our unconsolidated joint ventures):

(Dollars in thousands)	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>Total</u>
Amortization payments	\$10,041	\$10,262	\$10,751	\$31,054
Principal due at maturity	9,048	10,260	3,485	22,793
Total	<u>\$19,089</u>	<u>\$20,522</u>	<u>\$14,236</u>	<u>\$53,847</u>

At December 31, 2016, our unconsolidated joint ventures had first mortgages on four properties with outstanding balances aggregating approximately \$36.0 million, bearing interest at rates ranging from 3.49% to 5.81% (*i.e.*, a 3.97% weighted average interest rate) and maturing between 2018 and 2025.

We intend to make debt amortization payments from operating cash flow and, though no assurance can be given that we will be successful in this regard, generally intend to refinance or extend the mortgage loans which mature in 2017 through 2019. We intend to repay the amounts not refinanced or extended from our existing funds and sources of funds, including our available cash and our credit facility (to the extent available).

We continually seek to refinance existing mortgage loans on terms we deem acceptable to generate additional liquidity. Additionally, in the normal course of our business, we sell properties when we determine that it is in our best interests, which also generates additional liquidity. Further, since each of our encumbered properties is subject to a non-recourse mortgage (with standard carve-outs), if our in-house evaluation of the market value of such property is less than the principal balance outstanding on the mortgage loan, we may determine to convey, in certain circumstances, such property to the mortgagee in order to terminate our mortgage obligations, including payment of interest, principal and real estate taxes, with respect to such property.

Typically, we utilize funds from our credit facility to acquire a property and, thereafter secure long-term, fixed rate mortgage debt on such property. We apply the proceeds from the mortgage loan to repay borrowings under the credit facility, thus providing us with the ability to re-borrow under the credit facility for the acquisition of additional properties. As a result, in order to grow our business, it is important to have a credit facility in place.

Credit Facility

We can borrow up to \$100 million pursuant to our revolving credit facility which is available to us for the acquisition of commercial real estate, repayment of mortgage debt, property improvements and general working capital purposes; provided, that if used for property improvements and working capital purposes, the amount outstanding for such purposes will not exceed the lesser of \$15 million and 15% of the borrowing base and if used for working capital purposes, will not exceed \$10 million. The facility matures December 31, 2019 and bears interest equal to the one month LIBOR rate plus the applicable margin. The applicable margin ranges from 175 basis points if our ratio of total debt to total value (as calculated pursuant to the facility) is equal to or less than 50%, increasing to a maximum of 300 basis

points if such ratio is greater than 65%. There is an unused facility fee of 0.25% per annum on the difference between the outstanding loan balance and \$100 million. The credit facility requires the maintenance of \$3.0 million in average deposit balances. For 2016, the average interest rate on the facility was approximately 2.23%.

The terms of our revolving credit facility include certain restrictions and covenants which limit, among other things, the incurrence of liens, and which require compliance with financial ratios relating to, among other things, the minimum amount of tangible net worth, the minimum amount of debt service coverage, the minimum amount of fixed charge coverage, the maximum amount of debt to value, the minimum level of net income, certain investment limitations and the minimum value of unencumbered properties and the number of such properties. Net proceeds received from the sale, financing or refinancing of properties are generally required to be used to repay amounts outstanding under our credit facility. At December 31, 2016, we were in compliance in all material respects with the covenants under this facility.

Contractual Obligations

The following sets forth our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2016:

(Dollars in thousands)	Payment due by period				
	Less than 1 Year	1 - 3 Years	4 - 5 Years	More than 5 Years	Total
<i>Contractual Obligations</i>					
Mortgages payable—interest and amortization	\$27,510	\$52,111	\$51,684	\$126,481	\$257,786
Mortgages payable—balances due at maturity	9,048	13,745	12,160	236,804	271,757
Credit facility(1)	—	10,000	—	—	10,000
Purchase obligations(2)	3,297	14,403	5,939	—	23,639
Total	<u>\$39,855</u>	<u>\$90,259</u>	<u>\$69,783</u>	<u>\$363,285</u>	<u>\$563,182</u>

(1) Represents the amount outstanding at December 31, 2016. We may borrow up to \$100 million under such facility.

(2) Assumes that (i) \$2.9 million will be payable annually during the next five years pursuant to the compensation and services agreement and (ii) \$7.8 million will be spent in contractually required building expansion and tenant improvements at the L-3, Hauppauge, New York property in one to three years, though it is possible that all or a portion of such amount will be expended in 2017. Excludes our required reimbursement of \$3 million towards tenant improvements at our Greensboro, North Carolina property, which expenditures, required by a lease amendment entered into in February 2017, will be made in 2017 if the tenant completes specified improvements.

As of December 31, 2016, we had \$399.2 million of mortgage debt outstanding (excluding mortgage indebtedness of our unconsolidated joint ventures), all of which is non-recourse (subject to standard carve-outs). We expect that mortgage interest and amortization payments (excluding repayments of principal at maturity) of approximately \$79.6 million due through 2019 will be paid primarily from cash generated from our operations. We anticipate that principal balances due at maturity through 2019 of \$22.8 million will be paid primarily from cash and cash equivalents and mortgage financings and refinancings. If we are unsuccessful in refinancing our existing indebtedness or financing our unencumbered properties, our cash flow, funds available under our credit facility and available cash, if any, may not be sufficient to repay all debt obligations when payments become due, and we may need to issue additional equity, obtain long or short-term debt, or dispose of properties on unfavorable terms.

Statement of Cash Flows

The following discussion of our cash flows is based on the consolidated statements of cash flows and is not meant to be a comprehensive discussion of the changes in our cash flows for the years presented.

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Years ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Cash flow provided by operating activities	\$ 31,405	\$ 34,484	\$ 31,803
Cash flow used in investing activities	(80,911)	(73,498)	(13,758)
Cash flow provided by (used in) financing activities	54,190	31,406	(14,332)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	4,684	(7,608)	3,713
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	12,736	20,344	16,631
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	<u>\$ 17,420</u>	<u>\$ 12,736</u>	<u>\$ 20,344</u>

Our principal source of cash flows is from the operation of our properties. Our properties provide a relatively consistent stream of cash flows that provide us with the resources to fund operating expenses, debt service and quarterly dividends.

The decrease in cash flow provided by operating activities during 2016 compared to 2015 is due to a number of factors including \$2.9 million of lease termination fees received in 2015 and the timing of cash receipts and cash expenditures in the normal course of operations.

The increase in cash used in investing activities during 2016 compared to 2015 is due primarily to the increased purchases of real estate in 2016 offset in part by (i) the increase in net proceeds from sales of real estate in 2016 and (ii) the investment in an unconsolidated joint venture in 2015, while there was no such investment in 2016.

The increase in cash flow provided by financing activities during 2016 compared to the 2015 is due primarily to an increase in proceeds from mortgage financings and refinancings and the sale of our common stock, offset by an increase in repayment of mortgages payable and an increase in repayment (net of proceeds from drawdowns) on the credit facility. In 2016, we obtained \$103.2 million of net proceeds from mortgage financings and refinancings (net of refinanced amounts), and \$25.8 million of net proceeds from the sale of our common stock.

Cash Distribution Policy

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Accordingly, to qualify as a REIT, we must, among other things, meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that we distribute currently at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income to our stockholders. It is our current intention to comply with these requirements and maintain our REIT status. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to corporate federal, state or local income taxes on taxable income we distribute currently (in accordance with the Internal Revenue Code and applicable regulations) to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal, state and local income taxes at regular corporate rates and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent tax years. Even if we qualify for federal taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain state and local taxes on our income and to federal income taxes on our undistributed taxable income (i.e., taxable income not distributed in the amounts and in the time frames prescribed by the Internal Revenue Code and applicable regulations thereunder) and are subject to Federal excise taxes on our undistributed taxable income.

It is our intention to pay to our stockholders within the time periods prescribed by the Internal Revenue Code no less than 90%, and, if possible, 100% of our annual taxable income, including

taxable gains from the sale of real estate. It will continue to be our policy to make sufficient distributions to stockholders in order for us to maintain our REIT status under the Internal Revenue Code.

Our board of directors reviews the dividend policy regularly to determine if any changes to our dividend should be made.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We are not a party to any off-balance sheet arrangements other than with respect to our properties located in Lakemoor and Wheaton, Illinois and Beachwood, Ohio. These properties are ground leases improved by multi-family properties and generated \$2.1 million of rental income during 2016. At December 31, 2016, our maximum exposure to loss with respect to these properties is \$34.0 million, representing the carrying value of the land; such leasehold positions are subordinate to an aggregate of \$150.7 million of mortgage debt incurred by our tenants, the owner/operators of the multi-family properties. These owner/operators are affiliated with one another. We do not believe that this type of off-balance sheet arrangement has been or will be material to our liquidity and capital resource positions. See Note 6 to our consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding these arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain of our accounting policies are particularly important to an understanding of our financial position and results of operations and require the application of significant judgment by our management; as a result they are subject to a degree of uncertainty. These critical accounting policies include the following, discussed below.

Purchase Accounting for Acquisition of Real Estate

The fair value of real estate acquired is allocated to acquired tangible assets, consisting of land and building, and identified intangible assets and liabilities, consisting of the value of above-market and below-market leases and other value of in-place leases based in each case on their fair values. The fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property (which includes land, building and building improvements) is determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant, and the “as-if-vacant” value is then allocated to land, building and building improvements based on our determination of relative fair values of these assets. We assess fair value of the lease intangibles based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount rates and available market information. The fair values associated with below-market rental renewal options are determined based on our experience and the relevant facts and circumstances that existed at the time of the acquisitions. The portion of the values of the leases associated with below-market renewal options that we deem likely to be exercised are amortized to rental income over the respective renewal periods. The allocation made by us may have a positive or negative effect on net income and may have an effect on the assets and liabilities on the balance sheet.

Revenues

Our revenues, which are substantially derived from rental income, include rental income that our tenants pay in accordance with the terms of their respective leases reported on a straight-line basis over the non-cancellable term of each lease. Since many of our leases provide for rental increases at specified intervals, straight-line basis accounting requires us to record as an asset and include in revenues, unbilled rent receivables which we will only receive if the tenant makes all rent payments required through the expiration of the term of the lease. Accordingly, our management must

determine, in its judgment, that the unbilled rent receivable applicable to each specific tenant is collectible. We review unbilled rent receivables on a quarterly basis and take into consideration the tenant's payment history and the financial condition of the tenant. In the event that the collectability of an unbilled rent receivable is in doubt, we are required to take a reserve against the receivable or a direct write-off of the receivable, which has an adverse effect on net income for the year in which the reserve or direct write-off is taken, and will decrease total assets and stockholders' equity.

Carrying Value of Real Estate Portfolio

We review our real estate portfolio on a quarterly basis to ascertain if there are any indicators of impairment to the value of any of our real estate assets, including deferred costs and intangibles, to determine if there is any need for an impairment charge. In reviewing the portfolio, we examine the type of asset, the current financial statements or other available financial information of the tenant, the economic situation in the area in which the asset is located, the economic situation in the industry in which the tenant is involved and the timeliness of the payments made by the tenant under its lease, as well as any current correspondence that may have been had with the tenant, including property inspection reports. For each real estate asset owned for which indicators of impairment exist, we perform a recoverability test by comparing the sum of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the asset to its carrying amount. If the undiscounted cash flows are less than the asset's carrying amount, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the estimated fair value is less than the asset's carrying amount. The estimated fair value is determined using a discounted cash flow model of the expected future cash flows through the useful life of the property. Real estate assets that are expected to be disposed of are valued at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell on an individual asset basis. We generally do not obtain any independent appraisals in determining value but rely on our own analysis and valuations. Any impairment charge taken with respect to any part of our real estate portfolio will reduce our net income and reduce assets and stockholders' equity to the extent of the amount of any impairment charge, but it will not affect our cash flow or our distributions until such time as we dispose of the property.

Item 7A. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Our primary market risk exposure is the effect of changes in interest rates on the interest cost of draws on our revolving variable rate credit facility and the effect of changes in the fair value of our interest rate swap agreements. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond our control.

We use interest rate swaps to limit interest rate risk on variable rate mortgages. These swaps are used for hedging purposes-not for speculation. We do not enter into interest rate swaps for trading purposes. At December 31, 2016, our aggregate liability in the event of the early termination of our swaps was \$3.0 million.

At December 31, 2016, we had 32 interest rate swap agreements outstanding (including two held by three of our unconsolidated joint ventures). The fair market value of the interest rate swaps is dependent upon existing market interest rates and swap spreads, which change over time. As of December 31, 2016, if there had been an increase of 100 basis points in forward interest rates, the fair market value of the interest rate swaps would have increased by approximately \$9.1 million and the net unrealized loss on derivative instruments would have decreased by \$9.1 million. If there were a decrease of 100 basis points in forward interest rates, the fair market value of the interest rate swaps would have decreased by approximately \$9.7 million and the net unrealized loss on derivative instruments would have increased by \$9.7 million. These changes would not have any impact on our net income or cash.

Our mortgage debt, after giving effect to the interest rate swap agreements, bears interest at fixed rates and accordingly, the effect of changes in interest rates would not impact the amount of interest expense that we incur under these mortgages.

Our variable rate credit facility is sensitive to interest rate changes. At December 31, 2016, a 100 basis point increase of the interest rate on this facility would increase our related interest costs by approximately \$100,000 per year and a 100 basis point decrease of the interest rate would decrease our related interest costs by approximately \$48,000 per year.

The fair market value of our long-term debt is estimated based on discounting future cash flows at interest rates that our management believes reflect the risks associated with long term debt of similar risk and duration.

The following table sets forth our debt obligations by scheduled principal cash flow payments and maturity date, weighted average interest rates and estimated fair market value at December 31, 2016:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Year Ended December 31,							Fair Market Value
	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Thereafter	Total	
<i>Fixed rate:</i>								
Long-term debt	\$19,089	\$20,522	\$14,236	\$14,930	\$20,490	\$309,925	\$399,192	\$413,916
Weighted average interest rate	4.30%	4.29%	4.28%	4.27%	4.26%	4.27%	4.27%	3.74%
<i>Variable rate:</i>								
Long-term debt(1)	—	—	\$10,000	—	—	—	\$ 10,000	—

(1) Our credit facility matures on December 31, 2019 and bears interest at the 30 day LIBOR rate plus the applicable margin. The applicable margin varies based on the ratio of total debt to total value. See “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Credit Facility.”

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

This information appears in Item 15(a) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and is incorporated into this Item 8 by reference thereto.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

Not applicable.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

A review and evaluation was performed by our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”) as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on that review and evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures, as designed and implemented as of December 31, 2016, were effective.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting, as defined in in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act, that occurred during the three

months ended December 31, 2016 that materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, a company's principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by a company's board, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of a company;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that receipts and expenditures of a company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of a company; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of a company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial transactions.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016. In making this assessment, our management used criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013).

Based on its assessment, our management concluded that, as of December 31, 2016, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, have issued a report on management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 9B. Other Information.

On March 10, 2017, James J. Burns, a member of our board of directors, informed the Board that he will retire from the Board effective at our 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and will not stand for re-election to the Board at such meeting. Mr. Burns' decision to retire and not stand for re-election to the Board was not the result of any dispute or disagreement with us on any matter relating to our financial condition or financial reporting.

We deeply appreciate Mr. Burns' significant contributions during his more than 16 years of service on the Board and related committees, including his long-time service as chair of our audit committee. We wish Mr. Burns well in the future.

The Board does not expect to present a nominee to succeed Mr. Burns on the Board and relevant committees.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Apart from certain information concerning our executive officers which is set forth in Part I of this Annual Report, additional information required by this Item 10 shall be included in our proxy statement for our 2017 annual meeting of stockholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than May 1, 2017, and is incorporated herein by reference.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Set forth below is a list of our executive officers whose terms expire at our 2017 annual board of directors' meeting. The business history of our officers, who are also directors, will be provided in our proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A not later than May 1, 2017.

NAME	AGE	POSITION WITH THE COMPANY
Matthew J. Gould*	57	Chairman of the Board
Fredric H. Gould*	81	Vice Chairman of the Board
Patrick J. Callan, Jr.	54	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Lawrence G. Ricketts, Jr.	40	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
Jeffrey A. Gould*	51	Senior Vice President and Director
David W. Kalish***	69	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Mark H. Lundy**	54	Senior Vice President and Secretary
Israel Rosenzweig	69	Senior Vice President
Simeon Brinberg**	83	Senior Counsel
Karen Dunleavy	58	Vice President, Financial
Alysa Block	56	Treasurer
Richard M. Figueroa	49	Vice President and Assistant Secretary
Isaac Kalish***	41	Vice President and Assistant Treasurer
Justin Clair	34	Vice President

* Matthew J. Gould and Jeffrey A. Gould are Fredric H. Gould's sons.

** Mark H. Lundy is Simeon Brinberg's son-in-law.

*** Isaac Kalish is David W. Kalish's son.

Lawrence G. Ricketts, Jr. Mr. Ricketts has been our Chief Operating Officer since 2008, Vice President from 1999 through 2006 and Executive Vice President since 2006.

David W. Kalish. Mr. Kalish has served as our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer since 1990 and as Senior Vice President, Finance of BRT Realty Trust since 1998. Since 1990, he has served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the managing general partner of Gould Investors L.P., a master limited partnership involved primarily in the ownership and operation of a diversified portfolio of real estate assets. Mr. Kalish is a certified public accountant.

Mark H. Lundy. Mr. Lundy has served as our Secretary since 1993, as our Vice President since 2000 and as our Senior Vice President since 2006. Mr. Lundy has been a Vice President of BRT Realty Trust from 1993 to 2006, its Senior Vice President since 2006, a Vice President of the managing general partner of Gould Investors from 1990 through 2012 and its President and Chief Operating Officer since 2013. He is an attorney admitted to practice in New York and the District of Columbia.

Israel Rosenzweig. Mr. Rosenzweig has served as our Senior Vice President since 1997, as Chairman of the Board of Trustees of BRT Realty Trust since 2013, as Vice Chairman of its Board of Trustees from 2012 through 2013, and as its Senior Vice President from 1998 through 2012. He has been a Vice President of the managing general partner of Gould Investors since 1997.

Simeon Brinberg. Mr. Brinberg served as our Senior Vice President from 1989 through 2013. He served as Secretary of BRT Realty Trust from 1983 through 2013, as Senior Vice President of BRT from 1988 through 2014 and as Vice President of the managing general partner of Gould Investors since 1988. Mr. Brinberg is an attorney admitted to practice in New York.

Karen Dunleavy. Ms. Dunleavy has been our Vice President, Financial since 1994. She served as Treasurer of the managing general partner of Gould Investors from 1986 through 2013. Ms. Dunleavy is a certified public accountant.

Alysa Block. Ms. Block has been our Treasurer since 2007, and served as Assistant Treasurer from 1997 to 2007. Ms. Block has also served as the Treasurer of BRT Realty Trust from 2008 through 2013, and served as its Assistant Treasurer from 1997 to 2008.

Richard M. Figueroa. Mr. Figueroa has served as our Vice President and Assistant Secretary since 2001, as Vice President and Assistant Secretary of BRT Realty Trust since 2002 and as Vice President of the managing general partner of Gould Investors since 1999. Mr. Figueroa is an attorney admitted to practice in New York.

Isaac Kalish. Mr. Kalish has served as our Vice President since 2013, Assistant Treasurer since 2007, as Assistant Treasurer of the managing general partner of Gould Investors from 2012 through 2013, as Treasurer from 2013, as Vice President and Treasurer of BRT Realty Trust since 2013, and as its Assistant Treasurer from 2009 through 2013. Mr. Kalish is a certified public accountant.

Justin Clair. Mr. Clair has been employed by us since 2006, served as Assistant Vice President from 2010 through 2014 and as Vice President since 2014.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information concerning our executive compensation required by this Item 11 shall be included in our proxy statement for our 2017 annual meeting of stockholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than May 1, 2017, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information concerning our beneficial owners and management required by this Item 12 shall be included in our proxy statement for our 2017 annual meeting of stockholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than May 1, 2017 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

As of December 31, 2016, the only equity compensation plan under which equity compensation may be awarded is our 2016 Incentive Plan, which was approved by our stockholders in June 2016. This plan permits us to grant stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance based awards to our employees, officers, directors and consultants. The following table provides information as of December 31, 2016 about shares of our common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options, warrants and rights under our 2016 Incentive Plan:

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column(a))(1)
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	—	—	750,000
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	—	—	—
Total	—	—	<u>750,000</u>

(1) Does not give effect to 140,100 restricted stock awards granted January 9, 2017 pursuant to our 2016 Incentive Plan.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information concerning certain relationships, related transactions and director independence required by this Item 13 shall be included in our proxy statement for our 2017 annual meeting of stockholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than May 1, 2017 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

The information concerning our principal accounting fees required by this Item 14 shall be included in our proxy statement for our 2017 annual meeting of stockholders, to be filed with the SEC not later than May 1, 2017, and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) Documents filed as part of this Report:

- (1) The following financial statements of the Company are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

—Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1 through F-2
—Statements:	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Income	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9 through F-47

- (2) Financial Statement Schedules:

—Schedule III—Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation	F-48 through F-52
------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto.

(b) Exhibits:

- 1.1 Amended and Restated Equity Offering Sales Agreement, dated March 20, 2014 by and between One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 20, 2014).
- 3.1 Articles of Amendment and Restatement of One Liberty Properties, Inc., dated July 20, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2004).
- 3.2 Articles of Amendment to Restated Articles of Incorporation of One Liberty Properties, Inc. filed with the State of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on June 17, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005).
- 3.3 Articles of Amendment to Restated Articles of Incorporation of One Liberty Properties, Inc. filed with the State of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland on June 21, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005).
- 3.4 By-Laws of One Liberty Properties, Inc., as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2007).
- 3.5 Amendment, effective as of June 12, 2012, to By-Laws of One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 12, 2012).
- 3.6 Amendment, effective as of September 11, 2014, to By-Laws of One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 12, 2014).

- 4.1* One Liberty Properties, Inc. 2009 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
- 4.2* One Liberty Properties, Inc. 2012 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012).
- 4.3* One Liberty Properties, Inc. 2016 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2016).
- 4.4 Form of Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to our Registration Statement on Form S-2, Registration No. 333-86850, filed on April 24, 2002 and declared effective on May 24, 2002).
- 10.1 Seconded Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2010, by and among One Liberty Properties, Inc., Valley National Bank, Merchants Bank Division, Bank Leumi USA, Israel Discount Bank of New York and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 10, 2011).
- 10.2 First Amendment dated as of January 6, 2011 to the Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated as of March 31, 2010, between VNB New York Corp. as assignee of Valley National Bank, Merchants Bank Division, Bank Leumi, USA, Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, Israel Discount Bank of New York, and One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 10, 2011).
- 10.3 Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of August 5, 2011, between VNB New York Corp., Bank Leumi USA, Israel Discount Bank of New York, Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company and One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 15, 2011).
- 10.4 Third Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of July 31, 2012, between VNB New York Corp., Bank Leumi USA, Israel Discount Bank of New York, Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company and One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed August 2, 2012).
- 10.5 Fourth Amendment dated as of December 31, 2014 to Second Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of July 31, 2012, between VNB New York LLC, Bank Leumi USA, Israel Discount Bank of New York, Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company and One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 5, 2015).
- 10.6 Third Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated as of November 9, 2016, between VNB New York, LLC, People's United Bank, Bank Leumi USA and Manufacturers and Traders Trust Company, as lenders, and One Liberty Properties, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed November 10, 2016).
- 10.7* Compensation and Services Agreement effective as of January 1, 2007 between One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Majestic Property Management Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2007).

- 10.8* First Amendment to Compensation and Services Agreement effective as of April 1, 2012 between One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Majestic Property Management Corp. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012).
- 10.9* Form of Performance Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 15, 2010).
- 10.10* Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for the 2009 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010).
- 10.11* Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for the 2012 Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013).
- 10.12* Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for the 2016 Incentive Plan.
- 14.1 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 14, 2006).
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- 23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP
- 31.1 Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Certification of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
- 32.1 Certification of President and Chief Executive Officer
- 32.2 Certification of Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

The file number for all the exhibits incorporated by reference is 001- 09279 other than exhibit 4.4 whose file number is 333-86850.

Item 16. Form 10-K Summary

Not applicable.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf of the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC.

March 10, 2017

By: /s/ PATRICK J. CALLAN, JR.
Patrick J. Callan, Jr.
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities indicated on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u> /s/ MATTHEW J. GOULD </u> Matthew J. Gould	Chairman of the Board of Directors	March 10, 2017
<u> /s/ FREDRIC H. GOULD </u> Fredric H. Gould	Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors	March 10, 2017
<u> /s/ PATRICK J. CALLAN, JR. </u> Patrick J. Callan, Jr.	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	March 10, 2017
<u> /s/ CHARLES BIEDERMAN </u> Charles Biederman	Director	March 10, 2017
<u> /s/ JAMES J. BURNS </u> James J. Burns	Director	March 10, 2017
<u> /s/ JOSEPH A. DELUCA </u> Joseph A. DeLuca	Director	March 10, 2017
<u> /s/ JEFFREY A. GOULD </u> Jeffrey A. Gould	Director	March 10, 2017

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
_____ /s/ LOUIS P. KAROL Louis P. Karol	Director	March 10, 2017
_____ /s/ J. ROBERT LOVEJOY J. Robert Lovejoy	Director	March 10, 2017
_____ /s/ LEOR SIRI Leor Siri	Director	March 10, 2017
_____ /s/ EUGENE I. ZURIFF Eugene I. Zuriff	Director	March 10, 2017
_____ /s/ DAVID W. KALISH David W. Kalish	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)	March 10, 2017
_____ /s/ KAREN DUNLEAVY Karen Dunleavy	Vice President, Financial (Principal Accounting Officer)	March 10, 2017

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
One Liberty Properties, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries at December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries’ internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework) and our report dated March 10, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

New York, New York
March 10, 2017

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
One Liberty Properties, Inc.

We have audited One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework), (the COSO criteria). One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, changes in equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2016 of One Liberty Properties, Inc. and Subsidiaries and our report dated March 10, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

New York, New York
March 10, 2017

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Amounts in Thousands, Except Par Value)

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
ASSETS		
Real estate investments, at cost		
Land	\$211,432	\$186,994
Buildings and improvements	536,633	460,379
Total real estate investments, at cost	748,065	647,373
Less accumulated depreciation	96,852	85,116
Real estate investments, net	651,213	562,257
Properties held-for-sale	—	12,259
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	10,833	11,350
Cash and cash equivalents	17,420	12,736
Restricted cash	643	1,074
Unbilled rent receivable (including \$712 related to properties held-for-sale in 2015)	13,797	13,577
Unamortized intangible lease assets, net	32,645	28,978
Escrow, deposits and other assets and receivables	6,894	4,268
Total assets	\$733,445	\$646,499
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Liabilities:		
Mortgages payable, net of \$4,294 and \$3,373 deferred financing costs, respectively	\$394,898	\$331,055
Line of credit, net of \$936 and \$506 deferred financing costs, respectively	9,064	17,744
Dividends payable	7,806	6,901
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	10,470	13,852
Unamortized intangible lease liabilities, net	19,280	14,521
Total liabilities	441,518	384,073
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
One Liberty Properties, Inc. stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$1 par value; 12,500 shares authorized; none issued	—	—
Common stock, \$1 par value; 25,000 shares authorized; 17,600 and 16,292 shares issued and outstanding	17,600	16,292
Paid-in capital	262,511	232,378
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,479)	(4,390)
Accumulated undistributed net income	11,501	16,215
Total One Liberty Properties, Inc. stockholders' equity	290,133	260,495
Non-controlling interests in consolidated joint ventures	1,794	1,931
Total equity	291,927	262,426
Total liabilities and equity	\$733,445	\$646,499

See accompanying notes.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Income
(Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Revenues:			
Rental income, net	\$ 64,164	\$ 58,973	\$ 56,647
Tenant reimbursements	6,424	3,852	2,561
Lease termination fees	—	2,886	1,269
Total revenues	<u>70,588</u>	<u>65,711</u>	<u>60,477</u>
Operating expenses:			
Depreciation and amortization	18,164	16,384	14,662
General and administrative (see Note 11 for related party information)	10,693	9,527	8,796
Real estate expenses (see Note 11 for related party information)	8,931	6,047	4,407
Real estate acquisition costs (see Note 11 for related party information)	596	449	479
Federal excise and state taxes	203	343	488
Leasehold rent	308	308	308
Impairment loss	—	—	1,093
Total operating expenses	<u>38,895</u>	<u>33,058</u>	<u>30,233</u>
Operating income	31,693	32,653	30,244
Other income and expenses:			
Gain on sale of real estate, net	10,087	5,392	10,180
Purchase price fair value adjustment	—	960	—
Prepayment costs on debt	(577)	(568)	(1,581)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures (see Note 11 for related party information)	1,005	412	533
Gain on sale—investment in BRT Realty Trust (related party)	—	—	134
Other income	435	108	29
Interest:			
Expense	(17,258)	(16,027)	(16,305)
Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs	(904)	(1,023)	(1,037)
Income from continuing operations	24,481	21,907	22,197
Income from discontinued operations	—	—	13
Net income	24,481	21,907	22,210
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	(59)	(1,390)	(94)
Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc.	<u>\$ 24,422</u>	<u>\$ 20,517</u>	<u>\$ 22,116</u>
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:			
Basic	<u>16,768</u>	<u>15,971</u>	<u>15,563</u>
Diluted	<u>16,882</u>	<u>16,079</u>	<u>15,663</u>
Per common share attributable to common stockholders:			
Basic	<u>\$ 1.40</u>	<u>\$ 1.23</u>	<u>\$ 1.37</u>
Diluted	<u>\$ 1.39</u>	<u>\$ 1.22</u>	<u>\$ 1.37</u>

See accompanying notes.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
(Amounts in Thousands)

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Net income	\$24,481	\$21,907	\$22,210
Other comprehensive gain (loss)			
Net unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities	—	3	11
Reclassification of gain on available-for-sale securities included in net income	(27)	—	(132)
Net unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	2,879	(1,168)	(2,643)
One Liberty Properties, Inc.'s share of joint venture net unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	64	(1)	24
Other comprehensive gain (loss)	<u>2,916</u>	<u>(1,166)</u>	<u>(2,740)</u>
Comprehensive income	27,397	20,741	19,470
Net income attributable to non-controlling interests	(59)	(1,390)	(94)
Adjustment for derivative instruments attributable to non-controlling interests	(5)	29	(35)
Comprehensive income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc.	<u>\$27,333</u>	<u>\$19,380</u>	<u>\$19,341</u>

See accompanying notes.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity

For the Three Years Ended December 31, 2016

(Amounts in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

	<u>Common Stock</u>	<u>Paid-in Capital</u>	<u>Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss)</u>	<u>Accumulated Undistributed Net Income</u>	<u>Non-Controlling Interests in Consolidated Joint Ventures</u>	<u>Total</u>
Balances, December 31, 2013	\$15,221	\$210,324	\$ (490)	\$ 23,877	\$ 1,158	\$250,090
Distributions—common stock						
Cash—\$1.50 per share	—	—	—	(24,117)	—	(24,117)
Shares issued through equity offering program—net	179	3,589	—	—	—	3,768
Restricted stock vesting	101	(101)	—	—	—	—
Shares issued through dividend reinvestment plan	227	4,222	—	—	—	4,449
Contributions from non-controlling interests	—	—	—	—	639	639
Distributions to non-controlling interests	—	—	—	—	(228)	(228)
Compensation expense—restricted stock	—	1,833	—	—	—	1,833
Net income	—	—	—	22,116	94	22,210
Other comprehensive (loss)	—	—	(2,705)	—	(35)	(2,740)
Balances, December 31, 2014	<u>15,728</u>	<u>219,867</u>	<u>(3,195)</u>	<u>21,876</u>	<u>1,628</u>	<u>255,904</u>
Distributions—common stock						
Cash—\$1.58 per share	—	—	—	(26,178)	—	(26,178)
Shares issued through equity offering program—net	295	6,162	—	—	—	6,457
Restricted stock vesting	72	(72)	—	—	—	—
Shares issued through dividend reinvestment plan	197	4,087	—	—	—	4,284
Contributions from non-controlling interests	—	—	—	—	713	713
Distributions to non-controlling interests	—	—	—	—	(1,829)	(1,829)
Compensation expense—restricted stock	—	2,334	—	—	—	2,334
Net income	—	—	—	20,517	1,390	21,907
Other comprehensive (loss) income	—	—	(1,195)	—	29	(1,166)
Balances, December 31, 2015	<u>16,292</u>	<u>232,378</u>	<u>(4,390)</u>	<u>16,215</u>	<u>1,931</u>	<u>262,426</u>
Distributions—common stock						
Cash—\$1.66 per share	—	—	—	(29,136)	—	(29,136)
Shares issued through equity offering program—net	1,080	24,707	—	—	—	25,787
Restricted stock vesting	86	(86)	—	—	—	—
Shares issued through dividend reinvestment plan	142	2,965	—	—	—	3,107
Contribution from non-controlling interests	—	—	—	—	80	80
Distributions to non-controlling interests	—	—	—	—	(271)	(271)
Purchase of non-controlling interest	—	(436)	—	—	(10)	(446)
Compensation expense—restricted stock	—	2,983	—	—	—	2,983
Net income	—	—	—	24,422	59	24,481
Other comprehensive income	—	—	2,911	—	5	2,916
Balances, December 31, 2016	<u>\$17,600</u>	<u>\$262,511</u>	<u>\$(1,479)</u>	<u>\$ 11,501</u>	<u>\$ 1,794</u>	<u>\$291,927</u>

See accompanying notes.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Amounts in Thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 24,481	\$ 21,907	\$ 22,210
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Gain on sale of real estate, net	(10,087)	(5,392)	(10,180)
Purchase price fair value adjustment	—	(960)	—
Gain on sale of available-for-sale securities (to related party in 2014)	(27)	—	(134)
Impairment loss	—	—	1,093
Prepayment costs on debt	577	568	1,581
Increase in unbilled rent receivable	(2,286)	(1,448)	(1,569)
Write-off of unbilled rent receivable	7	566	79
Bad debt expense	98	—	—
Amortization of intangibles relating to leases, net	(712)	(723)	(267)
Amortization of restricted stock expense	2,983	2,334	1,833
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	(1,005)	(412)	(533)
Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated joint ventures	939	540	502
Depreciation and amortization	18,164	16,384	14,662
Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs	904	1,023	1,037
Payment of leasing commissions	(1,050)	(716)	(165)
(Increase) decrease in escrow, deposits, other assets and receivables	(731)	197	1,149
(Decrease) increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities	(850)	616	505
Net cash provided by operating activities	31,405	34,484	31,803
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of real estate	(118,589)	(67,445)	(57,096)
Improvements to real estate	(4,868)	(3,868)	(769)
Net proceeds from sales of real estate	42,312	16,025	43,788
Purchase of partner's interest in consolidated joint venture	(446)	—	—
Purchase of partner's interest in unconsolidated joint venture	—	(6,300)	—
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures	—	(12,686)	—
Distributions of capital from unconsolidated joint ventures	647	776	53
Net proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities (to related party in 2014)	33	—	266
Net cash used in investing activities	(80,911)	(73,498)	(13,758)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Scheduled amortization payments of mortgages payable	(9,138)	(7,793)	(7,597)
Repayment of mortgages payable	(63,726)	(27,967)	(38,873)
Proceeds from mortgage financings	137,628	79,605	60,474
Proceeds from sale of common stock, net	25,787	6,457	3,768
Proceeds from bank line of credit	86,000	45,400	42,500
Repayment on bank line of credit	(94,250)	(40,400)	(52,500)
Issuance of shares through dividend reinvestment plan	3,107	4,284	4,449
Payment of financing costs	(2,220)	(897)	(1,782)
Prepayment costs on debt	(577)	(568)	(1,581)
Capital contributions from non-controlling interests	80	713	639
Distributions to non-controlling interests	(271)	(1,829)	(228)
Cash distributions to common stockholders	(28,230)	(25,599)	(23,601)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	54,190	31,406	(14,332)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	4,684	(7,608)	3,713
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	12,736	20,344	16,631
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 17,420	\$ 12,736	\$ 20,344

Continued on next page

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Continued)
(Amounts in Thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:			
Cash paid during the year for interest expense	\$ 17,310	\$ 15,986	\$ 16,403
Cash paid during the year for income taxes	36	70	90
Cash paid during the year for Federal excise tax, net	190	300	64
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Mortgage debt extinguished upon conveyance of the Company's Morrow, Georgia property to mortgagee by deed-in-lieu of foreclosure	\$ —	\$ 1,466	\$ —
Consolidation of real estate investment	—	2,633	—
Purchase accounting allocation—intangible lease assets	8,194	5,776	4,771
Purchase accounting allocation—intangible lease liabilities	(6,288)	(5,365)	(4,376)

See accompanying notes.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2016

NOTE 1—ORGANIZATION AND BACKGROUND

One Liberty Properties, Inc. (“OLP”) was incorporated in 1982 in Maryland. OLP is a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust (“REIT”). OLP acquires, owns and manages a geographically diversified portfolio consisting primarily of retail, industrial, flex and health and fitness properties, many of which are subject to long-term net leases. As of December 31, 2016, OLP owns 119 properties, including six properties owned by consolidated joint ventures and five properties owned by unconsolidated joint ventures. The 119 properties are located in 30 states.

NOTE 2—SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts and operations of OLP, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, its joint ventures in which the Company, as defined, has a controlling interest, and variable interest entities (“VIEs”) of which the Company is the primary beneficiary. OLP and its consolidated subsidiaries are hereinafter referred to as the “Company”. Material intercompany items and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Investment in Joint Ventures and Variable Interest Entities

The Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, provides guidance for determining whether an entity is a VIE. VIEs are defined as entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. A VIE is required to be consolidated by its primary beneficiary, which is the party that (i) has the power to control the activities that most significantly impact the VIE’s economic performance and (ii) has the obligation to absorb losses, or the right to receive benefits, of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE.

On January 1, 2016, the Company adopted ASU 2015-02, *Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis*, which amends the current consolidation guidance. The ASU introduces a separate consolidation analysis specific to limited partnerships and other similar entities. Under this analysis, limited partnerships and other similar entities will be considered a VIE unless the limited partners hold substantive kick-out or participating rights (see Note 6).

Consistent with the adoption of ASU 2015-02, the Company assesses the accounting treatment for each of its investments, including a review of each venture or limited liability company or partnership agreement, to determine the rights of each party and whether those rights are protective or participating. Additionally, the Company assesses the accounting treatment for any interests pursuant to which the Company may have a variable interest as a lessor. The agreements typically contain certain protective rights, such as the requirement of partner approval to sell, finance or refinance the property and to pay capital expenditures and operating expenditures outside of the approved budget or operating plan. Leases may contain certain protective rights, such as the right of sale and the receipt of certain escrow deposits. In situations where, among other things, the Company and its partners jointly (i) approve the annual budget, (ii) approve certain expenditures, (iii) prepare or review and approve the joint venture’s tax return before filing, and (iv) approve each lease at a property, the Company does not consolidate as the Company considers these to be substantive participation rights that result in

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 2—SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

shared, joint power over the activities that most significantly impact the performance of the joint venture or property.

The Company accounts for its investments in unconsolidated joint ventures under the equity method of accounting. All investments in unconsolidated joint ventures have sufficient equity at risk to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support and, as a group, the holders of the equity at risk have power through voting rights to direct the activities of these ventures. As a result, none of these joint ventures are VIEs. In addition, the Company shares power with its co-managing members over these entities, and therefore the entities are not consolidated. These investments are recorded initially at cost, as investments in unconsolidated joint ventures, and subsequently adjusted for their share of equity in earnings, cash contributions and distributions. None of the joint venture debt is recourse to the Company, subject to standard carve-outs.

The Company periodically reviews its investments in unconsolidated joint ventures for other-than-temporary losses in investment value. Any decline that is not expected to be recovered based on the underlying assets of the investment is considered other than temporary and an impairment charge is recorded as a reduction in the carrying value of the investment. During the three years ended December 31, 2016, there was no impairment charges related to the Company's investments in unconsolidated joint ventures.

Consistent with the Company's adoption of ASU 2016-15, *Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments (a consensus of the Emerging Issues Task Force)* discussed further below, the Company has elected to follow the cumulative earnings approach when assessing, for the consolidated statement of cash flows, whether the distribution from the investee is a return of the investor's investment as compared to a return on its investment. The source of the cash generated by the investee to fund the distribution is not a factor in the analysis (that is, it does not matter whether the cash was generated through investee refinancing, sale of assets or operating results). Consequently, the investor only considers the relationship between the cash received from the investee to its equity in the undistributed earnings of the investee, on a cumulative basis, in assessing whether the distribution from the investee is a return on or return of its investment. Cash received from the unconsolidated entity is presumed to be a return on the investment to the extent that, on a cumulative basis, distributions received by the investor are less than its share of the equity in the undistributed earnings of the entity.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Management believes that the estimates and assumptions that are most important to the portrayal of the Company's consolidated financial condition and results of operations, in that they require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, form the basis of the accounting policies deemed to be most significant to the Company. These significant accounting policies relate to revenues and the value of the Company's real estate portfolio, including investments in unconsolidated joint

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 2—SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

ventures. Management believes its estimates and assumptions related to these significant accounting policies are appropriate under the circumstances; however, should future events or occurrences result in unanticipated consequences, there could be a material impact on the Company's future consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

Revenue Recognition

Rental income includes the base rent that each tenant is required to pay in accordance with the terms of their respective leases reported on a straight-line basis over the non-cancelable term of the lease. In determining, in its judgment, that the unbilled rent receivable applicable to each specific property is collectible, management reviews unbilled rent receivables on a quarterly basis and takes into consideration the tenant's payment history and financial condition. Some of the leases provide for increases based on the Consumer Price Index and for additional contingent rental revenue in the form of percentage rents. The percentage rents are based upon the level of sales achieved by the lessee and are recognized once the required sales levels are reached. Certain ground leases provide for rent which can be deferred and paid based on the operating performance of the property; therefore, this rent is recognized as rental income when the operating performance is achieved and the rent is received.

Many of the Company's properties are subject to long-term net leases under which the tenant is typically responsible to pay directly to the vendor the real estate taxes, insurance, utilities and ordinary maintenance and repairs related to the property, and the Company is not the primary obligor with respect to such items. As a result, the revenue and expenses relating to these properties are recorded on a net basis. For certain properties, in addition to contractual base rent, the tenants pay their pro rata share of real estate taxes and operating expenses to the Company. The income and expenses associated with these properties are generally recorded on a gross basis when the Company is the primary obligor. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company recorded reimbursements of expenses of \$6,424,000, \$3,852,000 and \$2,561,000, respectively, which are reported as Tenant reimbursements in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.

Gains and losses on the sale of real estate investments are recorded when the criteria under GAAP has been met.

Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures the fair value of financial instruments based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, a fair value hierarchy distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions. In accordance with the fair value hierarchy, Level 1 assets/liabilities are valued based on quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets, Level 2 assets/liabilities are valued based on quoted prices in active markets for similar instruments, on quoted prices in less active or inactive markets, or on other "observable" market inputs and Level 3 assets/liabilities are valued based on significant "unobservable" market inputs.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 2—SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Purchase Accounting for Acquisition of Real Estate

The Company has recorded acquired real estate investments as business combinations when the real estate is occupied, at least in part, at acquisition. Costs directly related to the acquisition of such investments have been expensed as incurred. Acquired real estate investments that do not meet the definition of a business combination are recorded at cost. Transaction costs incurred with such asset acquisitions are capitalized. However, see New Accounting Pronouncements for future change in the accounting for the Company's acquisitions. The Company allocates the purchase price of real estate among land, building, improvements and intangibles, such as the value of above, below and at-market leases, and origination costs associated with in-place leases at the acquisition date. The Company assesses the fair value of the tangible assets of an acquired property by valuing the property as if it were vacant. The value, as determined, is allocated to land, building and improvements based on management's determination of the relative fair values of these assets.

The Company assesses the fair value of the lease intangibles based on estimated cash flow projections that utilize appropriate discount rates and available market information. Such inputs are categorized as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. In valuing an acquired property's intangibles, factors considered by management include an estimate of carrying costs during the expected lease-up periods, such as real estate taxes, insurance, other operating expenses, and estimates of lost rental revenue during the expected lease-up periods based on its evaluation of current market demand. Management also estimates costs to execute similar leases, including leasing commissions and tenant improvements.

The values of acquired above-market and below-market leases are recorded based on the present values (using discount rates which reflect the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between the contractual amounts to be received and management's estimate of market lease rates, measured over the terms of the respective leases that management deemed appropriate at the time of the acquisitions. Such valuations include a consideration of the non-cancellable terms of the respective leases, as well as any applicable renewal period(s). The fair values associated with below-market rental renewal options are determined based on the Company's experience and the relevant facts and circumstances at the time of the acquisitions. The values of above-market leases are amortized as a reduction to rental income over the terms of the respective non-cancellable lease periods. The portion of the values of below-market leases are amortized as an increase to rental income over the terms of the respective non-cancellable lease periods. The portion of the values of the leases associated with below-market renewal options that management deemed are likely to be exercised by the tenant are amortized to rental income over such renewal periods. The value of other intangible assets (origination costs) is recorded to amortization expense over the remaining terms of the respective leases. If a lease were to be terminated prior to its contractual expiration date or not renewed, all unamortized amounts relating to that lease would be recognized in operations at that time. The estimated useful lives of intangible assets or liabilities generally range from one to 39 years.

Accounting for Long-Lived Assets and Impairment of Real Estate Owned

The Company reviews its real estate portfolio on a quarterly basis to ascertain if there are any indicators of impairment to the value of any of its real estate assets, including deferred costs and intangibles, to determine if there is any need for an impairment charge. In reviewing the portfolio, the Company examines one or more of the following: the type of asset, the current financial statements or

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 2—SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

other available financial information of the tenant, the economic situation in the area in which the asset is located, the economic situation in the industry in which the tenant is involved, the timeliness of the payments made by the tenant under its lease, and any current communication with the tenant, including property inspection reports. For each real estate asset owned for which indicators of impairment exist, management performs a recoverability test by comparing the sum of the estimated undiscounted future cash flows attributable to the asset to its carrying amount. If the aggregate undiscounted cash flows are less than the asset's carrying amount, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the estimated fair value is less than the asset's carrying amount. The estimated fair value is determined using a discounted cash flow model of the expected future cash flows through the useful life of the property. The analysis includes an estimate of the future cash flows that are expected to result from the real estate investment's use and eventual disposition. These cash flows consider factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, the effects of leasing demand, competition and other factors.

Properties Held-for-Sale

Real estate investments are classified as properties held-for-sale when management determines that the investment meets the applicable criteria. Real estate assets that are classified as held-for-sale are: (i) valued at the lower of carrying amount or the estimated fair value less costs to sell on an individual asset basis; and (ii) not depreciated.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

All highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased are considered to be cash equivalents.

Escrows

Real estate taxes, insurance and other escrows aggregating \$387,000 and \$1,390,000 at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, are included in Escrow, deposits and other assets and receivables.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of a tenant to make required rent payments. If the financial condition of a specific tenant were to deteriorate, adversely impacting its ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, there was no balance in the allowance for doubtful accounts.

The Company records bad debt expense as a reduction of rental income and/or tenant reimbursements. On March 2, 2016, Sports Authority Inc., the tenant at the Company's Greenwood Village, Colorado property, filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection and on June 30, 2016, such tenant vacated the property. This tenant accounted for less than 1% of the Company's rental income in each of the three years ended December 31, 2016. For the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company recorded an aggregate bad debt expense of \$105,000, of which \$98,000 relates to rental income and tenant reimbursements due from this tenant and \$7,000 is from the write-off of the balance

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 2—SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

of unbilled straight-line rent receivable. The Company has determined that no impairment charge is required with respect to this property, which at December 31, 2016, had a net book value of \$2,628,000. For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company incurred bad debt expense of \$89,000 (see Note 6) and \$0, respectively.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation of buildings is computed on the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of 40 years. Depreciation of building improvements is computed on the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the improvements. If the Company determines it is the owner of tenant improvements, the amounts funded to construct the tenant improvements are treated as a capital asset and depreciated over the lesser of the remaining lease term or the estimated useful life of the improvements on the straight-line method. Leasehold interest and the related ground lease payments are amortized over the initial lease term of the leasehold position. Depreciation expense, including amortization of a leasehold position, lease origination costs, and capitalized leasing commissions amounted to \$18,164,000, \$16,384,000 and \$14,662,000 for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Deferred Financing Costs

Mortgage and credit line costs are deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective debt obligations, which approximates the effective interest method. At December 31, 2016 and 2015, accumulated amortization of such costs was \$2,090,000 and \$4,628,000, respectively. On January 1, 2016, the Company adopted ASU 2015-03, *Interest—Imputation of Interest—Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs*, which amends the balance sheet presentation for such deferred financing costs. Under this guidance, a company presents unamortized deferred financing costs as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability with retrospective application for all prior periods presented (see Note 9).

Income Taxes

The Company is qualified as a real estate investment trust under the applicable provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. Under these provisions, the Company will not be subject to Federal, and generally, state and local income taxes, on amounts distributed to stockholders, provided it distributes at least 90% of its taxable income and meets certain other conditions. During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company recorded Federal excise tax expense of \$6,000, \$174,000 and \$302,000, respectively, which is based on taxable income generated but not yet distributed.

For 2016, 2015 and 2014, 27%, 67% and 26%, respectively, of the distributions were treated as capital gain distributions, with the balance treated as ordinary income.

The Company follows a two-step approach for evaluating uncertain tax positions. Recognition (step one) occurs when an enterprise concludes that a tax position, based solely on its technical merits, is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination. Measurement (step two) determines the amount of benefit that more-likely-than-not will be realized upon settlement. Derecognition of a tax position that was previously recognized would occur when a company subsequently determines that a

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 2—SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

tax position no longer meets the more-likely-than-not threshold of being sustained. The use of a valuation allowance as a substitute for derecognition of tax positions is prohibited. The Company has not identified any uncertain tax positions requiring accrual.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company maintains cash accounts at various financial institutions. While the Company attempts to limit any financial exposure, substantially all of its deposit balances exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses on such accounts.

The Company's properties are located in 30 states. During 2016, 2015 and 2014, 12.9%, 13.0% and 13.1% of total revenues, respectively, were attributable to real estate investments located in Texas which is the only state in which real estate investments contributed more than 10% to the Company's total revenues.

Excluding lease termination fees, no tenant contributed over 10% to the Company's total revenues during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Segment Reporting

Substantially all of the Company's real estate assets, at acquisition, are comprised of real estate owned that is leased to tenants on a long-term basis. Therefore, the Company aggregates real estate assets for reporting purposes and operates in one reportable segment.

Stock Based Compensation

The fair value of restricted stock grants and restricted stock units, determined as of the date of grant, is amortized into general and administrative expense over the respective vesting period. The deferred compensation to be recognized as expense is net of certain forfeiture and performance assumptions which are re-evaluated quarterly. Consistent with the adoption of ASU 2016-09, discussed below, the Company recognizes the effect of forfeitures when they occur and previously recognized compensation expense shall be reversed in the period the grant or unit is forfeited.

Derivatives and Hedging Activities

The Company's objective in using interest rate swaps is to add stability to interest expense. The Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes.

The Company records all derivatives on the consolidated balance sheets at fair value. The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques, including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of the derivatives. In addition, the Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. These counterparties are generally large financial institutions engaged in providing a variety of financial services. These institutions generally face similar risks regarding adverse changes in market and economic conditions including, but not limited to, fluctuations in interest rates, exchange rates, equity and commodity prices and credit spreads.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 2—SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether the Company has elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows are considered cash flow hedges. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss (outside of earnings) and subsequently reclassified to earnings in the period in which the hedged transaction becomes ineffective. For derivatives not designated as cash flow hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative are recognized directly in earnings in the period in which the change occurs; however, the Company's policy is to not enter into such transactions.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts previously reported in the consolidated financial statements have been reclassified in the accompanying consolidated financial statements to conform to the current year's presentation. Such reclassifications primarily relate to the presentation of (i) deferred financing costs (see Note 9) and (ii) changes to the presentation of the consolidated statements of cash flows due to the adoption of new accounting pronouncements (discussed below).

New Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-01, *Business Combinations (Topic 805): Clarifying the Definition of a Business*, which requires an entity to evaluate whether substantially all of the fair value of the gross assets acquired is concentrated in a single identifiable asset or a group of similar identifiable assets, and if that threshold is met, the asset group is not a business. It also requires a business to include at least one substantive process and narrows the definition of outputs. The effective date of the standard will be fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2017, and early adoption is permitted. The Company believes that most of its typical acquisitions will not meet the definition of a business and, accordingly, acquisition costs for those acquisitions will be capitalized rather than expensed.

In November 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-18, *Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash (a consensus of the Emerging Issues Task Force)*, which requires that a statement of cash flows explain the change during the period in the total of cash, cash equivalents, and amount generally described as restricted cash or restricted cash equivalents. Therefore, amounts generally described as restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents should be included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash flows. The effective date of the standard will be fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2017, and early adoption is permitted. The Company does not expect that the adoption of this guidance will have any significant effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In October 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-17, *Consolidation (Topic 810): Interests Held through Related Parties that are Under Common Control*, which alters how a decision maker needs to consider indirect interests in a VIE held through an entity under common control. If a decision maker is required to evaluate whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE, it will need to consider only its

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 2—SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

proportionate indirect interest in the VIE held through a common control party. The effective date of the standard will be fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2016, and early adoption is permitted. Entities which have already adopted the amendments in ASU No. 2015-02 are required to apply ASU No. 2016-17 retrospectively to all relevant prior periods beginning with the fiscal year in which ASU No. 2015-02 was initially applied. The Company has elected early adoption as of January 1, 2016, and its adoption did not have any impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, *Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments (a consensus of the Emerging Issues Task Force)*, which provides specific guidance on eight cash flow classification issues and how to reduce diversity in how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified in the statement of cash flows. The effective date of the standard will be fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2017, and early adoption is permitted. The Company elected early adoption for the year ended December 31, 2016, and as a result, reclassified debt prepayment costs from operating activities to a cash outflow from financing activities on the consolidated statements of cash flows for all periods presented.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-13, *Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments*, which changes how entities will measure credit losses for most financial assets and certain other instruments that aren't measured at fair value through net income. The guidance replaces the current 'incurred loss' model with an 'expected loss' approach. The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted after December 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the new guidance to determine the impact, if any, it may have on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, *Compensation—Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting*, which changes how companies account for certain aspects of share-based payment awards to employees, including the accounting for income taxes, forfeitures, and statutory tax withholding requirements, as well as classification in the statement of cash flows. The effective date of the standard will be fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2017, and early adoption is permitted. The Company elected early adoption for the year ended December 31, 2016 and its adoption did not have any impact on its consolidated financial statements.

Also in March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-05, *Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Effect of Derivative Contract Novations on Existing Hedge Accounting Relationships*, which states the novation of a derivative contract (i.e., a change in the counterparty) in a hedge accounting relationship does not, in and of itself, require dedesignation of that hedge accounting relationship. The hedge accounting relationship could continue uninterrupted if all of the other hedge accounting criteria are met, including the expectation that the hedge will be highly effective when the creditworthiness of the new counterparty to the derivative contract is considered. The effective date of the standard will be fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2016, and early adoption is permitted. The Company adopted this guidance for the year ended December 31, 2016 and its adoption did not have any impact on its consolidated financial statements.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 2—SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, *Leases*, which amends the existing accounting standards for lease accounting, including requiring lessees to recognize most leases on their balance sheets and making targeted changes to lessor accounting. The effective date of the standard will be fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018, and early adoption is permitted. The new leases standard requires a modified retrospective transition approach for all leases existing at, or entered into after, the date of initial application, with an option to use certain transition relief. The Company is currently evaluating this new standard but it is not expected to have a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements. The Company anticipates adopting this guidance January 1, 2019 and will apply the modified retrospective approach.

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-16, *Business Combinations: Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement Period Adjustments*, which eliminates the requirement for an acquirer in a business combination to account for measurement period adjustments retrospectively. Instead, acquirers must recognize measurement-period adjustments during the period in which they determine the amounts, including the effect on earnings of any amounts they would have recorded in previous periods if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. The effective date of the standard is for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. The Company adopted this guidance on January 1, 2016 and its adoption did not have any impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) (ASU 2014-09)* which outlines a new, single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes most current revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. The new model will require revenue recognition to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration a company expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. The standard can be applied either retrospectively to each period presented or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption. In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date*, which delays the effective date of ASU 2014-09 by one year. In accordance with the agreed upon delay, the new standard is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted but not before annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016. In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-08, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Principal versus Agent Considerations (Reporting Revenue Gross versus Net)*, which is intended to improve the operability and understandability of the implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations. The effective date for ASU 2016-08 is the same as the effective date for ASU 2014-09. The Company anticipates adopting this guidance January 1, 2018, and applying the cumulative-effect adoption method. Since the Company's revenue is primarily related to leasing activities, management does not anticipate that the adoption of this guidance will have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 3—EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE

Basic earnings per share was determined by dividing net income allocable to common stockholders for each year by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the applicable year. Net income is also allocated to the unvested restricted stock outstanding during each year, as the restricted stock is entitled to receive dividends and is therefore considered a participating security. Unvested restricted stock is not allocated net losses and/or any excess of dividends declared over net income; such amounts are allocated entirely to the common stockholders, other than the holders of unvested restricted stock. The restricted stock units awarded under the Pay-for-Performance program are excluded from the basic earnings per share calculation, as these units are not participating securities (see Note 12).

Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other rights exercisable for, or convertible into, common stock were exercised or converted or otherwise resulted in the issuance of common stock that shared in the earnings of the Company. For 2016, 2015 and 2014, the diluted weighted average number of shares of common stock includes 114,000, 108,000 and 100,000 shares, respectively (of an aggregate of 200,000 shares) of common stock underlying the restricted stock units awarded pursuant to the Pay-for-Performance Program. These amounts include 100,000 shares that would be issued pursuant to a metric based on the market price and dividends paid at the end of each quarterly period, assuming the end of that quarterly period was the end of the vesting period. Of the remaining 100,000 shares of common stock underlying the restricted stock units awarded under the Pay-for-Performance Program (the “ROC Shares”), 14,000 and 8,000 shares are included in the diluted weighted average in 2016 and 2015, respectively, and as the return on capital performance metric was not satisfied during 2014, none of the ROC Shares were included in such year.

There were no options outstanding to purchase shares of common stock or other rights exercisable for, or convertible into, common stock in 2016, 2015 and 2014.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 3—EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE (Continued)

The following table provides a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of earnings per share calculations (amounts in thousands, except per share amounts):

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share:			
Income from continuing operations	\$24,481	\$21,907	\$22,197
Less net income attributable to non-controlling interests	(59)	(1,390)	(94)
Less earnings allocated to unvested restricted stock(a)	(999)	(852)	(722)
Income from continuing operations available for common stockholders	23,423	19,665	21,381
Discontinued operations	—	—	13
Net income available for common stockholders:			
basic and diluted	<u>\$23,423</u>	<u>\$19,665</u>	<u>\$21,394</u>
Denominator for basic earnings per share:			
Weighted average common shares	16,768	15,971	15,563
Effect of diluted securities:			
Restricted stock units awarded under Pay-for- Performance program	114	108	100
Denominator for diluted earnings per share:			
Weighted average shares	<u>16,882</u>	<u>16,079</u>	<u>15,663</u>
Earnings per common share, basic	<u>\$ 1.40</u>	<u>\$ 1.23</u>	<u>\$ 1.37</u>
Earnings per common share, diluted	<u>\$ 1.39</u>	<u>\$ 1.22</u>	<u>\$ 1.37</u>
Amounts attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc. common stockholders, net of non-controlling interests:			
Income from continuing operations	\$24,422	\$20,517	\$22,103
Income from discontinued operations	—	—	13
Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc.	<u>\$24,422</u>	<u>\$20,517</u>	<u>\$22,116</u>

(a) Represents an allocation of distributed earnings to unvested restricted stock which, as participating securities, are entitled to receive dividends.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 4—REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS

Real Estate Acquisitions

The following charts detail the Company's acquisitions of real estate and an interest in a joint venture during 2016 and 2015 (amounts in thousands):

<u>Description of Property</u>	<u>Date Acquired</u>	<u>Contract Purchase Price</u>	<u>Terms of Payment(a)</u>	<u>Third Party Real Estate Acquisition Costs(b)</u>
Multi-tenant industrial facility, Greenville, South Carolina	March 30, 2016	\$ 8,100	All cash	\$ 80
Multi-tenant industrial facility, Greenville, South Carolina	March 30, 2016	8,950	All cash	81
Toro distribution facility, El Paso, Texas	June 3, 2016	23,695	All cash	72
4 Advanced Auto retail stores, Ohio	June 16, 2016	6,523	Cash and \$4,300 mortgage	80
Land—The Briarbrook Village Apartments, Wheaton, Illinois	August 2, 2016	10,530	All cash	—(c)
Burlington Coat and Micro Center retail stores, St. Louis Park, Minnesota	August 12, 2016	14,150	All cash	74
Land—The Vue Apartments, Beachwood, Ohio	August 16, 2016	13,896	All cash	—(d)
Famous Footwear distribution facility, Lebanon, Tennessee	September 1, 2016	32,734	Cash and \$21,288 mortgage	195
Other costs(e)		—		14
Totals for 2016		<u>\$118,578</u>		<u>\$596</u>

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 4—REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)

<u>Description of Property</u>	<u>Date Acquired</u>	<u>Contract Purchase Price</u>	<u>Terms of Payment(a)</u>	<u>Third Party Real Estate Acquisition Costs(b)</u>
Marston Park Plaza retail stores, Littleton, Colorado(f)	February 25, 2015	\$17,485	Cash and \$11,853 mortgage	\$184
Interline Brands distribution facility, Louisville, Kentucky	March 18, 2015	4,400	Cash and \$2,640 mortgage	48
Land—The Meadows Apartments, Lakemoor, Illinois	March 24, 2015	9,300	All cash	—(g)
Joint venture interest—Shopko retail store, Lincoln, Nebraska(h)	March 31, 2015	6,300	All cash	12
Archway Roofing industrial facility, Louisville, Kentucky	May 20, 2015	300	All cash	15
JCIM industrial facility, McCalla, Alabama	July 28, 2015	16,618	All cash	45
FedEx & CHEP USA distribution facility, Delport (St. Louis), Missouri	September 25, 2015	19,050	Cash and \$12,383 mortgage	81
Other costs(e)		—		64
Totals for 2015		<u>\$73,453</u>		<u>\$449</u>

- (a) All of the mortgages listed were obtained simultaneously with the acquisition of the applicable property.
- (b) Included as an expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of income.
- (c) Transaction costs aggregating \$6 incurred with this asset acquisition were capitalized.
- (d) Transaction costs aggregating \$5 incurred with this asset acquisition were capitalized.
- (e) Costs incurred for properties purchased in the previous year, potential acquisitions and transactions that were not consummated.
- (f) Represents 100% of the consolidated joint venture in which the Company has a 90% interest. The non-controlling interest contributed \$663 for its 10% interest, which was equal to the fair value of such interest at the date of purchase.
- (g) Transaction costs aggregating \$292 incurred with this asset acquisition were capitalized.
- (h) The Company purchased its unconsolidated joint venture partner’s interest. See “—Purchase of Partner’s 50% Interest”.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 4—REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)

The following charts detail the allocation of the purchase price for the Company’s acquisitions of real estate and an interest in a joint venture during 2016 and 2015 (amounts in thousands):

Description of Property	Land	Building	Building Improvements	Intangible Lease		Total
				Asset	Liability	
Multi-tenant industrial facility, Greenville, South Carolina	\$ 693	\$ 6,718	\$ 175	\$ 514	\$ —	\$ 8,100
Multi-tenant industrial facility, Greenville, South Carolina	528	7,893	181	441	(93)	8,950
Toro distribution facility, El Paso, Texas	3,691	17,525	379	2,100	—	23,695
4 Advanced Auto retail stores, Ohio	653	5,012	189	912	(243)	6,523
Land—The Briarbrook Village Apartments, Wheaton, Illinois(a)	10,536	—	—	—	—	10,536
Burlington Coat and Micro Center retail stores, St. Louis Park, Minnesota	3,388	12,632	456	651	(2,977)	14,150
Land—The Vue Apartments, Beachwood, Ohio(b)	13,901	—	—	—	—	13,901
Famous Footwear distribution facility, Lebanon, Tennessee	2,094	29,436	603	3,576	(2,975)	32,734
Totals for 2016	<u>\$35,484</u>	<u>\$79,216</u>	<u>\$1,983</u>	<u>\$8,194</u>	<u>\$(6,288)</u>	<u>\$118,589</u>
Marston Park Plaza retail stores, Littleton, Colorado	\$ 6,005	\$10,109	\$ 700	\$1,493	\$ (822)	\$ 17,485
Interline Brands distribution facility, Louisville, Kentucky	578	3,622	105	95	—	4,400
Land—The Meadows Apartments, Lakemoor, Illinois(c)	9,592	—	—	—	—	9,592
Joint venture interest—Shopko retail store, Lincoln, Nebraska(d)	3,768	11,262	570	922	(3,929)	12,593
Archway Roofing industrial facility, Louisville, Kentucky	51	221	9	19	—	300
JCIM industrial facility, McCalla, Alabama	1,588	14,503	179	470	(122)	16,618
FedEx & CHEP USA distribution facility, Delpport, Missouri	3,728	12,456	550	2,777	(461)	19,050
Subtotals	25,310	52,173	2,113	5,776	(5,334)	80,038
Other(e)	12	19	—	—	(31)	—
Totals for 2015	<u>\$25,322</u>	<u>\$52,192</u>	<u>\$2,113</u>	<u>\$5,776</u>	<u>\$(5,365)</u>	<u>\$ 80,038</u>

- (a) Includes capitalized transaction costs of \$6 incurred with this asset acquisition.
- (b) Includes capitalized transaction costs of \$5 incurred with this asset acquisition.
- (c) Includes capitalized transaction costs of \$292 incurred with this asset acquisition.
- (d) Fair value of the assets previously owned by an unconsolidated joint venture of the Company. The Company owns 100% of this property as a result of the purchase of its partner’s interest on March 31, 2015. See “—Purchase of Partner’s 50% Interest”.
- (e) Adjustments to finalize the purchase price allocation relating to a property purchased in October 2014.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 4—REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)

As of December 31, 2016, the weighted average amortization period for the 2016 acquisitions is 9.3 years and 13.0 years for the intangible lease assets and intangible lease liabilities, respectively. As of December 31, 2015, the weighted average amortization period for the 2015 acquisitions is 6.8 years and 6.4 years for the intangible lease assets and intangible lease liabilities, respectively.

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, accumulated amortization of intangible lease assets was \$16,074,000 and \$12,392,000, respectively, and accumulated amortization of intangible lease liabilities was \$6,386,000 and \$5,091,000, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company recognized net rental income of \$712,000, \$723,000 and \$267,000, respectively, for the amortization of the above/below market leases. For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company recognized amortization expense of \$3,612,000, \$3,467,000 and \$2,430,000, respectively, relating to the amortization of the origination costs, which is included in Depreciation and amortization expense.

The unamortized balance of intangible lease assets as a result of acquired above market leases at December 31, 2016 will be deducted from rental income through 2032 as follows (amounts in thousands):

2017	\$ 765
2018	688
2019	586
2020	560
2021	554
Thereafter	<u>1,534</u>
Total	<u>\$4,687</u>

The unamortized balance of intangible lease liabilities as a result of acquired below market leases at December 31, 2016 will be added to rental income through 2055 as follows (amounts in thousands):

2017	\$ 1,763
2018	1,798
2019	1,785
2020	1,633
2021	1,598
Thereafter	<u>10,703</u>
Total	<u>\$19,280</u>

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 4—REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)

The unamortized balance of origination costs associated with in-place leases at December 31, 2016 will be charged to amortization expense through 2055 as follows (amounts in thousands):

2017	\$ 3,910
2018	3,676
2019	3,288
2020	3,054
2021	2,765
Thereafter	<u>11,265</u>
Total	<u>\$27,958</u>

Purchase of Partner's 50% Interest

On March 31, 2015, the Company purchased for \$6,300,000, its partner's 50% interest in an unconsolidated joint venture that owned a property in Lincoln, Nebraska, and as a result, the Company obtained a controlling financial interest. The payment was comprised of (i) \$2,636,000 paid directly to the partner and (ii) \$3,664,000, substantially all of which was used to pay off the partner's 50% share of the underlying joint venture mortgage. The Company had presented the investee in accordance with the equity method for the periods prior to gaining control and ceased the equity method of accounting and consolidated the investment at March 31, 2015, the date on which 100% control was obtained. In consolidating the investment, the Company recorded a purchase price fair value adjustment of \$960,000 on the consolidated statement of income, representing the difference between the book value of its preexisting equity investment on the March 31, 2015 purchase date and the fair value of the net assets acquired.

Minimum Future Rents

The rental properties owned at December 31, 2016 are leased under operating leases with current expirations ranging from 2017 to 2033, with certain tenant renewal rights.

The minimum future contractual rents do not include (i) straight-line rent or amortization of intangibles and (ii) rental income which can be deferred under the Company's ground leases on the basis of the respective property's operating performance. Such rentals amounted to \$2,379,000, \$1,458,000 and \$336,000 for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 4—REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)

The minimum future contractual rents to be received over the next five years and thereafter on non-cancellable operating leases in effect at December 31, 2016 are as follows (amounts in thousands):

2017	\$ 61,749
2018	60,473
2019	58,200
2020	55,632
2021	52,465
Thereafter	<u>232,241</u>
Total	<u>\$520,760</u>

Unbilled Rent Receivable

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company's unbilled rent receivables aggregating \$13,797,000 and \$13,577,000, respectively, represent rent reported on a straight-line basis in excess of rental payments required under the respective leases. The unbilled rent receivable is to be billed and received pursuant to the lease terms during the next 25 years.

During the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company wrote off \$2,060,000 and \$120,000, respectively, of unbilled straight-line rent receivable related to the properties sold during such years, which reduced the gain on sale reported on the consolidated statements of income (see Note 5). The Company also wrote off \$7,000 of unbilled straight-line rent receivable related to the Greenwood Village, Colorado property (see Note 2).

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company wrote off unbilled straight-line rent receivables of \$477,000 related to lease terminations effected prior to lease expirations (see Note 8) and \$89,000 related to a property in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (see Note 6).

Unaudited Pro Forma Information

The following table summarizes, on an unaudited pro forma basis, the combined results of operations of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 as though the purchases of the nine properties in 2016, excluding the two asset acquisitions (the Wheaton, Illinois and Beachwood, Ohio land acquisitions), were completed on January 1, 2015. The total acquisition costs of \$582,000 paid in connection with such 2016 purchases are included as a reduction of net income in the year ended December 31, 2015. This unaudited proforma information does not purport to represent

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 4—REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS AND MINIMUM FUTURE RENTALS (Continued)

what the actual results of operations of the Company would have been had such acquisitions occurred as of January 1, 2015, nor does it purport to predict the results of operations for future periods.

<u>(Unaudited)</u> <u>(amounts in thousands, except per share data)</u>	Year Ended December 31,	
	2016	2015
Pro forma revenues	\$74,612	\$73,210
Pro forma net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc.	25,620	21,571
Pro forma weighted average number of common shares outstanding:		
Basic	16,768	15,971
Diluted	16,882	16,079
Pro forma per common share attributable to common stockholders:		
Basic	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.23
Diluted	\$ 1.46	\$ 1.23

Revenues and net income related to these nine properties already included in the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2016 amounted to \$4,251,000 and \$654,000, respectively.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 5—SALE AND DISPOSAL OF PROPERTIES, IMPAIRMENT AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Sales of Properties

The following chart details the Company's sales of real estate during the year ended December 31, 2016 (amounts in thousands):

<u>Description of Property</u>	<u>Date Sold</u>	<u>Gross Sales Price</u>	<u>Gain on Sale of Real Estate, Net</u>
Portfolio of eight retail properties, Louisiana and Mississippi(a)	February 1, 2016	\$13,750	\$ 787
Retail property, Killeen, Texas(b)	May 19, 2016	3,100	980
Land—River Crossing Apartments, Sandy Springs, Georgia	June 15, 2016	8,858	2,331
Industrial property, Tomlinson, Pennsylvania(c)	June 30, 2016	14,800	5,660
Retail property, Island Park, NY(d)	December 22, 2016	2,702	213
		<u>43,210</u>	<u>9,971</u>
Partial condemnation of land, Greenwood Village, Colorado(e)	July 5, 2016	153	116
Totals		<u>\$43,363</u>	<u>\$10,087</u>

- (a) In connection with the sale, the Company paid off the \$7,801 mortgage balance on these properties and incurred a \$380 expense for the early termination of the mortgage (included in Prepayment costs on debt) and a \$26 write-off of deferred financing costs (included in Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs). As a result of the sale, the Company also wrote-off, as a reduction to Gain on sale of real estate, net, \$706 of unbilled straight-line rent receivable, \$79 of intangible lease assets and \$54 of tenant origination costs. At December 31, 2015, the Company classified the net book value of the land and buildings, intangible lease assets and tenant origination costs totaling \$12,259 as Properties held-for-sale.
- (b) As a result of the sale, the Company wrote-off, as a reduction to Gain on sale of real estate, net, \$37 of unbilled straight-line rent receivable.
- (c) In connection with the sale, the Company paid off the \$5,272 mortgage balance on this property and incurred a \$154 swap termination fee (included in Prepayment costs on debt) and a \$30 write-off of deferred financing costs (included in Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs). As a result of the sale, the Company also wrote-off, as a reduction to Gain on sale of real estate, net, \$1,262 of unbilled straight-line rent receivable, \$36 of intangible lease assets and \$75 of tenant origination costs.
- (d) Included in the gross sales price were insurance and other proceeds of an aggregate of \$552 received during 2013 and 2014 related to property damages from a hurricane. As a result of the

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 5—SALE AND DISPOSAL OF PROPERTIES, IMPAIRMENT AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS (Continued)

sale, the Company wrote-off, as an adjustment to Gain on sale of real estate, net, \$55 of unbilled straight-line rent receivable, \$89 of tenant origination costs and \$99 of intangible lease liabilities.

- (e) During 2016, the Company received \$484 from the Colorado Department of Transportation (“CDOT”), and has been advised by CDOT that it will remit to the Company an additional \$25, as a result of a partial condemnation of land and easements obtained by CDOT at the Company’s Greenwood Village, Colorado property. Of this aggregate of \$509, \$153 is attributable to the partial condemnation of land. The Company recognized a \$116 Gain on sale of real estate, net, as a result of this partial condemnation. See Note 8 for information regarding the \$356 balance.

On January 13, 2015, a consolidated joint venture of the Company sold a property located in Cherry Hill, New Jersey for \$16,025,000, net of closing costs. The sale resulted in a gain of \$5,392,000, recorded as Gain on sale of real estate, net, for the year ended December 31, 2015. In connection with the sale, the Company paid off the \$7,376,000 mortgage balance on this property and incurred a \$472,000 swap termination fee (included in Prepayment costs on debt) and a \$249,000 write-off of deferred financing costs (included in Amortization and write-off of deferred financing costs). The non-controlling interest’s share of income from the transaction was \$1,320,000 and is included in net income attributable to non-controlling interests.

On October 15, 2014, the Company sold a property located in Parsippany, New Jersey for \$38,611,000, net of closing costs, and the write-off of unbilled rent receivable, resulting in a gain of \$10,180,000, which is recorded as Gain on sale of real estate, net, for the year ended December 31, 2014. In connection with the sale, the Company paid off the \$13,417,000 mortgage balance on this property and incurred a \$1,581,000 expense for the early termination of the mortgage (included in Prepayment costs on debt).

Impairment of Property

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company determined there were indicators of impairment at its property located in Morrow, Georgia. The tenant did not renew the lease which expired October 31, 2014, efforts to re-let the property were unsuccessful and the non-recourse mortgage on the property matured on November 1, 2014. Management determined that the undiscounted cash flows in the test for recoverability were less than the property’s carrying amount, and that the fair value of the property was less than its carrying amount. Accordingly, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$1,093,000 which is included in the accompanying consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2014. The property was acquired by the mortgagee on January 6, 2015 through a foreclosure proceeding.

There were no property impairments during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Discontinued Operations

Income from discontinued operations from the February 2014 sale of two properties located in Michigan was \$13,000 resulting from rental income of \$141,000 less interest and real estate expenses totaling \$128,000.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 6—VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES, CONTINGENT LIABILITIES AND CONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES

Variable Interest Entities—Ground Leases

The Company determined that with respect to the properties identified in the table below, it has a variable interest through its ground leases and the three owner/operators (which are affiliated with one another) are VIEs because their equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. The Company further determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of any of these VIEs because the Company has shared power over certain activities that most significantly impact the owner/operator's economic performance (*i.e.*, shared rights on the sale of the property) and therefore, does not consolidate these VIEs for financial statement purposes. Accordingly, the Company accounts for these investments as land and the revenues from the ground leases as Rental income, net. Such rental income amounted to \$2,361,000, \$1,280,000 and \$531,000 for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Included in these amounts is rental income for a similarly structured transaction in Sandy Springs, Georgia, amounting to \$308,000, \$419,000 and \$531,000 for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, which the Company sold in June 2016 (see Note 5).

The following chart details the Company's VIEs through its ground leases and the aggregate carrying amount and maximum exposure to loss as of December 31, 2016 (dollars in thousands):

<u>Description of Property(a)</u>	<u>Date Acquired</u>	<u>Land Contract Purchase Price</u>	<u># Units in Apartment Complex</u>	<u>Owner/Operator Mortgage from Third Party(b)</u>	<u>Type of Exposure</u>	<u>Carrying Amount and Maximum Exposure to Loss</u>
The Meadows Apartments, Lakemoor, Illinois	March 24, 2015	\$ 9,300	496	\$ 43,824	Land	\$ 9,592
The Briarbrook Village Apartments, Wheaton, Illinois	August 2, 2016	10,530	342	39,411	Land	10,536
The Vue Apartments, Beachwood, Ohio	August 16, 2016	13,896	348	67,444	Land	13,901
Totals		<u>\$33,726</u>	<u>1,186</u>	<u>\$150,679</u>		<u>\$34,029</u>

- (a) Simultaneously with each purchase, the Company entered into a triple net ground lease with affiliates of Strategic Properties of North America, the owner/operators of these properties.
- (b) Simultaneously with the closing of each acquisition, the owner/operator obtained a mortgage from a third party which, together with the Company's purchase of the land, provided substantially all of the aggregate funds to acquire the complex. The Company provided its land as collateral for the respective owner/operator's mortgage loans; accordingly, each land position is subordinated to the applicable mortgage. Other than as described above, no other financial support has been provided by the Company to the owner/operator.

Pursuant to the terms of the ground lease for the Wheaton, Illinois property, the owner/operator is obligated to make certain unit renovations as and when units become vacant. Cash reserves to cover

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 6—VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES, CONTINGENT LIABILITIES AND CONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES (Continued)

such renovation work, received by the Company in conjunction with the purchase of the property, are disbursed when the unit renovations are completed. The related cash reserve balance for this property was \$643,000 at December 31, 2016 and is classified as Restricted cash on the consolidated balance sheet. The terms of the ground lease for the Sandy Springs, Georgia property contained similar obligations for unit renovations and other reserves. The cash reserve balance for the Sandy Springs property was \$1,074,000 at December 31, 2015 (classified as Restricted cash on the consolidated balance sheet) and such balance was disbursed to the owner/operator in connection with its sale.

Variable Interest Entities—Consolidated Joint Ventures

A joint venture in which the Company has a 95% equity interest acquired a property located in Joppa, Maryland. The Company also had a senior preferred equity interest in this venture until May 2016 when the joint venture obtained a mortgage on its property and a portion of such mortgage proceeds was used to repay the \$6,280,000 preferred interest to the Company, including accrued interest of \$455,000. The Company had historically determined that this joint venture was a VIE. As a result of the adoption of ASU 2015-02, the Company re-assessed its evaluation and determined this venture remains a VIE as the non-controlling interest does not hold substantive kick-out or participating rights.

With respect to the five other consolidated joint ventures in which the Company holds between an 85% to 95% interest, the Company had historically determined that such ventures were not VIEs. As a result of the adoption of ASU 2015-02, the Company re-assessed its evaluation of these investments and determined such ventures are VIEs because the non-controlling interests do not hold substantive kick-out or participating rights.

In each of these six joint ventures, the Company has determined it is the primary beneficiary of the VIE as it has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact each joint venture's performance including management, approval of expenditures, and the obligation to absorb the losses or rights to receive benefits. Accordingly, the Company has continued to consolidate the operations of these joint ventures for financial statement purposes. The joint ventures' creditors do not have recourse to the assets of the Company other than those held by these joint ventures.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 6—VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES, CONTINGENT LIABILITIES AND CONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES (Continued)

The following is a summary of the consolidated VIEs' carrying amounts and classification in the Company's consolidated balance sheets, none of which are restricted (amounts in thousands):

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015(a)</u>
Land	\$17,844	\$18,400
Buildings and improvements, net of accumulated depreciation of \$2,732 and \$2,076, respectively	32,535	34,287
Cash	1,796	1,960
Unbilled rent receivable	775	330
Unamortized intangible lease assets, net	1,595	1,996
Escrow, deposits and other assets and receivables	1,355	752
Mortgages payable, net of deferred financing costs of \$539 and \$438, respectively	33,121	25,926
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	893	793
Unamortized intangible lease liabilities, net	2,200	2,392
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(70)	(126)
Non-controlling interests in consolidated joint ventures	1,794	1,931

(a) Includes a consolidated joint venture located in Deptford, New Jersey in which the Company purchased its partner's 5% interest and obtained 100% ownership in October 2016 (see Note 4).

At December 31, 2016, MCB Real Estate, LLC and its affiliates ("MCB") are the Company's joint venture partner in four consolidated joint ventures in which the Company has aggregate equity investments of approximately \$10,522,000.

On October 31, 2016, the Company purchased MCB's 5% interest in a consolidated joint venture that owns a property in Deptford, New Jersey and obtained 100% ownership. The \$436,000 difference between the purchase price paid of \$446,000 and the non-controlling interest's share of the net assets of the property was accounted for as a reduction to paid-in capital.

A joint venture with MCB, in which the Company has a net equity investment of \$3,079,000, owns a vacant property formerly operated as a Pathmark supermarket in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. At December 31, 2016, the mortgage debt on, and the net book value of, such property is \$4,397,000 and \$7,164,000, respectively. In July 2015, this tenant filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection, rejected the lease, and in late September 2015, vacated the property. As a result, the Company wrote off (i) \$89,000 of straight-line rent and \$124,000 of intangible lease liabilities, the net effect of which was an increase in Rental income of \$35,000, and (ii) \$380,000 of tenant origination costs, which is included in Depreciation and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2015. This tenant accounted for approximately 0.9% and 0.3% of the Company's rental income for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Real estate expenses and mortgage interest for this property were \$299,000 and \$175,000 for the year ended December 31, 2016, \$93,000 and \$182,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015, and \$0 and \$34,000 for the year ended December 31, 2014, respectively. The Company has determined that no impairment charge is required currently with respect to this property.

Distributions to each joint venture partner are determined pursuant to the applicable operating agreement and may not be pro rata to the equity interest each partner has in the applicable venture.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 7—INVESTMENT IN UNCONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURES

In March 2015, the Company purchased its partner's 50% interest in an unconsolidated joint venture for \$6,300,000 (see Note 4).

In June 2015, the Company entered into a joint venture in which it has a 50% interest, with MCB and an affiliate of The Hampshire Companies. The joint venture purchased a retail center located in Manahawkin, New Jersey for approximately \$43,500,000, before closing costs. The purchase was financed with \$26,100,000 of new mortgage debt which bears an annual fixed interest rate of 4% and matures in 2025. At December 31, 2016, the Company's equity investment in the joint venture is \$8,385,000.

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company's five unconsolidated joint ventures each owned and operated one property. The Company's equity investment in such unconsolidated joint ventures at such dates totaled \$10,833,000 and \$11,350,000, respectively. The Company recorded equity in earnings of \$1,005,000, \$412,000 and \$533,000 for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

NOTE 8—OTHER INCOME ITEMS

Other Income

During 2016, the Company received \$484,000 from CDOT, and has been advised by CDOT that it will remit to the Company an additional \$25,000, as a result of a partial condemnation of land and easements obtained by CDOT at the Company's Greenwood Village, Colorado property. Of this aggregate of \$509,000, \$356,000 is attributable to easements and is included in Other income on the consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2016. See Note 5 regarding the \$153,000 balance which is attributable to the related partial condemnation of land.

Lease Termination Fees

In 2015 and 2014, the Company received lease termination fees of \$2,886,000 and \$1,269,000, respectively, from tenants in lease buy-out transactions. In connection with the receipt of these fees, the Company wrote-off an aggregate of \$530,000 and \$150,000 as offsets to rental income, representing the entire balance of the unbilled rent receivables and the intangible lease assets related to these tenants as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Company re-leased substantially all of such spaces simultaneously with the termination of the leases.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 9—DEBT OBLIGATIONS

Mortgages Payable

Consistent with the adoption of ASU 2015-03 (see Note 2), the following table depicts the adjustments to the Company's previously reported consolidated balance sheet amounts at December 31, 2015 (amounts in thousands):

	<u>As Previously Reported</u>	<u>As Adjusted</u>
Unamortized deferred financing costs, net	\$ 3,914	\$ —
Escrow, deposits and other assets and receivables	4,233	4,268
Total assets	650,378	646,499
Mortgages payable	334,428	331,055
Line of credit	18,250	17,744
Total liabilities	387,952	384,073
Total liabilities and equity	650,378	646,499

The following table details the Mortgages payable, net, balances per the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2016 and 2015 (amounts in thousands):

	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>
Mortgages payable, gross	\$399,192	\$334,428
Unamortized deferred financing costs	(4,294)	(3,373)
Mortgages payable, net	<u>\$394,898</u>	<u>\$331,055</u>

At December 31, 2015, \$35,000 is included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet representing unamortized deferred financing costs for which the related mortgage debt had not yet been incurred.

At December 31, 2016, there were 72 outstanding mortgages payable, all of which are secured by first liens on individual real estate investments with an aggregate carrying value of \$613,035,000 before accumulated depreciation of \$74,297,000. After giving effect to the interest rate swap agreements (see Note 10), the mortgage payments bear interest at fixed rates ranging from 3.02% to 7.81%, and mature between 2017 and 2041. The weighted average interest rate on all mortgage debt was 4.27% and 4.72% at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 9—DEBT OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

Scheduled principal repayments during the next five years and thereafter are as follows (amounts in thousands):

<u>Year Ending December 31,</u>	
2017	\$ 19,089
2018	20,522
2019	14,236
2020	14,930
2021	20,490
Thereafter	<u>309,925</u>
Total	<u>\$399,192</u>

Line of Credit

On November 9, 2016, the Company amended and restated its existing credit facility (the “Amendment”) to, among other things, (i) increase the total amount of the facility to up to \$100,000,000 from \$75,000,000 and (ii) extend the maturity to December 31, 2019 from December 31, 2018. People’s United Bank has replaced Israel Discount Bank of New York as one of the lenders on the facility. The facility provides that the Company pay an interest rate equal to the one month LIBOR rate plus an applicable margin ranging from 175 basis points to 300 basis points depending on the ratio of the Company’s total debt to total value, as determined pursuant to the facility. The applicable margin was 175 basis points at December 31, 2016 and 2015. An unused facility fee of .25% per annum applies to the facility. The average interest rate on the facility was approximately 2.23% and 1.95% for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The interest rate was 4.75% per annum for the year ended December 31, 2014, prior to the December 31, 2014 amendment to the facility. In connection with the Amendment, the Company incurred \$664,000 in commitment and legal fees which are being amortized over the remaining term of the facility. The Company wrote-off approximately \$48,000 of unamortized deferred financing costs pertaining to the old facility representing the balance of costs paid to Israel Discount Bank which is no longer a lender on the facility.

The credit facility includes certain restrictions and covenants which may limit, among other things, the incurrence of liens, and which require compliance with financial ratios relating to, among other things, minimum tangible net worth, minimum debt service coverage, minimum amount of fixed charge coverage, maximum amount of debt to value, minimum level of net income, certain investment limitations and minimum value of unencumbered properties and the number of such properties. The Company was in compliance with all covenants at December 31, 2016.

The facility is guaranteed by subsidiaries of the Company that own unencumbered properties and the Company pledged to the lenders the equity interests in the Company’s subsidiaries. The facility is available for the acquisition of commercial real estate, repayment of mortgage debt, property improvements and general working capital purposes; provided, that if used for property improvements and working capital purposes, the amount outstanding for such purposes will not exceed the lesser of \$15,000,000 and 15% of the borrowing base and if used for working capital purposes, will not exceed

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 9—DEBT OBLIGATIONS (Continued)

\$10,000,000. Net proceeds received from the sale, financing or refinancing of properties are generally required to be used to repay amounts outstanding under the credit facility.

The following table details the Line of credit, net, balances per the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2016 and 2015 (amounts in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2016	2015
Line of credit, gross	\$10,000	\$18,250
Unamortized deferred financing costs	(936)	(506)
Line of credit, net	<u>\$ 9,064</u>	<u>\$17,744</u>

At March 2, 2017, there was an outstanding balance of \$5,000,000 (before unamortized deferred financing costs) under the facility.

NOTE 10—FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, escrow, deposits and other assets and receivables (excluding available-for-sale securities and interest rate swaps), dividends payable, and accrued expenses and other liabilities (excluding interest rate swaps), are not measured at fair value on a recurring basis, but are considered to be recorded at amounts that approximate fair value.

At December 31, 2016, the \$413,916,000 estimated fair value of the Company's mortgages payable is greater than their \$399,192,000 carrying value (before unamortized deferred financing costs) by approximately \$14,724,000, assuming a blended market interest rate of 3.74% based on the 9.3 year weighted average remaining term of the mortgages. At December 31, 2015, the \$346,614,000 estimated fair value of the Company's mortgages payable is greater than their \$334,428,000 carrying value (before unamortized deferred financing costs) by approximately \$12,186,000, assuming a blended market interest rate of 4.07% based on the 8.9 year weighted average remaining term of the mortgages.

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, the carrying amount of the Company's line of credit (before unamortized deferred financing costs) of \$10,000,000 and \$18,250,000, respectively, approximates its fair value.

The fair value of the Company's mortgages payable and line of credit are estimated using unobservable inputs such as available market information and discounted cash flow analysis based on borrowing rates the Company believes it could obtain with similar terms and maturities. These fair value measurements fall within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop estimated fair value. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 10—FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The fair value of the Company's available-for-sale securities and derivative financial instruments was determined using the following inputs (amounts in thousands):

	<u>As of December 31,</u>	<u>Carrying and Fair Value</u>	<u>Fair Value Measurements on a Recurring Basis</u>	
			<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>
<u>Financial assets:</u>				
<u>Available-for-sale securities:</u>				
Equity securities	2016	\$ —	\$—	\$ —
	2015	32	32	—
 <u>Derivative financial instruments:</u>				
Interest rate swaps	2016	\$1,257	\$—	\$1,257
	2015	—	—	—
 <u>Financial liabilities:</u>				
<u>Derivative financial instruments:</u>				
Interest rate swaps	2016	\$2,695	\$—	\$2,695
	2015	4,299	—	4,299

The Company does not currently own any financial instruments that are classified as Level 3.

Available-for-sale securities

During 2016, the Company sold its available-for-sale securities for \$33,000 which had a cost of \$5,300. The Company realized a gain on sale of \$27,000, which was reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss on the consolidated balance sheet into Other income on the consolidated statement of income. At December 31, 2015, these equity securities had a fair value of \$32,000 (included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet). Fair value was approximated based on current market quotes from financial sources that track such securities.

During 2014, the Company sold to Gould Investors L.P. ("Gould Investors"), a related party, 37,081 shares of BRT Realty Trust, a related party, for \$266,000 (based on the average of the closing prices for the 30 days preceding the sale). The cost of these shares was \$132,000 and the Company realized a gain on sale of \$134,000, of which \$132,000 was reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss on the consolidated balance sheet into earnings.

Derivative financial instruments

The Company's objective in using interest rate swaps is to add stability to interest expense. The Company does not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes.

Fair values are approximated using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of the derivatives. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves and implied volatilities.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 10—FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

Although the Company has determined the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with it use Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads, to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparty. As of December 31, 2016, the Company has assessed and determined the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions is not significant. As a result, the Company determined its derivative valuation is classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

As of December 31, 2016, the Company had entered into 30 interest rate derivatives, all of which were interest rate swaps, related to 30 outstanding mortgage loans with an aggregate \$141,866,000 notional amount and mature between 2018 and 2028 (weighted average remaining term to maturity of 7.9 years). Such interest rate swaps, all of which were designated as cash flow hedges, converted LIBOR based variable rate mortgages to fixed annual rate mortgages (with interest rates ranging from 3.02% to 5.75% and a weighted average interest rate of 4.21% at December 31, 2016). The fair value of the Company's derivatives designated as hedging instruments is reflected as other assets and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

Three of the Company's unconsolidated joint ventures, in which wholly-owned subsidiaries of the Company are 50% partners, had two interest rate derivatives outstanding at December 31, 2016 with an aggregate \$10,747,000 notional amount. These interest rate swaps, which were designated as cash flow hedges, have interest rates of 3.49% and 5.81% and mature in 2022 and 2018, respectively.

The following table presents the effect of the Company's derivative financial instruments on the consolidated statements of income for the periods presented (amounts in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
<u>One Liberty Properties Inc. and Consolidated Subsidiaries</u>			
Amount of gain (loss) recognized on derivatives in Other comprehensive loss	\$ 255	\$(3,722)	\$(4,453)
Amount of loss reclassification from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into Interest expense	(2,624)	(2,554)	(1,810)
<u>Unconsolidated Joint Ventures (Company's share)</u>			
Amount of loss recognized on derivatives in Other comprehensive loss .	\$ (31)	\$ (109)	\$ (32)
Amount of loss reclassification from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures	(95)	(108)	(55)

No gain or loss was recognized with respect to hedge ineffectiveness or to amounts excluded from effectiveness testing on the Company's cash flow hedges for the three years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. During the twelve months ending December 31, 2017, the Company estimates an additional \$1,744,000 will be reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss as an increase to Interest expense and \$66,000 will be reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss as a decrease to Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 10—FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

The derivative agreements in effect at December 31, 2016 provide that if the wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company which is a party to the agreement defaults or is capable of being declared in default on any of its indebtedness, then a default can be declared on such subsidiary's derivative obligation. In addition, the Company is a party to one of the derivative agreements and if there is a default by the subsidiary on the loan subject to the derivative agreement to which the Company is a party and if there are swap breakage losses on account of the derivative being terminated early, then the Company could be held liable for such swap breakage losses, if any. During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company terminated three interest rate swaps in connection with the early payoff of the related mortgages, and during the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company terminated one interest rate swap in connection with the sale of its Cherry Hill, New Jersey property. The Company accelerated the reclassification of amounts in Accumulated other comprehensive loss to earnings as a result of these hedged forecasted transactions being terminated. The accelerated amounts were losses of \$178,000 and \$472,000 during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, all of which are included in Prepayment costs on debt on the consolidated statements of income. There were no such accelerated amounts during the year ended December 31, 2014.

As of December 31, 2016, the fair value of the derivatives in a liability position, including accrued interest of \$113,000, but excluding any adjustments for nonperformance risk, was approximately \$2,951,000. In the event the Company breaches any of the contractual provisions of the derivative contracts, it would be required to settle its obligations thereunder at their termination liability value of \$2,951,000. This termination liability value, net of \$143,000 adjustments for nonperformance risk, or \$2,808,000, is included in Accrued expenses and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2016.

NOTE 11—RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Compensation and Services Agreement

In 2007, the Company entered into a compensation and services agreement with Majestic Property Management Corp. ("Majestic"), a company wholly-owned by the Company's Vice Chairman and in which certain of the Company's executive officers are officers and receive compensation. Pursuant to the agreement, the Company pays fees to Majestic and Majestic provides the Company with the services of all affiliated executive, administrative, legal, accounting, clerical and property management personnel, as well as property acquisition, sale and lease consulting and brokerage services, consulting services in respect to mortgage financings and construction supervisory services. The fee the Company pays Majestic is negotiated each year by the Company and Majestic in consultation with the Compensation and Audit Committees, and is approved by such committees and the independent directors.

In consideration for the services described above, the Company paid Majestic \$2,504,000 in 2016, \$2,339,000 in 2015 and \$2,669,000 in 2014. Included in these fees are \$1,057,000 in 2016, \$892,500 in 2015, and \$850,000 in 2014, of property management costs. Effective January 1, 2016, the property management fee portion of the compensation and services agreement is paid based on 1.5% and 2.0% of the rental payments (including tenant reimbursements) actually received by the Company from net lease tenants and operating lease tenants, respectively. In 2017, the Company agreed to pay Majestic \$1,519,000 plus the property management fees. The Company does not pay Majestic property

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 11—RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

management fees with respect to properties managed by third parties. The compensation and services agreement also provides for an additional payment to Majestic of \$216,000 in 2017, \$196,000 in each of 2016 and 2015 and \$186,000 in 2014 for the Company's share of all direct office expenses, including rent, telephone, postage, computer services, internet usage and supplies. The Company does not pay any fees or expenses to Majestic for such services except for the fees described in this paragraph.

Executive officers and others providing services under the compensation and services agreement were awarded shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units under the Company's stock incentive plans (described in Note 12). The costs of the plans charged to the Company's operations applicable to the executive officers and others providing services under the compensation and services agreement amounted to \$1,480,000, \$1,245,000 and \$1,045,000 in 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The fees paid under the compensation and services agreement (except for the property management fees which are included in Real estate expenses) and the costs of the stock incentive plans are included in General and administrative expense on the consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Joint Venture Partners and Affiliates

During the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Company paid an aggregate of \$185,000, \$198,000 and \$262,000, respectively, to its joint venture partners or their affiliates (none of whom are officers, directors, or employees of the Company) for property management and acquisition fees, of which \$117,000 (of the amounts paid in 2014) is included in Land and building on the consolidated balance sheets and the balance is included in Real estate expenses and Real estate acquisition costs on the consolidated statements of income.

Additionally, during the year ended December 31, 2016, unconsolidated joint ventures of the Company paid fees of \$176,000 to the other partner of the ventures, which reduced Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures on the consolidated statement of income by \$88,000. During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company received a \$131,000 financing fee for obtaining the mortgage debt for the unconsolidated joint venture that acquired the Manahawkin, New Jersey property (see Note 7). Fifty percent of this income is included in Other income on the consolidated statement of income and the balance is recorded as a reduction to Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures on the consolidated balance sheet. The joint venture also paid fees aggregating \$409,000 to the other partners of the venture, of which \$205,000 reduced Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures on the consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 2015.

See Note 6 for information regarding the Company's purchase in October 2016, of MCB's 5% interest in a consolidated joint venture that owned a property in Deptford, New Jersey.

Other

The Company paid fees of \$262,500 and \$105,000 in each of 2016 and 2015, and \$250,000 and \$100,000 in 2014, to the Company's chairman and vice-chairman, respectively. These fees are included in General and administrative expense on the consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. The Company agreed to pay \$276,000 and \$110,000 in 2017 to the Company's chairman and vice-chairman, respectively.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 11—RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, Gould Investors owned 1,785,976 shares of the outstanding common stock of the Company, or approximately 9.8% and 10.6%, respectively. During 2015 and 2014, Gould Investors purchased 81,211 and 106,761 shares, respectively, of the Company's stock through the Company's dividend reinvestment plan. Gould Investors did not purchase any shares of the Company's stock through the Company's dividend reinvestment plan during 2016.

The Company obtains its property insurance in conjunction with Gould Investors and reimburses Gould Investors annually for the Company's insurance cost related to its properties. Amounts reimbursed to Gould Investors were \$699,000, \$520,000 and \$400,000 for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Included in Real estate expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of income is insurance expense of \$645,000, \$339,000 and \$250,000 for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The balance of the amounts reimbursed to Gould Investors represents prepaid insurance and is included in Other assets on the consolidated balance sheets.

In addition to its share of rent included in the payment to Majestic of \$196,000 in 2015 and \$186,000 in 2014 (discussed above), the Company leased additional space in the same building and paid rent to a subsidiary of Gould Investors. Annual rent of \$7,000 and \$42,000 is included in General and administrative expense on the consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. In February 2015, the Gould Investors subsidiary sold this building to an unrelated party and all subsequent lease payments have been made to the new landlord.

NOTE 12—STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Stock Based Compensation

The Company's 2016 Incentive Plan ("Plan"), approved by the Company's stockholders in June 2016, permits the Company to grant, among other things, stock options, restricted stock units, performance share awards and dividend equivalent rights and any one or more of the foregoing to its employees, officers, directors and consultants. A maximum of 750,000 shares of the Company's common stock is authorized for issuance pursuant to this Plan, none of which had been issued as of December 31, 2016. On January 9, 2017, 140,100 restricted shares were issued pursuant to this Plan having an aggregate value of approximately \$3,467,000 and are scheduled to vest in January 2022.

Under the Company's 2012 and 2009 equity incentive plans, an aggregate of 791,750 shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units are outstanding as of December 31, 2016, none of which have yet vested. No additional awards may be granted under these plans.

For accounting purposes, the restricted stock is not included in the shares shown as outstanding on the balance sheet until they vest; however, dividends are paid on the unvested shares. The restricted stock grants are charged to General and administrative expense over the respective vesting periods based on the market value of the common stock on the grant date. All unvested restricted stock awards provide for vesting upon the fifth anniversary of the date of grant, and under certain circumstances may vest earlier.

In 2010, the Board of Directors approved a Pay-for-Performance Program under the Company's 2009 Incentive Plan and awarded 200,000 performance share awards in the form of restricted stock

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 12—STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

units (the "Units"), half of which were awarded to full time employees of the Company. The other half were awarded to part time officers of the Company who are compensated through the compensation and services agreement, some of whom are also officers of Majestic. The holders of the Units are not entitled to dividends or to vote the underlying shares until the Units vest and shares are issued.

Accordingly, for financial statement purposes, the shares underlying the Units are not included in the shares shown as outstanding on the consolidated balance sheets. If the defined performance criteria are satisfied in full at June 30, 2017, one share of the Company's common stock will vest and be issued for each Unit outstanding and a pro-rata portion of the Units will vest and be issued if the performance criteria fall between defined ranges. In the event that the performance criteria are not satisfied in whole or in part at June 30, 2017, the unvested Units will be forfeited and no shares of the Company's common stock will be issued for those Units. For the awards which vest based on total stockholder return, a third party appraiser prepared a Monte Carlo simulation pricing model to determine the fair value. For the awards which vest based on return on capital, the fair value is based on the market value on the date of grant. Expense is not recognized on the Units which the Company does not expect to vest as a result of service conditions or the Company's performance expectations. The average grant price for each of the 200,000 Units granted is \$11.74. The total amount recorded as deferred compensation is \$1,005,000 and is being charged to General and administrative expense over the approximate seven year vesting period. The deferred compensation expense to be recognized is net of certain forfeiture and performance assumptions (which are re-evaluated quarterly). No Units were forfeited or vested during 2016, 2015 and 2014.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

December 31, 2016

NOTE 12—STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

The following is a summary of the activity of the equity incentive plans excluding, except as otherwise noted, the 200,000 Units:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Restricted stock grants	139,225	129,975	118,850
Per share grant price	\$ 21.74	\$ 24.60	\$ 20.54
Deferred compensation to be recognized over vesting period . . .	\$3,027,000	\$3,197,000	\$2,441,000
Number of non-vested shares:			
Non-vested beginning of year	538,755	480,995	470,015
Grants	139,225	129,975	118,850
Vested during year	(85,730)	(72,215)	(101,300)
Forfeitures	(500)	—	(6,570)
Non-vested end of year	<u>591,750</u>	<u>538,755</u>	<u>480,995</u>
The following information includes the 200,000 Units:			
Average per share value of non-vested shares (based on grant price)	<u>\$ 17.95</u>	<u>\$ 17.12</u>	<u>\$ 14.55</u>
Value of stock vested during the year (based on grant price) . . .	<u>\$1,451,500</u>	<u>\$ 612,000</u>	<u>\$ 621,000</u>
Average per share value of shares forfeited (based on grant price)	<u>\$ 21.05</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 15.49</u>
The total charge to operations for all incentive plans is as follows:			
Outstanding restricted stock grants	\$2,692,000	\$2,204,000	\$1,701,000
Outstanding restricted stock units	291,000	130,000	132,000
Total charge to operations	<u>\$2,983,000</u>	<u>\$2,334,000</u>	<u>\$1,833,000</u>

As of December 31, 2016, there were approximately \$5,960,000 of total compensation costs related to non-vested awards that have not yet been recognized, including \$74,000 related to the Units (net of forfeiture and performance assumptions). These compensation costs will be charged to General and administrative expense over the remaining respective vesting periods. The weighted average vesting period is approximately 1.7 years.

Common Stock Dividend Distributions

In 2016, 2015 and 2014, the Board of Directors declared an aggregate \$1.66, \$1.58 and \$1.50 per share in cash distributions, respectively.

Distribution Reinvestment Plan

The Company's Dividend Reinvestment Plan (the "DRP") provides stockholders with the opportunity to reinvest all, or a portion of, their cash dividends paid on the Company's common stock in additional shares of its common stock, at a discount of up to 5% from the market price. The discount is determined in the Company's sole discretion. The Company is currently offering up to a 5% discount from market. The Company issued 142,000, 197,000 and 227,000 common shares under the DRP during 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 12—STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (Continued)

Shares Issued Through Equity Offering Program

On March 20, 2014, the Company entered into an amended and restated equity offering sales agreement to sell shares of the Company's common stock from time to time with an aggregate sales price of up to approximately \$38,360,000, through an "at the market" equity offering program. During 2016, the Company sold 1,079,862 shares for proceeds of \$25,947,000, net of commissions of \$262,000, and incurred offering costs of \$160,000 for professional fees. During 2015, the Company sold 295,190 shares for proceeds of \$6,581,000, net of commissions of \$66,000, and incurred offering costs of \$124,000 for professional fees. Subsequent to December 31, 2016 and through January 11, 2017, the Company sold 27,800 shares for proceeds of \$692,000, net of commissions of \$7,000.

NOTE 13—COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company maintains a non-contributory defined contribution pension plan covering eligible employees. Contributions by the Company are made through a money purchase plan, based upon a percent of the qualified employees' total salary (subject to the maximum amount allowed by law). Pension expense approximated \$273,000, \$266,000 and \$191,000 for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and is included in General and administrative expenses in the consolidated statements of income.

The Company pays, with respect to one of its real estate properties, annual fixed leasehold rent of \$371,094 through July 2019 and \$463,867 through March 3, 2020. The Company has the right to extend the lease for up to five 5-year renewal options and one seven month renewal option.

As discussed in Note 6, the Company provided its land in Lakemoor and Wheaton, Illinois, and Beachwood, Ohio as collateral for the respective owner/operator's mortgage loans and accordingly, each land position is subordinated to the applicable mortgage.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is party to various legal actions which management believes are routine in nature and incidental to the operation of the Company's business. Management believes that the outcome of the proceedings will not have a material adverse effect upon the Company's consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

NOTE 14—INCOME TAXES

The Company elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, commencing with its taxable year ended December 31, 1983. To qualify as a REIT, the Company must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that it currently distribute at least 90% of its adjusted taxable income to its stockholders. It is management's current intention to adhere to these requirements and maintain the Company's REIT status. As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to corporate level federal, state and local income tax on taxable income it distributes currently to its stockholders. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, it will be subject to federal, state and local income taxes at regular corporate rates (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for four subsequent taxable years. Even though the Company qualifies for taxation as a REIT, the Company is subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and property, and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed taxable income.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 14—INCOME TAXES (Continued)

Reconciliation between Financial Statement Net Income and Federal Taxable Income (Unaudited):

The following table reconciles financial statement net income to federal taxable income for the years indicated (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2016</u> <u>Estimate</u>	<u>2015</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>2014</u> <u>Actual</u>
Net income	\$24,422	\$20,517	\$22,116
Straight-line rent adjustments	(2,261)	(996)	(1,480)
Book gain on sale—(in excess of) less than tax gain . . .	(2,281)	(663)	10,522
Rent received in advance, net	584	(42)	(180)
Adjustments for above/below market leases	(643)	(564)	(253)
Non-deductible portion of restricted stock expense . . .	1,193	614	(149)
Federal excise tax, non-deductible	6	174	302
Book depreciation in excess of tax depreciation	4,248	3,799	2,970
Property acquisition costs—capitalized for tax purposes	605	793	417
Impairment loss	—	—	1,093
Other adjustments	(312)	(193)	26
Federal taxable income	<u>\$25,561</u>	<u>\$23,439</u>	<u>\$35,384</u>

Reconciliation between Cash Dividends Paid and Dividends Paid Deduction (Unaudited):

The following table reconciles cash dividends paid with the dividends paid deduction for the years indicated (amounts in thousands):

	<u>2016</u> <u>Estimate</u>	<u>2015</u> <u>Actual</u>	<u>2014</u> <u>Actual</u>
Dividends paid	\$ 29,135	\$ 26,179	\$24,117
Dividend reinvestment plan(a)	181	228	197
	29,316	26,407	24,314
Less: Spillover dividends designated to previous year .	(15,209)	(18,177)	(7,107)
Plus: Dividends designated from following year	11,454	15,209	18,177
Dividends paid deduction	<u>\$ 25,561</u>	<u>\$ 23,439</u>	<u>\$35,384</u>

(a) Reflects the up to 5% discount on common stock purchased through the dividend reinvestment plan.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 15—SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events have been evaluated and except as disclosed (i) below, (ii) in Note 8 (Other Income Items), (iii) in Note 9 (Debt Obligations), (iv) in Note 11 (Related Party Transactions), and (v) in Note 12 (Stockholders' Equity) there were no other events relative to the consolidated financial statements that require additional disclosure.

On March 10, 2017, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.43 per share on the Company's common stock, totaling \$7,912,000. The quarterly dividend is payable on April 7, 2017 to stockholders of record on March 24, 2017.

NOTE 16—QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited):

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

<u>2016</u>	<u>Quarter Ended</u>				<u>Total For Year</u>
	<u>March 31</u>	<u>June 30</u>	<u>Sept. 30</u>	<u>Dec. 31</u>	
Total revenues	<u>\$16,344</u>	<u>\$17,233</u>	<u>\$18,021</u>	<u>\$18,990</u>	<u>\$70,588</u>
Net income	<u>\$ 3,285</u>	<u>\$12,459(a)</u>	<u>\$ 4,323</u>	<u>\$ 4,414</u>	<u>\$24,481</u>
Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc.	<u>\$ 3,287</u>	<u>\$12,441</u>	<u>\$ 4,299</u>	<u>\$ 4,395</u>	<u>\$24,422</u>
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:					
Basic	<u>16,388</u>	<u>16,579</u>	<u>16,845</u>	<u>17,255</u>	<u>16,768</u>
Diluted	<u>16,495</u>	<u>16,686</u>	<u>16,962</u>	<u>17,369</u>	<u>16,882</u>
Net income per common share attributable to common stockholders:					
Basic	<u>\$.19</u>	<u>\$.72</u>	<u>\$.24</u>	<u>\$.24</u>	<u>\$ 1.40(b)</u>
Diluted	<u>\$.18</u>	<u>\$.72</u>	<u>\$.24</u>	<u>\$.24</u>	<u>\$ 1.39(b)</u>

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
December 31, 2016

NOTE 16—QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited): (Continued)

<u>2015</u>	<u>Quarter Ended</u>				<u>Total For Year</u>
	<u>March 31</u>	<u>June 30</u>	<u>Sept. 30</u>	<u>Dec. 31</u>	
Total revenues	<u>\$15,326(c)</u>	<u>\$15,782</u>	<u>\$16,108</u>	<u>\$18,495(d)</u>	<u>\$65,711</u>
Net income	<u>\$ 9,207(e)</u>	<u>\$ 3,714</u>	<u>\$ 3,791</u>	<u>\$ 5,195</u>	<u>\$21,907</u>
Net income attributable to One Liberty Properties, Inc.	<u>\$ 7,856</u>	<u>\$ 3,682</u>	<u>\$ 3,788</u>	<u>\$ 5,191</u>	<u>\$20,517</u>
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:					
Basic	<u>15,776</u>	<u>15,883</u>	<u>16,014</u>	<u>16,204</u>	<u>15,971</u>
Diluted	<u>15,876</u>	<u>15,983</u>	<u>16,114</u>	<u>16,312</u>	<u>16,079</u>
Net income per common share attributable to common stockholders:					
Basic	<u>\$.48</u>	<u>\$.22</u>	<u>\$.22</u>	<u>\$.31</u>	<u>\$ 1.23(b)</u>
Diluted	<u>\$.48</u>	<u>\$.22</u>	<u>\$.22</u>	<u>\$.31</u>	<u>\$ 1.22(b)</u>

- (a) Includes an \$8,918 net gain on sale of real estate.
- (b) Calculated on weighted average shares outstanding for the year.
- (c) Includes lease termination fee income of \$650 from an industrial tenant.
- (d) Includes lease termination fee income of \$2,236 from two retail tenants.
- (e) Includes a \$5,392 net gain on sale of real estate, a \$472 prepayment cost on debt and a \$249 write-off of deferred financing costs. The non-controlling interest's share of income from the transaction was \$1,320.

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Schedule III—Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation
December 31, 2016
(Amounts in Thousands)

Type	Location	Encumbrances	Initial Cost to Company		Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition	Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2016		Accumulated Depreciation (1)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired
			Land	Building and Improvements		Land	Building & Improvements			
Flex	Ronkonkoma, NY	\$ —	\$ 1,042	\$ 4,171	\$ 1,937	\$ 1,042	\$ 6,108	\$ 2,009	1986	2000
Flex	Hauppauge, NY	12,196	1,951	10,954	1,919	1,951	12,873	4,724	1982	2000
Flex	Fort Mill, SC	8,535	1,840	12,687	23	1,840	12,710	1,215	1992	2013
Health & Fitness	Tucker, GA	4,716	807	3,027	3,126	807	6,153	2,118	1988	2002
Health & Fitness	Hamilton, OH	4,941	1,483	5,953	—	1,483	5,953	924	2008	2011
Health & Fitness	Secaucus, NJ	9,119	5,449	9,873	—	5,449	9,873	1,005	1986	2012
Industrial	West Palm Beach, FL	—	181	724	—	181	724	330	1973	1998
Industrial	New Hyde Park, NY	2,630	182	728	281	182	1,009	348	1960	1999
Industrial	Melville, NY	2,830	774	3,029	975	774	4,004	1,215	1982	2003
Industrial	Saco, ME	—	1,027	3,623	—	1,027	3,623	970	2001	2006
Industrial	Baltimore, MD(2)	21,000	6,474	25,282	—	6,474	25,282	6,347	1960	2006
Industrial	Durham, NC	2,867	1,043	2,404	—	1,043	2,404	394	1991	2011
Industrial	Pinellas Park, FL	2,446	1,231	1,669	—	1,231	1,669	231	1995	2012
Industrial	Miamisburg, OH	766	165	1,348	7	165	1,355	158	1987	2012
Industrial	Indianapolis, IN	6,094	1,224	6,935	—	1,224	6,935	764	1997	2013
Industrial	Fort Mill, SC	25,580	1,804	33,650	—	1,804	33,650	3,929	1967	2013
Industrial	New Hope, MN	4,309	881	6,064	7	881	6,071	326	1967	2014
Industrial	Louisville, KY	2,483	578	3,727	4	578	3,731	176	1974	2015
Industrial	Louisville, KY	—	51	230	—	51	230	10	1974	2015
Industrial	McCalla, AL	10,597	1,588	14,682	—	1,588	14,682	546	2003	2015
Industrial	Delpont, MO	12,014	3,728	13,006	50	3,728	13,056	453	1969	2015
Industrial	Greenville, SC	5,213	693	6,893	—	693	6,893	142	1997	2016
Industrial	Greenville, SC	5,793	528	8,074	—	528	8,074	166	2000	2016
Industrial	El Paso, TX	14,874	3,691	17,904	324	3,691	18,228	257	1997	2016
Industrial	Lebanon, TN	21,288	2,094	30,039	14	2,094	30,053	226	1996	2016
Industrial	Columbus, OH	—	435	—	—	435	1,703	692	1979	1995
Industrial	Joppa, MD	9,856	3,815	8,142	1,186	3,815	9,328	552	1994	2014
Office	Brooklyn, NY	4,060	1,381	5,447	2,870	1,381	8,317	3,427	1973	1998
Other	Round Rock, TX	14,247	1,678	16,670	—	1,678	16,670	1,420	2012	2013

Type	Location	Initial Cost to Company		Encumbrances	Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition		Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2016			Accumulated Depreciation (1)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired
		Land	Building and Improvements		Improvements	Land	Building & Improvements	Total				
Other	Lakemoor, IL	9,592	—	—	—	—	9,592	—	—	—	N/A	2015
Other	Wheaton, IL	10,536	—	—	—	—	10,536	—	—	—	N/A	2016
Other	Beachwood, OH	13,901	—	—	—	—	13,901	—	—	—	N/A	2016
Retail	Rosenberg, TX	216	863	—	66	419	216	929	474	1,145	1994	1995
Retail	Greenwood Village, CO	743	3,248	—	419	743	743	3,667	1,782	4,410	1995	1996
Retail	Ft. Myers, FL	1,013	4,054	—	30	1,013	1,013	4,054	2,040	5,067	1995	1996
Retail	Houston, TX	396	1,583	—	30	396	396	1,613	755	2,009	1997	1998
Retail	Selden, NY	572	2,287	2,987	150	572	572	2,437	1,071	3,009	1997	1999
Retail	Champaign, IL	791	3,165	1,655	308	791	791	3,473	1,462	4,264	1985	1999
Retail	El Paso, TX	2,821	11,123	11,365	2,544	2,821	2,821	13,667	5,052	16,488	1974	2000
Retail	Somerville, MA	510	1,993	1,821	24	510	510	2,017	698	2,527	1993	2003
Retail	Newark, DE	935	3,643	1,793	43	935	935	3,686	1,222	4,621	1996	2003
Retail	Knoxville, TN	2,290	8,855	9,347	—	2,290	2,290	8,855	2,832	940	2003	2004
Retail	Onalaska, WI	753	3,099	3,632	—	753	753	3,099	3,852	520	1994	2008
Retail	Hyannis, MA	802	2,324	380	—	802	802	2,324	520	3,126	1998	2008
Retail	Marston Mills, MA	461	2,313	143	—	461	461	2,313	513	2,774	1998	2008
Retail	Everett, MA	1,935	—	1,209	—	1,935	1,935	—	—	1,935	N/A	2008
Retail	Kennesaw, GA	1,501	4,349	5,514	1,138	1,501	1,501	5,487	939	6,988	1995	2008
Retail	Royersford, PA	19,538	3,150	19,750	409	19,538	19,538	3,559	608	23,097	2001	2010
Retail	Monroeville, PA	450	863	—	—	450	450	863	143	1,313	1994	2010
Retail	Kansas City, MO	2,958	5,691	—	—	2,958	2,958	5,691	931	8,649	2004	2010
Retail	Houston, TX	1,962	1,540	—	—	1,962	1,962	1,540	271	3,502	2006	2010
Retail	Houston, TX	2,002	1,800	—	—	2,002	2,002	1,800	311	3,802	2009	2010
Retail	Bolingbrook, IL	834	1,887	—	101	834	834	1,988	308	2,822	2001	2011
Retail	Crystal Lake, IL	615	1,899	1,773	—	615	615	1,899	333	2,514	1997	2011
Retail	Niles, IL	843	3,485	3,090	—	843	843	3,485	534	4,328	1995	2011
Retail	Lawrence, KS	134	938	—	—	134	134	938	114	1,072	1915	2012
Retail	Greensboro, NC	1,046	1,552	1,399	29	1,046	1,046	1,581	136	2,627	2002	2014
Retail	Highlands Ranch, CO	2,361	2,924	—	—	2,361	2,361	2,924	223	5,285	1995	2014
Retail	Woodbury, MN	1,190	4,003	3,036	—	1,190	1,190	4,003	299	5,193	2006	2014
Retail	Lincoln, NE	3,768	11,832	—	22	3,768	3,768	11,854	564	15,622	2001	2015
Retail	Cuyahoga Falls, OH	71	1,371	1,145	—	71	71	1,371	19	1,442	2004	2016
Retail	Hilliard, OH	300	1,077	1,014	—	300	300	1,077	16	1,377	2007	2016
Retail	Port Clinton, OH	52	1,187	982	—	52	52	1,187	17	1,239	2005	2016

Type	Location	Initial Cost to Company		Encumbrances	Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition		Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2016			Accumulated Depreciation (1)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired
		Land	Building and Improvements		Improvements	Land	Building & Improvements	Total				
									Land			
Retail	South Euclid, OH	230	1,566	1,112	—	230	1,566	1,796	22	1975	2016	
Retail	St Louis Park, MN	3,388	13,088	—	107	3,388	13,195	16,583	130	1962	2016	
Retail	Deptford, NJ	572	1,779	2,788	705	572	2,484	3,056	454	1981	2012	
Retail	Seattle, WA	201	189	—	—	201	189	390	138	1986	1987	
Retail	Cape Girardeau, MO	545	1,547	1,247	—	545	1,547	2,092	185	1994	2012	
Retail	Clemmons, NC	2,220	3,293	2,220	—	2,564	3,293	5,857	444	1993	2013	
Retail	Houston, TX	3,122	3,767	4,568	179	3,122	3,946	7,068	588	2001	2012	
Retail	Littleton, CO	6,005	11,272	11,373	160	6,005	11,432	17,437	615	1985	2015	
Retail	Philadelphia, PA	1,793	5,640	4,397	80	1,793	5,720	7,513	349	1992	2014	
Retail—Restaurant	Hauppauge, NY	725	2,963	1,796	—	725	2,963	3,688	824	1992	2005	
Retail—Restaurant	Palmyra, PA	650	650	756	—	650	650	1,300	105	1981	2010	
Retail—Restaurant	Reading, PA	655	625	747	—	655	625	1,280	101	1981	2010	
Retail—Restaurant	Reading, PA	618	643	736	—	618	643	1,261	105	1983	2010	
Retail—Restaurant	Hanover, PA	827	686	847	—	736	686	1,422	109	1992	2010	
Retail—Restaurant	Gettysburg, PA	754	704	877	—	754	704	1,458	112	1991	2010	
Retail—Restaurant	Trexlertown, PA	800	439	721	—	800	439	1,239	70	1994	2010	
Retail—Restaurant	Carrollton, GA	796	1,458	1,614	—	796	1,458	2,254	207	1996	2012	
Retail—Restaurant	Cartersville, GA	786	1,346	1,526	—	786	1,346	2,132	203	1995	2012	
Retail—Restaurant	Kennesaw, GA	702	916	1,251	—	702	916	1,618	137	1989	2012	
Retail—Restaurant	Lawrenceville, GA	866	899	1,201	—	866	899	1,765	177	1988	2012	
Retail—Restaurant	Concord, NC	999	1,076	1,570	—	999	1,076	2,075	110	2000	2013	
Retail—Restaurant	Myrtle Beach, SC	1,102	1,161	1,570	—	1,102	1,161	2,263	123	1978	2013	
Retail—Restaurant	Ann Arbor, MI	1,098	1,460	1,398	—	1,098	1,460	2,558	160	1998	2013	
Retail—Restaurant	Greensboro, NC	1,770	1,237	3,335	—	1,770	1,237	3,007	117	1983	2013	
Retail—Restaurant	Richmond, VA	1,680	1,341	—	—	1,680	1,341	3,021	122	1983	2013	
Retail—Restaurant	Indianapolis, IN	853	1,465	952	—	853	1,465	2,318	126	1982	2014	
Retail—Supermarket	West Hartford, CT	9,296	4,813	17,690	—	9,296	5,074	14,370	908	2005	2010	
Retail—Supermarket	West Hartford, CT	2,881	94	—	—	2,881	420	3,301	119	N/A	2010	
Retail—Furniture	Columbus, OH	1,445	5,431	—	—	1,445	5,844	7,289	2,767	1996	1997	
Retail—Furniture	Duluth, GA(4)	778	3,436	1,628	—	778	3,436	4,214	920	1987	2006	
Retail—Furniture	Fayetteville, GA(4)	2,043	4,308	2,043	—	976	4,308	5,284	1,153	1987	2006	
Retail—Furniture	Wichita, KS(4)	2,488	1,189	2,488	—	1,189	5,248	6,437	1,405	1996	2006	
Retail—Furniture	Lexington, KY(4)	800	3,532	1,674	—	800	3,532	4,332	946	1999	2006	
Retail—Furniture	Bluffton, SC(4)	589	2,600	1,233	—	589	2,600	3,189	696	1994	2006	

Type	Location	Encumbrances	Initial Cost to Company		Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition		Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2016			Accumulated Depreciation(1)	Date of Construction	Date Acquired
			Land	Building and Improvements	Improvements	Land	Building & Improvements	Total				
Retail—Furniture	Amarillo, TX(4)	1,800	860	3,810	—	860	3,810	4,670	1,020	1996	2006	
Retail—Furniture	Austin, TX(4)	3,321	1,587	7,010	—	1,587	7,010	8,597	1,877	2001	2006	
Retail—Furniture	Tyler, TX(4)	2,158	1,031	4,554	—	1,031	4,554	5,585	1,219	2001	2006	
Retail—Furniture	Newport News, VA(4)	1,572	751	3,316	—	751	3,316	4,067	888	1995	2006	
Retail—Furniture	Richmond, VA(4)	1,814	867	3,829	—	867	3,829	4,696	1,025	1979	2006	
Retail—Furniture	Virginia Beach, VA(4)	1,787	854	3,770	—	854	3,770	4,624	1,009	1995	2006	
Retail—Furniture	Gurnee, IL	—	834	3,635	—	834	3,635	4,469	935	1994	2006	
Retail—Furniture	Naples, FL	2,126	3,070	2,846	189	3,070	3,035	6,105	617	1992	2008	
Retail—Office Supply	Batavia, NY(3)	—	515	2,061	—	515	2,061	2,576	921	1998	1999	
Retail—Office Supply	Lake Charles, LA(3)	5,293	1,167	4,669	599	1,167	5,268	6,435	1,841	1998	2002	
Retail—Office Supply	Athens, GA(3)	2,844	1,130	4,340	—	1,130	4,340	5,470	1,370	2003	2004	
Retail—Office Supply	Chicago, IL(3)	3,828	3,877	2,256	—	3,877	2,256	6,133	468	1994	2008	
Retail—Office Supply	Cary, NC(3)	3,230	1,129	3,736	—	1,129	3,736	4,865	774	1995	2008	
Retail—Office Supply	Eugene, OR(3)	2,875	1,952	2,096	—	1,952	2,096	4,048	434	1994	2008	
Retail—Office Supply	El Paso, TX(3)	2,512	1,035	2,700	—	1,035	2,700	3,735	560	1993	2008	
Theater	Greensboro, NC	—	—	8,328	—	—	8,328	8,328	6,636	1999	2004	
Theater	Indianapolis, IN	4,316	3,099	5,225	19	3,099	5,244	8,343	310	1997	2014	
		\$399,192	\$211,432	\$515,589	\$21,044	\$211,432	\$536,633	\$748,065	\$96,852			

Note 1—Depreciation is provided over the estimated useful lives of the buildings and improvements, which range from 3 to 40 years.

Note 2—Upon purchase of the property in December 2006, a \$416,000 rental income reserve was posted by the seller for the Company's benefit, since the property was not producing sufficient rent at the time of acquisition. The Company recorded the receipt of this rental reserve as a reduction to land and building.

Note 3—These seven properties are retail office supply stores net leased to the same tenant, pursuant to separate leases. Five of these leases contain cross default provisions. They are located in seven states (Illinois, Louisiana, North Carolina, Texas, Georgia, Oregon, and New York).

Note 4—These 11 properties are retail furniture stores covered by one master lease and one loan that is secured by cross-collateralized mortgages. They are located in six states (Georgia, Kansas, Kentucky, South Carolina, Texas and Virginia).

ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Notes to Schedule III
Consolidated Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

(a) Reconciliation of “Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation”
(Amounts in Thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2016	2015	2014
Investment in real estate(b):			
Balance, beginning of year	\$662,182	\$592,668	\$574,424
Addition: Land, buildings and improvements	121,564	83,643	57,584
Deduction: Properties sold/conveyed	(35,681)	(14,129)	(38,247)
Deduction: Impairment loss	—	—	(1,093)
Balance, end of year	\$748,065	\$662,182	\$592,668
	(c)		
Accumulated depreciation(b):			
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 87,801	\$ 77,643	\$ 73,060
Addition: Depreciation	14,247	12,680	12,064
Deduction: Accumulated depreciation related to properties sold/ conveyed	(5,196)	(2,522)	(7,481)
Balance, end of year	\$ 96,852	\$ 87,801	\$ 77,643

(b) Includes properties held-for-sale in each of 2015 and 2014.

(c) The aggregate cost of the properties is approximately \$10,850 higher for federal income tax purposes at December 31, 2016.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

MATTHEW J. GOULD

Chairman of the Board of Directors; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Georgetown Partners, Inc., the managing General Partner of Gould Investors L.P.; Director and Senior Vice President of BRT Apartments Corp.; Vice President of Majestic Property Management Corp.

FREDRIC H. GOULD

Vice Chairman of the Board of Directors; Director of BRT Apartments Corp.; Director of Georgetown Partners, Inc.; Director of EastGroup Properties, Inc.; Chairman of the Board of Directors of Majestic Property Management Corp.

PATRICK J. CALLAN, JR.

Director; President and Chief Executive Officer

JEFFREY A. GOULD

Director; Senior Vice President; Director, President and Chief Executive Officer of BRT Apartments Corp.; Senior Vice President and Director of Georgetown Partners, Inc.; Vice President of Majestic Property Management Corp.

CHARLES L. BIEDERMAN

Director; Real Estate Developer; President of CLB, Inc.

JAMES J. BURNS

Director; Director of Cedar Realty Trust

JOSEPH A. DELUCA

Director; Principal of Joseph A. DeLuca, Inc.; Member of Board of Managers of Wrightwood Capital LLC

LOUIS P. KAROL

Director; Partner of Karol & Sosnik, P.C.

J. ROBERT LOVEJOY

Independent Lead Director; Principal of J.R. Lovejoy & Co. LLC

LEOR SIRI

Director; Chief Financial Officer of Silverstein Properties, Inc.

EUGENE I. ZURIFF

Director; Consultant to the Restaurant Industry; Director of Israel Discount Bank of New York

LAWRENCE G. RICKETTS, JR.

Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer

SIMEON BRINBERG

Senior Counsel; Senior Counsel of BRT Apartments Corp.; Senior Vice President of Georgetown Partners, Inc.

DAVID W. KALISH

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer; Senior Vice President—Finance of BRT Apartments Corp.; Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Georgetown Partners, Inc.; Vice President of Majestic Property Management Corp.

MARK H. LUNDY

Senior Vice President and Secretary; Senior Vice President of BRT Apartments Corp.; President and Chief Operating Officer of Georgetown Partners, Inc.; Vice President of Majestic Property Management Corp.

ISRAEL ROSENZWEIG

Senior Vice President; Chairman of BRT Apartments Corp.; Senior Vice President of Georgetown Partners, Inc.; Vice President of Majestic Property Management Corp.

KAREN DUNLEAVY

Vice President, Financial

RICHARD M. FIGUEROA

Vice President and Assistant Secretary; Vice President and Assistant Secretary of BRT Apartments Corp.; Vice President of Georgetown Partners, Inc.

ISAAC KALISH

Vice President and Assistant Treasurer; Vice President and Treasurer of BRT Apartments Corp.; Vice President and Treasurer of Georgetown Partners, Inc.; Treasurer of Majestic Property Management Corp.

JUSTIN CLAIR

Vice President

ALYSA BLOCK

Treasurer; Vice President of Majestic Property Management Corp.

EXECUTIVE OFFICES

60 Cutter Mill Road
Suite 303
Great Neck, NY 11021
516-466-3100

REGISTRAR, TRANSFER AGENT, DISTRIBUTION DISBURSING AGENT

American Stock Transfer & Trust Company
6201 15th Avenue
Brooklyn, NY 11219
718-921-8124 800-937-5449
www.amstock.com

INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Ernst & Young LLP
5 Times Square
New York, NY 10036

FORM 10-K AVAILABLE

A copy of the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is included as part of this Annual Report. Exhibits to the Form 10-K may be obtained by writing to the Secretary, One Liberty Properties, Inc., 60 Cutter Mill Road, Suite 303, Great Neck, NY 11021 or by accessing our web site.

COMMON STOCK

The Company's common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol OLP.

ANNUAL MEETING

The annual meeting will be held on June 14, 2017 at the Company's Executive Offices at 9:00 a.m.

WEB SITE ADDRESS

onelibertyproperties.com

IN MEMORIAM

JOSEPH A. AMATO, JR.

Joe Amato, a long-time director of our company, passed away on November 13, 2016. His many years of experience as a successful real estate developer, owner and operator of residential and commercial real estate and his intelligence, common sense, and big picture approach to business issues, were invaluable in the growth and success of our company. His integrity, pleasant demeanor and dedication will never be forgotten. We miss him; but his memory remains with us and continues to inspire us.



ONE LIBERTY PROPERTIES, INC.

60 CUTTER MILL ROAD ♦ SUITE 303 ♦ GREAT NECK, NY 11021 ♦ 516.466.3100
ONELIBERTYPROPERTIES.COM

OLP
LISTED
NYSE.